Open, on-line, one-stage, anonymous, ideas

COMPETITION for the urban-architectural concept design for

The BADEL SITE redevelopment in Zagreb

COMPETITION PROTOCOL

FINAL REPORT OF THE COMPETITION JURY

Prepared by: Sanja Cvjetko Jerković, Architect, Croatia Competition Secretary Zagreb, June, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	1
I.	COMPETITION INVESTOR AND PROMOTER	1
II.	COMPETITION ORGANIZER AND MANAGER	1
III.	COMPETITION PROGRAMME AND DOCUMENTS PRODUCER	1
IV.	COMPETITION INFORMATION, SCHEDULE AND DEADLINES	1
V.	COMPETITION JURY	2
VI.	INFORMATION ON COMMUNICATION WITH COMPETITION PARTICIPANTS FROM COMPETITION STAR DATE UNITL ENTRY SUBMISSION DEADLINE	
VII.	SUBMITTED AND RECEIVED ENTRIES	2
VIII.	CODING OF THE ENTRIES	3
IX.	TECHNICAL COMMITTEE	3
Х.	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND METHOD OF DECISION MAKING	3
XI.	THE JURY'S COURSE OF WORK	5
XII.	MINUTES OF THE JURY SESSIONS AND THE COURSE OF EVALUATION PROCESS VIA WER	
XIII.	EVALUATION	4
XIV.	DECISION ON THE ENTIRIES RANKING (placements: awards)	4
XV.	VERIFICATION OF THE FINAL REPORT OF THE COMPETITION JURY	3
APP	ENDIX 1. TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT1	7
APP	ENDIX 2. ADVISORY EXPERTS OPINION	5
APP	ENDIX 3. ENTRIES EVALUATION)
APP	ENDIX 4. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE SEMINAR AND SITE VISIT/ FEBRUARY,2012:	3
APP	ENDIX 5. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	7

I. COMPETITION INVESTOR AND PROMOTER

THE CITY OF ZAGREB Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb

II. COMPETITION ORGANIZER AND MANAGER

THE ZAGREB SOCIETY OF ARCHITECTS (ZSA) Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/I, Zagreb

III. COMPETITION PROGRAMME AND DOCUMENTS PRODUCER

The City of Zagreb, The Office for Strategic Planning and Development of the City of Zagreb

Head of Department: Jadranka Veselić Bruvo, ArchitectArchitect Coordinator: Irena Matković, Architect Professional support: Nives Škreblin, Architect and Sonja Sočivica, Architect

IV. COMPETITION INFORMATION, SCHEDULE AND DEADLINES

Competition registry number issued by the Board of Competitions:	136-12-ZG-UA
Competition announcement in public media: 'Vjesnik':	10 January 2012
'Narodne novine', electronic public procurement	9 January 2012
Competition start date:	10 January 2012
Competition inquiries deadline:	22 February 2012
Written responses deadline:	5 March 2012
Deadline for submission of the competition entries:	5 April 2012
Entry evaluation process deadline:	27 May 2012
Publication of competition results in public media: "Večernji list"	30 May 2012
Exhibition opening ZgForum, Gajeva 27, Zagreb, scheduled for	3 July 2012

V. COMPETITION JURY

President:

ZORAN BOŠEVSKI, Architect, Organizer's's representative (Croatia)

Vice president:

JADRANKA VESELIĆ BRUVO, Architect, promoter's representative (Croatia)

Members:

Prof. TADEJ GLAŽAR, Architect, Organizer's's representative (Slovenia) Prof.ir. FRANCINE HOUBEN, Architect, Organizer's's representative (Netherlands) Mr.sc. IRENA MATKOVIĆ, Architect, Promoter's representative (Croatia) SILVIJE NOVAK, prof. Promoter's representative (Croatia) TOMA PLEJIĆ, Architect, Organizer's representative (Croatia)

Deputy of a Competition Jury member

ROBERT JONATHAN LOHER, Architect, Organizer's representative (Croatia)

Technical Committee:

ANA DANA BEROŠ, Architect, Organizer's representative AZRA SULJIĆ, Architect, Organizer's representative MARKO TRZUN, ArchitectOrganizer's representative

Advisory Experts:

Prof.dr.sc. ANKA MIŠETIĆ dr. sc.TAMARA ROGIĆ, Architect

Competition Secretary:

SANJA CVJETKO JERKOVIĆ, Architect, Organizer's's s representative

VI. INFORMATION ON COMMUNICATION WITH COMPETITION PARTICIPANTS FROM COMPETITION START DATE UNITL ENTRY SUBMISSION DEADLINE

During the competition, a total of 2311 potential participants had registered online, who have, due to prior registration, acquired the right to inspect (and 'download') competition data and programme, available on the web site <u>www.daz.hr/en/competition/Badel</u>. By the deadline foreseen for raising questions (22 February 2012) 185 (hundred and eighty-five) authors/groups of authors addressed the Competition Jury. A total of 296 questions were posted.

At II session held 23 February 2012 and via subsequent electronic mail and telephone communications Competition Jury had considered all received questions and formulated replies that were submitted to all registered competitors.

(Answers to competitors' questions are the Appendix 5. of this "Final Report")

VII. SUBMITTED AND RECEIVED ENTRIES

Received entries were submitted via web by submission deadline – 5 April 2012. Online submission ran without difficulties with minimal intervention (assisted by Technical support (Novena)). By submission deadline a total of 242 entries were submitted. Submittance of entries was technically disabled after the submission deadline.

('Upload' list with time and registration numbers is archived at ZSA)

The majority of participants who have submitted their entries came from Croatia, followed by Italy, Spain, USA, Serbia, Portugal, Russia, Netherlands, Brazil, Poland, Slovenia, China, Colombia, Romania, Chile, Indonesia, Mexico, Greece, India, Austria, Bulgaria, Hong Kong, FYR Macedonia and others.

VIII. CODING OF THE ENTRIES

Submitted entries were systematically assigned with a code, unrelated to submission time. All the entries were posted on the prepared interface, and the members of the Technical Committee, The Jury, Advisory Experts and Competition Secretary (hereinafter Working Group) were assigned with a password required for entry to the web domain, as well as instructions for working with it. Throughout the entire entries evaluation process, until the completion of it (27 May 2012) the members of the Working Group did not have access to authors' information.

(List with information about the content of every entry and submitted files is an integral part of the Technical Committee report)

IX. TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Review of the competition entries by the Technical Committee commenced on 11 April 2012 after the members of TC have agreed on the dynamics of work. All three members of the TC have been individually examining entries on personal computers, strictly respecting and preserving data confidentiality. Technical Committee members' work ended on 30 April 2012, in accordance with the previously agreed and coordinated work plan.

For each individual entry a *Technical committee report* was placed on the Badel competition's web site interface as an integral part of the web page at the opening of every single competition proposal.

Review of entries included:

- Controlling regularity and timeliness of the entry submission
- Controlling the content and completeness of required contributions
- Analysis of design with special emphasis on the quantification parameters (spatial indicators controlling)
- Controlling the statements

Technical Committee determined:

A total of 242 entries were submitted, and all were submitted on time and within given deadline

(Overall Technical Committee Report with an individual report for each entry is appended to this Final Report).

X. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND METHOD OF DECISION MAKING

In accordance with General Terms and Conditions of the Competition which are detailed in Criteria Table (included in Competition documents) in addition to compliance with the competition terms (in terms of content, deadlines and required attachments) when evaluating entries the Competition jury also evaluated:

Elimination Round:

 Based on the results of Technical Committees' entries examination, the Jury decided upon the competition entries right to compete. The decision on elimination was unanimous.

The First. Evaluation Round:

 For each criterion, it was possible to assign a rating from 1 to 5; therefore a single entry could reach the maximum total score of 25. In other words, the percentage share of each criterion was:

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

_	Achieved urban values	share 20%
_	Sustainability of the proposed design	20%
	Aesthetic values	
_	Treatment of protected buildings	20%
	Functional and spatial allocation	

The Second. Evaluation Round:

 The previous five criteria comprise of subcategories that allow more reliable assessment of entries. For each criterion it was possible to assign a rating from 1 to 5, which was subsequently multiplied with the factors. Unless otherwise stated, the factor is 1. The maximum point amount an individual entry could reach was 100.

The criteria and their share in the second round of evaluation were:

- A) Achieved urban values (maximum 25 / minimum 15)
 - A1) Preservation of the location identity and the affirmation of its urban values in relation to the broader
 - context and through the Kvaternik square (factor 2):
 - Selected morphology
 - Quality of achieved connections (traffic, content, etc.)
 - A2) The quality of the spatial relationships between new buildings (factor 2):
 - In relation to existing buildings on the site
 - In relation to open spaces

A3) The quality of public space within a given location and their availability (factor 1)

- B) Sustainability of the proposed design (20 max / min 12)
 - B1) Social sustainability (factor 1.5)
 - The quality of achieved spatial connections that enable the development of a wide range of social activities
 - Selection of contents which ensure social sustainability within the proposed design
 - The social potential of the proposed solutions in terms of improving the quality of life for the wider area of the Kvaternik square
 - B2) Environmental sustainability (factor 1)
 - Quality of content focus
 - Evaluation of vegetation within the limits of coverage
 - The impact of the new building on the existing environment
 - B3) Economic Sustainability (factor 1.5)
 - The attractiveness of the proposed program in terms of return of investments and public facilities
 - The ability of the design to be realized through several stages
 - Rationality of the proposed design
- C) Aesthetic values (max 20 / min 12)
 - C1) completeness of the proposed urban space composition (factor 2)
 - C2) relationship between proposed volumes and the surrounding urban tissue (factor 1)
 - C3) realization of new urban values (new spatial landmarks) (factor 1)
- D) Treatment of protected buildings (max 20 / min 12)
 - D1) Adequacy of the selected use of protected buildings / appropriateness of arbitral uses of protected structures within the proposed concept (factor 2)
 - D2) Quality of the spatial relationships between protected buildings and selected morphology (factor 1)
 - D3) Evaluation of the role of protected buildings / Distillery, Gorica facades / when defining urban concept (factor 1)

- E) Functional and spatial allocation (max 15 / min 9)
 - E1) Functionality and spatial coherence of the proposed allocation serving various purposes
 - within competition zone
 - in relation to surrounding area
 - in relation to proposed morphological structure/selected building typology
 - E2) Rationality and the quality of the proposed traffic solutions and its integration with the wider traffic network
 - E3) Functionality of the proposed spatial relations of the site with the surrounding urban structures (square, market, surrounding streets)

The Prize Round:

Overall impression and professional contribution of the project proposal.

XI. THE JURY'S COURSE OF WORK

Preparation sessions: [before the competition was announced]

1. 4 January, 2012. constitution of the Jury and Technical Committee; election of the President and Vice president of the Jury; discussions about the Competition programme and proposals for the Terms; Competition deadlines; verification of the Jury statement on the Competition programme's approval; familiarization with Competition procedure for the online Competition; definition of criteria for evaluation

Seminar and Site visit:

 7 February, 2012. Presentation of the Competition by the Promoter, lectures on the conversions of industrial heritage and historical development of Block Badel. On site expert guidance.

Sessions during the Competition and evaluation via web interface:

- 3. 23 February, 2012. Answers to competitors' questions; introducing the interface
- **4.** 6 April, 2012 Information on the amount of received entries, further introduction / compliance of the TC and Jury members with the modalities of entry evaluation

*Individual Juror's work via web interface:

From 15 April, 2012 to 25 May, 2012 - Individual entry examination and evaluation.

- 5. 26 May, 2012 The Prize round. Discussion of previous work and the final order of entries.
- **6.** 27 May, 2012 The prize round. Final decision on placements; writing the ratings; telephone notification of the prize winners and purchase prize winners.

XII. MINUTES OF THE JURY SESSIONS AND THE COURSE OF EVALUATION PROCESS VIA WEB INTERFACE

The Jury's work took place in closed secured rooms that had been available only to members of the Jury, the Technical Committee and the Competition Secretary. Individual work on the web site by the Working Group took place in complete secrecy for the entire evaluation process. Evaluation process involved Jury's appointed members, including deputy member (7 +1). Due to required supplementary interpretation, the Technical Committee members and Advisory Experts participated at plenary sessions. Through entire duration of the Competition the Competition Secretary was in contact (via e-mail and phone) with a member of the Jury, Francine Houben, therefore Mrs Houben took full part in the Competition (expressing opinions and evaluating the work) from the very beginning.

1. Preparatory (Constitutive) session – 4 January, 2012/ – 17.00 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, Zagreb

Present: Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, J. Veslić-Bruvo, T. Plejić, I. Matković, R.J. Loher, S. Novak, A. Suljić, M. Trzun, A.D. Beroš, A. Mišetić, S. Cvjetko Jerković.
Excused: F. Houben, T. Rogić

Subject - Conclusions:

Zoran Boševski was appointed as the President, and Jadranka Veselić Bruvo as the Vice president of the Competition Jury.

Text and graphic material of the Competition Programme, as well as the General Terms proposal was presented to members of the Jury. After considering each article of the conditions and coming to an agreement regarding specific amendments the final text of the General Terms and Competition Programme were agreed upon. Procedures for the evaluation, scoring criteria and outline schedule of activities were agreed on also. Competition deadlines were determined, and the consent to announce the Competition was given, in accordance with approved competition data and conditions.

Authors' agreements verification was made by the participants of the session.

2. 7 February, 2012 – 09.30 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, and Block Badel site, Zagreb

Present members of TC, Jury, Advisory Experts: Z. Boševski, I. Matković, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, T. Rogić, A. Suljić, M. Trzun, A.D. Beroš, S. Cvjetko Jerković

Excused: F. Houben, T. Glažar, J. Veslić-Bruvo, S. Novak, A. Mišetić

Subject - Conclusions:

By the seminar and site tour date a total of 1062 potential participants registered via Internet interface. 76 of 147 seminar and site tour applicants participated in the event (68 from Croatia, 3 from Spain, 2 from Austria, 1 from Italy, 1from United Kingdom and 1 from Serbia). On behalf of the City of Zagreb Irena Matković held a lecture entitled: *'The competition Block Badel: Purpose, expectations and results.*'

Tamara Rogić, Competition's Advisory expert, gave a lecture: 'Beautiful buildings called factories'.

Goran Arčabić, senior curator of the Museum of the City of Zagreb: *'Examples and best praxis of industrial heritage revitalisation.'* Marina Bagarić, curator and head of architectural department of the Museum of Arts and Crafts in Zagreb presented: *'Badel site before Badel – a history of building an industrial complex'.* There were no questions asked after the presentations, therefore afterwards everyone present took the Competition site tour. All the lectures as well as footage of buildings were posted on the website <u>http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/</u>,

3. 23 February, 2012 – 16.00 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, Zagreb

Present: Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, A. Mišetić A. Suljić, M. Trzun, A.D. Beroš, S. Cvjetko Jerković

Excused: F. Houben, I. Matković, J. Veslić-Bruvo, S. Novak, T. Rogić,

Subject - Conclusions:

By the deadline foreseen for raising questions (22 February 2012) 185 (hundred and eighty-five) authors/groups of authors addressed the Competition Jury. A total of 296 questions were posted. A total of 1236 potential participants are registered.

Since a large part of raised questions required S.Novak's opinion, other Jury members have asked the Competition Secretary to come into contact with the aforementioned Jury member. Based on the conclusions of the 2nd Session held on 23 February 2012 and subsequent communications via electronic mail and telephone, the Competition Jury had considered all received questions and formulated replies that were submitted to all registered competitors. Participants of the session have discussed the evaluation work plan and methodology itself, and agreed on modality of their evaluation with Advisory Experts.

(Answers to competitors' questions are attachment of this "Final Report")

4. 6 April, 2012 – 15.00 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, Zagreb

Present: I. Matković, J. Veslić-Bruvo, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, A. Mišetić A. Suljić, A.D. Beroš, S. Cvjetko Jerković, V. Vrga Perović, Mladena Belamarić

Excused: F. Houben, Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, S. Novak, M. Trzun, T. Rogić

On behalf of the Competition Organizer Vrga V. Perovic presented the web interface, and gave instructions in the procedures and evaluation modalities on the aforementioned. During three months 2311 potential participants have registered online. By the deadline for entry submission 242 competition entries were submitted. Among the authors who have successfully submitted work, majority of them comes from Croatia, following with participants from Italy, Spain, Serbia, USA and other countries.

As previously agreed, members of the Technical Committee are commencing to review entries. Thanks to the new evaluation format, members of the Jury have the opportunity to start working on the 15 April 2012. In addition, a work plan and method of evaluation were agreed upon.

* Members of the Competition Jury individual work via web interface:

From 15 April, 2012 to 25 May, 2012 – Individual entry examination and evaluation.

From the submission date until 30 April, 2012 members of Technical Committee have reviewed all the received entries. Only the entries for which all three members of TC expressed arguments and consent not to proceed further into the competition rounds could be proposed to Jury members in order to be ruled out from further procedures.

(TC Report is attached.)

After the Jury members have reviewed the TC Report and all the entries that were proposed for elimination, they have unanimously decided to exclude entries under the following codes from the further course of evaluation:

7804287, 7804194, 7804146, 7804418, 7804356, 7804335, 7804050, 7804017, 7804003, 7804135, 7804097, 7804092, 7805065, 7805045, 7804967, 7805255, 7805178, 7805081, 7804657, 7804614, 7804562, 7804774, 7804724, 7804701, 7803994, 7803357, 7803338, 7803326, 7803459, 7803411, 7803374, 7802806, 7802598, 7800256, 7803274, 7803218, 7802865, 7803771, 7803728, 7803603, 7803872, 7803850, 7803772, 7803549, 7803525, 7803477, 7803596, 7803585, 7803577.

In the aforementioned **Elimination round** the following Jury and TC members have participated through rating and voting via web interface: F. Houben, Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, J. Veslić-Bruvo, I. Matković, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, A. Suljić, M. Trzun, A.D. Beroš.

Jury member S. Novak was replaced by R.J. Loher.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

After the Elimination round, a total of 193 entries entered **he First Evaluation Round**, which were evaluated upon the previously agreed criteria and ranking methods. Ranking after voting and total number of points for each entry as follows:

or each entry	as follows:
7802929	15.66666667
7803553	23.66666667
7804520	17.83333333
7802987	17.66666667
7804040	19.66666667
7804074	20
7804480	15
7803734	14.83333333
7802588	17.16666667
7804500	16.66666667
7804992	18.83333333
7804398	19.83333333
7802997	15.66666667
7800244	16.33333333
7803015	15.83333333
7802907	15.33333333
7804186	14.33333333
7804232	11.16666667
7804095	18.5
7804324	14.83333333
7803684	14
7803562	14.66666667
7803112	12
7802829	14.66666667
7804127	11.83333333
7802869	17.33333333
7803500	10.83333333
7803677	14.83333333
7805182	16
7804476	14.66666667
7805107	14.33333333
7802604	14
7804210	12.66666667
7805500	16.5
7804197	16.83333333
7802917	16.83333333
7802583	14.66666667
7802886	11.83333333
7800980	11.83333333
7803513	14.16666667
7804984	15.16666667
7804613	14.33333333

Investor and Promoter: Organizer and Manager:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepa The Zagreb Society of Archite	
	7803212	12.16666667
	7804065	17.16666667
	7801051	15.16666667
	7804031	11.66666667
	7802743	11
	7803993	11.16666667
	7802973	12.16666667
	7803656	12.83333333
	7803975	13.66666667
	7802638	15.16666667
	7803038	11.16666667
	7803965	11.66666667
	7802983	14.16666667
	7804382	10.833333333
	7802610	14.16666667
	7803385	10.833333333
	7803458	11.666666667
	7804430	11.333333333
	7804430	11.166666667
	7804053	11.16666667
	7804761	11.83333333
	7804941	11.16666667
	7803125	12.66666667
	7804205	13.33333333
	7803371	10.66666667
	7803409	10.66666667
	7802889	10.66666667
	7804504	10.66666667
	7804610	10.5
	7804718	10.5
	7805151	10.5
	7805185	10.5
	7802753	10.5
	7804308	10.5
	7803907	10.5
	7802719	10.33333333
	7804832	10.33333333
	7802927	10.333333333
	7804032	10.333333333
	7803718	10.16666667
	7803478	10.16666667
	7804289	10.16666667
	1004203	10.10000007

Investor and Promoter: Organizer and Manager:		/ of Zagreb, Trg Stjepar greb Society of Architec
	7000700	0 00000000
	7802738	9.833333333 9.8333333333
	7802647 7803394	9.8333333333
	7803568	9.8333333333
	7803305	9.8333333333
	7803675	9.6666666666
	7803221	9.6666666667
	7803221	9.6666666667
	7804882	9.6666666667
	7804882	
	7803998	9.5 9.5
	7803998	9.3333333333333333333333333333333333333
	7803831	9.33333333333
	7803039	9.33333333333
	7802836	9.33333333333
	7802830	9.33333333333
	7804419	9.33333333333
	7804402	9.3333333333
	7804783	9.1666666667
	7804239	9.1666666667
	7803154	9.1666666667
	7804565	9.1666666667
	7802948	9.1666666667
	7803289	9
	7804408	9
	7802915	9
	7802853	9
	7802585	8.833333333
	7804412	8.8333333333
	7802958	8.833333333
	7803451	8.666666667
	7803079	8.666666667
	7802992	8.666666667
	7803259	8.666666667
	7803025	8.666666667
	7804416	8.666666667
	7803011	8.666666667
	7800032	8.666666667
	7803372	8.666666667
	7802970	8.5

7803407

7802792

7803713

7804710 7804622

7803416

8.5

8.333333333

8.333333333 8.166666667

8.166666667

8.166666667

Investor and Promoter: Organizer and Manager:	The City The Zag	y of Zagreb, Trg Stjepa greb Society of Archited
	7803111	8.166666667
	7803080	8
	7802980	8
	7803750	8
	7803569	8
	7804495	8
	7804571	7.833333333
	7803183	7.8333333333
	7803632	7.8333333333
	7804301	7.8333333333
	7804096	7.8333333333
	7803271	7.8333333333
	7802908	7.6666666667
	7802803	7.6666666667
	7802727	7.6666666667
	7803891	7.6666666667
	7802709	7.5
	7802855	7.5
	7802033	7.5
	7803436	7.5
	7804688	7.5
	7804088	7.5
	7803968	7.3333333333
	7803908	
	7805162	7.333333333 7.3333333333
	7804575	7.3333333333
	7802910	7.3333333333
	7802749	7.333333333
	7802628	7.166666667
	7804818	7.1666666667
	7802614	7.1666666667
	7804955	6.833333333
	7803777	6.833333333
	7804220	6.833333333
	7803868	6.666666667
	7803737	6.666666667
	7803414	6.666666667
	7802591	6.5
	7804071	6.333333333
	7804609	6.166666667
	1000674	C ACCCCCCC7

7803674

7803935

7804648

7803217

7804196

7803519

6.166666667

6.166666667

5.833333333

5.833333333

5.833333333

6

Investor and Promoter: Organizer and Manager:		of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana preb Society of Architects	
	7803805	5.833333333	
	7802858	5.833333333	
	7802871	5.666666667	
	7803027	5.5	
	7803695	5.5	
	7803282	5.333333333	
	7804166	5.333333333	
	7804367	5.166666667	
	7803856	5.166666667	
	7804312	5.166666667	
	7803909	5.166666667	
	7803836	5	
	7803476	5	
Bv mid-M	ay 2012 en	tries evaluation in	the 1 st Round was finalised with the following decision brought by

By mid-May 2012 entries evaluation in the 1st Round was finalised with the following decision brought by majority of votes – the first 66 ranking entries have entered the Second Evaluation Round, and those are the following:

7803553, 7804520, 7802987, 7804040, 7802929, 7804074, 7804480, 7803734, 7802588, 7804500, 7804992, 7804398, 7802997, 7800244, 7803015, 7802907, 7804186, 7804232, 7804095, 7804324, 7803684, 7803562, 7803112, 7802829, 7804127, 7802869, 7803500, 7803677, 7805182, 7804476, 7805107, 7802604, 7804210, 7805500, 7804197, 7802917, 7802583, 7802886, 7800980, 7803513, 7804984, 7804613, 7803212, 7804065, 7801051, 7804031, 7802743, 7803993, 7802973, 7803656, 7803975, 7802638, 7803038, 7803965, 7802983, 7804382, 7802610, 7803385, 7803458, 7804430, 7802728, 7804053, 7804761, 7804941, 7803125, 7804205

In the aforementioned 1st Evaluation Round through online reviewing and voting have participated the following members of the Jury: Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, J. Veslić-Bruvo, I. Matković, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić. After examining all the entries in the aforementioned Round, Jury member F.Houben has submitted a written statement and recommendations because of sudden medical problems, hence F.Houben had to suspend further work on the Competition (letter is attached, the date of 21.05.2012).

In the Second Evaluation Round the entries were evaluated by Advisory Experts as well, who have submitted opinions related to each entry individually. The evaluation of competition entries in this Round was terminated on 25 May 2012, and the following 12 entries have advanced to Prize Round:

7803553, 7804520, 7802987, 7804040, 7802929, 7804074, 7804480, 7803734, 7802588, 7804500, 7804992, 7804398.

In the above mentioned Second Evaluation Round the following members of the Jury participated through online reviewing and voting: Z. Boševski, T. Glažar, J. Veslić-Bruvo, I. Matković, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, as the Advisory Experts: T. Rogić and A. Mišetić, who have reviewed each individual entry.

As previously agreed on 26 and 27 May 2012. members of the Jury, Technical Committee and Advisory Experts will be present at sessions in ZSA premises, during which they will reach the decision on the final ranking and awarded entries.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

5. 26 May, 2012 - 16.00 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, Zagreb

Present: Z. Boševski, I. Matković, T. Glažar, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, A. Mišetić, T. Rogić, A. Suljić, M. Trzun, A.D. Beroš, S. Cvietko Jerković

Excused: J. Veslić-Bruvo, F. Houben, S. Novak.

Jury members working assembly took place in ZSA, Trg bana Jelačića 3/I, Zagreb, available only to members of the Competition Jury, Technical Committee and Competition Secretary. Entries from the Prize Round have been printed and displayed in the ZSA premises by code number order. During 26 May 2012 (Saturday) the individual entry evaluation was made, 17.00h onwards.

Subject – Conclusions:

Jury members have jointly considered all the competition entries, exchanged observations on the basis of established criteria for the evaluation and selection of competition entries. After entries re-examination (starting with the Elimination Round to Prize Round) they came to conclusion that there are some entries which have not entered Prize Round (among the first 12) which however contribute significantly to overall consideration of the Competition brief. One of these entries was entry number 7803562, as well as 7803112. After they have reviewed all the entries and commented on the further options of final ranking, Jury members made an agreement to meet tomorrow (Sunday, 25 May 2012) at 10.00hrs, to make the final voting on the prizes.

At the previously mentioned session members of the Jury have personally signed Minutes.

6. 27 May, 2012 - 10.00 hrs, ZSA premises, Trg bana J. Jelačića 3/1, Zagreb

Present: Z. Boševski, J. Veslić-Bruvo, I. Matković, T. Glažar, R.J. Loher, T. Plejić, A. Mišetić, T. Rogić, A.D. Beroš, S. Cvjetko Jerković

Excused: F. Houben, S. Novak, A. Suljić, M. Trzun,

Jury members working assembly took place in ZSA, Trg bana Jelačića 3/I, Zagreb, available only to members of the Competition Jury, Technical Committee and Competition Secretary. Entries were displayed in the order of code numbers at ZSA premises.

Subject – Conclusions:

After a shorter discussion on the entries and competition outcome, Jury members have unanimously decided to grant three prizes, in accordance with the Competition announcement, while the IV prize will be distributed to two purchase prizes, reason being that the first three ranking entries with the following codes: 7803553, 7802929, 7804520 significantly stand out from the overall group of competition entries with their proposal quality. While two purchase prizes are bestowed upon entries with the following codes: 7803562 and 7803112 reasons being that these entries managed to rethink the subject in an alternative manner through its proposals, thus achieving critical and professional contribution which examines planning methods in contemporary planning practice.

Therefore, the following entries were unanimously awarded: the First Prize: entry 7803553, the Second Prize: entry 7802929, the Third Prize: entry 7804520, instead of the Fourth Prize, two ex aequo buy outs: entries 7803562 and 7803112.

Before the web administrator had enabled authors' data access, the Jury has made the ranking of the entries which haven't been shortlisted, and reached agreement on all the decisions. All the Jury decisions were reached unanimously and are final.

After the web administrator had enabled authors' data access, the President of the Jury, Z.Boševski had instantly informed all the awarded authors about prizes and purchase prizes via telephone.

The Minutes were personally signed by all members at the previously mentioned session.

XIII. EVALUATION

Individual evaluation of every entry is attached to the Final Report and form its integral part.

XIV. DECISION ON THE ENTIRIES RANKING (placements: awards)

In the presence of the Competition Jury members at the **5th plenary** session held on **Sunday**, **27 May 2012**, after individual entry evaluation was written, the final decision on the following prizes was unanimously adopted. The prizes are presented at gross amounts. **PRIZES:**

THE FIRST PRIZE, in the amount of 226.800,00 kn (approx. $30.240,00 \in$) awarded to entry "7803553"

"Pablo Pita Architects "- Pablo Rebelo.Pedro Pita

AUTHORS:

- Luís Pedro das Neves Pereira, architect, Portugal
- Pablo Rebelo, architect, Portugal

THE SECOND PRIZE, in the amount of 170.100,00 kn (approx. 22.680,00 €) awarded to entry "7802929"

FILTER z.o.d.

AUTHORS:

- Nedim Mutevelic, architect, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Kenan Vatrenjak , architect, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Vedad Islambegovic, architect, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Ibrica Jasarevic, architect, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Asmir Mutevelic, architect, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Vernes Causevic, architect, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

THE THIRD PRIZE, in the amount of 113.400,00 kn (approx.15.120,00 \bigoplus is awarded to entry "7804520"

PlaC

AUTHORS:

- Alessandro Bua, architect, Italy
- Ilaria Ariolfo, architect, Italy
- Andrea Alessio, architect, Italy

One of two "ex aequo" PURCHASE PRIZES, in the amount of 28.350,00 kn (approx. 3.780,00 €) is awarded to entry " 7803562"

AUTHORS:

- Gonzalodel Val, architect, Spain
- Valentín Sanz, architect, Spain
- Toni Gelabert, architect, Spain
- Sergio del Castillo, architect, Spain
- Íñigo Iñi Machimbarrena, architect, Spain
- Alejandro Londoño, architect, Spain
- Gonzalo Gutierrez, architect, Spain

One of two "ex aequo" PURCHASE PRIZES, in the amount of 28.350,00 kn (approx. 3.780,00 €) is awarded to entry "7803112"

AUTHORS:

- Iñigo Cornago, architect Spain
- Miguel Beloqui, architect Spain
- Sergio Ramos, architect Spain

(the decision was personally signed by all the Competition Jury members, archived at ZSA)

Investor and Promoter: Organizer and Manager:

APPENDIX 1. TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

 Investor and Promoter:
 The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb

 Organizer and Manager:
 The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Open, on-line, one-stage, anonymous, ideas

The Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design COMPETITION

Zagreb Badel Block

COMPETITION PROTOCOL

FINAL REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL COMITTEE

Prepared by the Members of Technical Committee:

Ana Dana Beroš, Architect, Croatia Azra Suljić, Architect, Croatia Marko Trzun, Architect, Croatia

Zagreb, June, 2012.

Investor and Promoter:The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, ZagrebOrganizer and Manager:The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

The review of the entries started on 11 April, 2012 when the members of the Technical Committee met to synchronize the technical evaluation criteria, on the example of couple of competition entries. Individual work followed after the distribution of the overall sum of entries to three groups. All three members of the TC have been individually examining entries on personal computers, strictly respecting and preserving data confidentiality. Technical Committee members' work ended on 30 April 2012, in accordance with the previously agreed and coordinated work plan.

SUBMISSION

Most of the entries have been submitted online on 5 April, 2012 without any difficulties. Some of the competitors needed assistance of Technical support (Novena) which was provided on the same day without delay. In total there have been 242 entries for The Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design competition

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

For each individual entry a Technical Committee Report was placed on the Badel competition's web site interface as an integral part of the web page at the opening of every single competition proposal.

Technical evaluation of entries included:

- Controlling regularity and timeliness of the entry submission
- Controlling the content and completeness of required contributions
- Analysis of design with special emphasis on the quantification parameters (spatial indicators controlling)
- Controlling the statements

If an entry did not meet the technical requirements and was voted for elimination by a single member of Technical Committee, the other two members had to verify or denounce his/her elimination vote. Hence, in the elimination round there have been entries voted 3/3, 2/3 and 1/3 for elimination.

In the elimination round suggested by the Technical Committee there have been 80 entries in total. The TC voted 56 times unanimously for elimination 3/3; 14 times 2/3, and 9 time 1/3.

	Entry No.	1st Round pass	TC no	TC total	Jury no	Jury total
1	7802598		3	3	6	6
2	7802806		3	3	6	6
3	7802855	1st Round pass	2	3	4	5
4	7802865		3	3	6	6
5	7800256		3	3	6	6
6	7802948	1st Round pass	2	3	2	5
7	7803027	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
8	7803111	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
9	7803274		3	3	6	6
10	7803282	1st Round pass	2	3	4	5
11	7803338		3	3	6	6
12	7803374		3	3	6	6

The table of Technical Committee & Jury votes for eliminations follows:

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

13	7803407	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
14	7803459		3	3	6	6
15	7803476	1st Round pass	2	3	5	5
16	7803478	1st Round pass	2	3	3	5
17	7803549		3	3	6	6
18	7803585		3	3	6	6
19	7803596		3	3	6	6
20	7803603		3	3	6	6
21	7803674	1st Round pass	1	3	1	5
22	7803728	•	3	3	6	6
23	7803737	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
24	7803477		3	3	6	6
25	7803771		3	3	6	6
26	7803805	1st Round pass	2	3	3	5
27	7803836	1st Round pass	2	3	5	5
28	7803850		3	3	6	6
29	7803872		3	3	6	6
30	7803994		3	3	6	6
31	7804017		3	3	6	6
32	7804050		3	3	6	6
33	7804092		3	3	6	6
34	7804097		3	3	6	6
35	7804135		3	3	6	6
36	7803909	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
37	7804194		3	3	6	6
38	7804196	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
39	7804210	1st Round pass	2	3	2	5
40	7803326		3	3	6	6
41	7804257	1st Round pass	1	3	3	5
42	7804287		3	3	6	6
43	7804312	1st Round pass	2	3	4	5
44	7804335	- F	3	3	6	6
45	7804356		3	3	6	6
46	7804367	1st Round pass	2	3	5	5
47	7804412	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
48	7804418		3	3	6	6
49	7804562		3	3	6	6
50	7804609	1st Round pass	2	3	4	5
51	7804614	F	3	3	6	6
52	7804657		3	3	6	6
53	7803217	1st Round pass	2	3	5	5
54	7802970	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
55	7804774		3	3	6	6
56	7804955	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
57	7804967		3	3	6	6
		I			, v	~

COMPETITION PROTOCOL – FINAL REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

						1
58	7803577		3	3	6	6
59	7803183	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6
60	7804166	1st Round pass	2	3	5	5
61	7805045		3	3	6	6
62	7805065		3	3	6	6
63	7805081		3	3	6	6
64	7803357		3	3	6	6
65	7802889	1st Round pass	1	3	1	5
66	7803772		3	3	6	6
67	7805162	1st Round pass	2	3	3	5
68	7805178		3	3	6	6
69	7803525		3	3	6	6
70	7805255		3	3	6	6
71	7804701		3	3	6	6
72	7803411		3	3	6	6
73	7803218		3	3	6	6
74	7800032	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
75	7804146		3	3	6	6
76	7803968	1st Round pass	1	3	4	5
77	7804003		3	3	6	6
78	7804724		3	3	6	6
79	7803856	1st Round pass	2	3	4	5
80	7803519	1st Round pass	3	3	5	6

The number of entries advised to proceed to the First Round by the Competition Jury was 31, thus leaving **49 entries in the Elimination Bin**.

	Entry No.	TC / 3	Jury /7
1	7804287	3	7
2	7804194	3	7
3	7804146	3	7
4	7804418	3	7
5	7804356	3	7
6	7804335	3	7
7	7804050	3	7
8	7804017	3	7
9	7804003	3	7
10	7804135	3	7
11	7804097	3	7
12	7804092	3	7
13	7805065	3	7
14	7805045	3	7
15	7804967	3	7
16	7805255	3	7
17	7805178	3	7

COMPETITION PROTOCOL – FINAL REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

18	7805081	3	7
19	7804657	3	7
20	7804614	3	7
21	7804562	3	7
22	7804774	3	7
23	7804724	3	7
24	7804701	3	7
25	7803994	3	7
26	7803357	3	7
27	7803338	3	7
28	7803326	3	7
29	7803459	3	7
30	7803411	3	7
31	7803374	3	7
32	7802806	3	7
33	7802598	3	7
34	780256	3	7
35	7803274	3	7
36	7803218	3	7
37	7802865	3	7
38	7803771	3	7
39	7803728	3	7
40	7803603	3	7
41	7803872	3	7
42	7803850	3	7
43	7803772	3	7
44	7803549	3	7
45	7803525	3	7
46	7803477	3	7
47	7803596	3	7
48	7803585	3	7
49	7803577	3	7

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE OBSERVATION ON COMMON MISTAKES IN COMPETITION ENTRIES

As the Badel Block Competition was a conceptual competition where competitors were free to determine zones and area GBA, a great deal of Competitors made mistakes in not elaborating the floor plans required under 6.1.2. of the General Terms. This issue had been raised by the Competitors in the Question Phase and answered by the Jury explaining that all main and typical floor plans should be shown in full required scale (1:500) elaborated on the level of the scale (showing vertical and horizontal communications, layouts, capacity of insolation and ventilation, resolving the main means/axes of construction etc).

The most common mistakes made by competitors in the floor layouts were:

- not showing all the main and typical floors
- not showing the underground floors at all or not designating parking lots and vehicle or pedestrian access area
- not elaborating the shown floor plans in the detail that a scale of 1:500 would allow (only colouring enclosed areas in different area purposes with no internal planning)
- not showing legends for the areas or not describing (labelling) the layouts properly
- using diagrams that provide good information about the project but as a substitution for the required floor plans
- showing floor plans for different buildings but graphically separated from the site plan (with no clear graphical connection to the site, rendering the floor plans hard to understand)
- having a graphical design that impedes comprehension of the entry proposal

The same type of mistakes appeared in the sections and elevations. A number of entries had not presented the sections and elevations in scale, used 3d model sections without further explanation or elaboration, or even presented the entry without showing (labelling) the actual proposed heights of the buildings. Many of the entries had serious flaws in the presentation of the site concept in scale 1:1000 (6.1.1. of the General Terms), mostly in the level of information given in terms of traffic and road network, size, shape and height of the buildings, external area arrangements etc. The type of graphical design of the presentation made even more problems for the comprehension of the entry proposal in this point.

The most common mistakes in the graphical material were:

- not showing photomontages on the three designated photographs, or even the given 3d model of the city surroundings
- missing the theme of the competition by taking a very broad view and presenting solutions for a much wider area (i.e. the whole of Zagreb) instead of focusing on the competition scope and the surrounding area
- changing the mandatory page layouts or adding more pages while not providing quality information on the required 7 pages
- having a graphical design that seriously impedes the legibility of the entry (ranging from either burdening the layouts with heavy bitmap fills to using very tiny fonts and light graphic design that resulted in thin lines and too similar colouring code to comprehend the area proposal)

In the Textual material (6.2. of the General Terms) the Competitors varied very much in the level of information they provided to the Jury in order to further explain their conceptual proposal. A great deal of entries had not used that possibility as they could and that definitely hindered their chances.

The most common mistakes in the textual material were:

- not having the textual material at all, or using the designated A3 booklet only to further graphically explain the concept (as an extension of the graphic material)
- not resolving the main points stated in the General Terms

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

- using the booklet for a lengthy explanation of the broad concept instead of focusing on the entry proposal itself (in fact storytelling)
- either not presenting a summary textual explanation (8.2.2. of the General Terms) or presenting it in a very lengthy form

Although the Program clearly stated that it is up to the Competitors to freely determine the areas and their GBA, it was required to state the achieved GBA and other spatial indicators. The means of presenting the Specification of Spatial indicators (6.3.) was changed during the Competition process in order to facilitate the competitors (not requiring specific software to fill the computation tables by using a web form for it). Most competitors were able to successfully fill in the required spatial indicators; still a number of entries had flaws ranging from small to serious.

The most common mistakes in the spatial indicators were:

- not filling in the web form at all
- having obvious mistakes in the filled areas (either missing the scale or using the proposed areas from the competition entry brief)
- The Technical Committee had an in-built calculation of the needed parking lots (derived from the proposed areas of different use) and a average area of parking lot designation (derived from the stated number of parking lots vs. stated area of traffic), and both these numbers had shown that many competitors had not used actual indicators from the entry planned underground parking but rather filled in a random number for the traffic areas in both terms of area and number of parking lots
- filling in sqm of traffic or residential areas in fields that instead required filling in numbers of units of parking lots and residential units respectively
- not differentiating between the underground and above ground floors, and not stating the actual number of floors, as required by the web from, which led to wrong calculations presented to the Jury

The technical mistakes made by competitors were mostly resolved by our Technical support team, but some flaws that were in the entry content and could not been remedied were:

- usage of bitmap/rasterized content where a vector content would have saved file size and allowed a much better resolution, thus not hindering the legibility
- usage of jpg files instead of pdf for panels (the same as above)
- naming the files as required by the General Terms point 8, which led to many upload problems
- leaving the private information embedded in file properties which could have led to problems with anonymity of the competition. This was remedied by our Technical support automatically erasing that data prior to presentation to the Jury

SUMMARY

The Technical Committee had examined each entry and made remarks of their flaws. As a great deal of entries had some kind of flaws, the Technical Committee had to ease its assessment of the entries, otherwise a substantial number of entries would have been directly proposed for elimination. Thus the main evaluation criteria for the Technical Committee were a clear legibility of the concept proposal. The entries proposed for elimination had either a serious (debilitating) flaw or a number of smaller flaws that together led to illegibility of the proposal itself.

APPENDIX 2. ADVISORY EXPERTS OPINION

 Investor and Promoter:
 The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb

 Organizer and Manager:
 The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

Open, on-line, one-stage, anonymous, ideas

The Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design COMPETITION

Zagreb Badel Block

COMPETITION PROTOCOL

ADVISORY EXPERTS' OPINION

Prepared by the Advisory Experts Group:

Prof Anka Mišetić, PhD, Sociologist, Croatia Tamara Rogić, PhD, Architect, Croatia

Zagreb, June, 2012.

SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

By Prof Anka Mišetić, PhD, Sociologist, Croatia

METHODOLOGY

The concept of social sustainability is generally understood as achieving balance between different social groups and interests. Its main purpose is to make the development of plural society possible, stimulate the social involvement of different groups, and to reduce or eliminate social exclusion.

My task was to evaluate the extent to which the projects comply with the social sustainability criteria.

For this purpose I have defined the concept of social sustainability by using four indicators which I have analysed in each work.

- 1. The range of activities and contents which have been provided by the proposed solution. This includes the analysis of contents which have been provided by the authors, for example culture and arts, sports, restaurants, education, health etc.
- 2. Availability of open public spaces (parks, playgrounds, squares, etc.)
- 3. Level of social inclusion. This includes analysis from the users' perspective, and answers the question of whom the content is intended for: children, young people, elderly population, mothers with strollers, people with disabilities, etc.
- 4. The social identity of a place. This indicator tells us whether the proposed solution refers to the urban tradition and the sense of the place and local history, taking into account the wider context of this part of town.

After analysing these four indicators, each project will be graded 1-5.

The meaning of the grades is as follows:

- the project does not comply with the social sustainability criteria (This means that none of the 4 indicators have been identified in the proposed project)
- **2** the project complies with the social sustainability criteria only to a small extent (At least one of the 4 indicators has been identified in the proposed project)
- 3 the project mostly complies with the social sustainability criteria (This means that 2 out of 4 indicators have been identified in the proposed project)
- 4 The project highly complies with the social sustainability criteria(3 out of 4 indicators have been identified in the proposed project)
- **5** the project complies with the social sustainability criteria to the fullest extent (All of the 4 indicators have been identified in the proposed project)

INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE

By Tamara Rogić, PhD, Architect, Croatia

Interpretation of "an industrial complex" from the heritage point of view: aspects of consideration:

A1) morphological aspect of the Badel industrial complex (I would focus on the readability - in the sense of clearly defined reference - to the fact that the Badel industrial production site always was a complex of buildings rather than just two individual buildings now protected)

A2) spatial aspect of the existing buildings defined by disposition and organisation of internal spaces and the building(s) structure

A3) organisation and materialisation of the facades

Aspect 1: Badel's morphology

The works can be divided into two groups regarding the ways the morphology of the industrial complex Badel (and not the morphology of the whole block) is interpreted either intentionally or unintentionally.

Groups' description:

Group A-'repeating' the Badel's morphology:

Works that propose additional new buildings positioned in the same line with the Distillery building creating by such a composition a public space of some kind between them. Submerging (**Group Aa**) or raising up (**Group Ab**) the newly created public space in relation to the ground level (+/-0) of the block are then subvariants of this group.

Furthermore, some works propose either new buildings or new main pedestrian route through the block on the north side of the Distillery building. Those 'repeat' the other part of the Badel's morphology on the north, that is, old, shed/storage like buildings creating the edge or a hedge of the block towards the existing dwellings on the north, i.e. Vlaska side of the block.

Group B - works which do not follow the Badel's morphology at all:

These works propose to keep the Distillery only. All these works propose a composition of blocks that fill the space of the given site. These competition entries do not recognize the "industrial character" of the Badel block, as I defined it above. Consequently, from the heritage interpretation point of view, I consider them of a lesser value than the entries in the Group A + subgroups.

These blocks have different sizes and heights – sometimes there are towers, sometimes big rectangles with the middle central open space, sometimes they are composed of couple of stories high plinth with the high tower raising from it. In these schemes the Distillery building is treated or as an island of equal footprint characteristics as the other islands or as a building of totally different measures then the other new blocks but then creating a focus of the new block composition.

Groups' evaluation:

I consider that the works of Group A, Aa and Ab interpret the "industrial character' of Badel the best because they recognize and then interpret the fact that the Distillery building always belonged and was a part of a complex of buildings tightly spatially related. In architectural and urban sense, the power/significance/historical character of this building is reinstalled with an appropriate measure exactly in these types of schemes. By comparison, the works from the Group B, where the Distillery is standing alone, overly monumentalize or totally neglect, kind of hide between big towers the Distillery building.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

Jurying scale:

Group A (distillery in line with new buildings + public space between on 0): excellent/very good Group Aa (distillery in line with new buildings +public space submerged): excellent/very good Group Ab (distillery in line with new buildings +public space raised up): good/poor

If the work from any of the three groups also propose to 'repeat' the northern side of the Badel's morphology, that makes it even more 'valuable'.

Group B: poor

Aspect 2: majority of works (all works) propose some kind of cultural function in the Distillery building. This type of main function and to it related subfunctions require (relatively) big and uninterrupted spaces, eventually divided only with the building columnar structure. Since the original Distillery's space can be qualified as such (big and uninterrupted), the new cultural function would secure the preservation of this spatial character. The more the new function allows the 'reading' or revealing of this character, the more successful the intervention.

Aspect 3: all works propose no intervention to the Distillery's exterior. In terms of this aspect all the works qualify for next round.

Investor and Promoter:The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, ZagrebOrganizer and Manager:The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

APPENDIX 3. ENTRIES EVALUATION

Entry code 0007803553 Authors Pereira, Luís Pedro das Neves, Author, Portugal	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimina		gross ar	
Rebelo, Pablo , Author, Portugal	Uploaded materials				
	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	og	A3-TXT.	ρατ
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report The entry meets all technical requirements. Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies with the social sustainability criteria because it includes a wide range of social activities as well as an open public space and takes into account the social identity of the place. It has a big potential for dynamic social life. A1) Group Aa: excellent A2) appropriate conversion A3) good Jury Report The entry was awarded the first prize due to the highest quality of urban design. The jury finds the concept's sober architectural language in accordance with the character of Zagreb Lower town. The balance between the perimeter and two-leveled inner courtyards is well proportioned. Sequences of the passages are immaculately directed, both sensitive and overwhelming in experience. Achieved atmospheres vary from pastoral to hypermetropolitan, which makes this entry exceptional.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fl	oors	Under	Above
TrafficOther	GBA in m ²	912		37338	53945
	Residential	134	37	0	13437
	Commercial	50	80	0	5080
Residentia	Retail	30	80	0	3080
Culture Commerci Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	40	80	0	4080
	Culture	122	246	2440	9806
	Traffic	352	218	34898	320
	Other	181	42	0	18142
	Residential units	78 No.of underground floors		2	
	Parking units		960 No.of aboveground floors		10
	Requested parking units	1181	Traffic area p parking place		36,69

Entry code				
0007802929 Authors Mutevelic, Nedim , Coauthor, BiH Vatrenjak, Kenan , Coauthor, BiH Islambegovic, Vedad , Coauthor, BiH	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:		
Jasarevic, Ibrica, Coauthor, BiH	Uploaded materials			
Mutevelic, Asmir , Coauthor, BiH Causevic, Vernes , Coauthor, United	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	df
Kingdom				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report The entry has met the technical capacitated for the proposed p Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies with range of social activities. There project refers to the former indi- neighbourhood. The idea of an everyone and initiating a wide of A1)Group B: poor Distillery is the appropriate since it proposes to say that the function is not app proposed function. A3) ok Jury Report The entry was awarded second finds the definition of proposal's overall volumetry. The interstitia entity. The proposal's relations articulated.	rogramme. h the social sustainabile is open public space ustrial tradition, and tr "unblocked block" is range of social interact hidden between the tow too much compartment ropriate here but rather I prize due to proposa s ground floor plan an al spaces are well prop	lity criteria because which allows socia ies to connect with an acceptable way tion. wers. A2) Building of alizing of the exiting or the spatial solution l's achieved urban d heights well balar portioned, thus crea	e it includes a wide I interaction. The the to giving access to conversion is less g spaces. I would in given for to the aspects. The jury uced, along with the ting a homogenous
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	93913	33340	60573
Restaurants	Residential	20000	0	20000
Retail	Commercial	19625	0	19625
Residential	Retail	6301	0	6301
Commercial	Restaurants	4528	0	4528
	Culture	11621	1502	10119
	Traffic	27574	27574	0
	Other	4264	4264	0
	Residential units	284 floors	nderground	2
	Parking units	787 No.of a floors	boveground	20
	Requested parking units	1418Trafficparking	area per I place	35,04

Entry code								
0007804520 Authors Bua, Alessandro , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 0 for elimination:						
Ariolfo, Ilaria, Author, Italy	Uploaded materials							
Alessio, Andrea , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf				
<image/>	 Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled all technical requirements it lacks parking units for the proposed program Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the social sustainability criteria to the fullest extent. There are wide range of activities and contents which have been provided by the proposed solution. The open public spaces have an important role in the social life. It provides access for various social groups and high level of the social inclusion. The proposed solution also refers to the urban identity. Social sustainability is considered as a dynamic concept which will change over time in a place. This combination of coexistence strategy and flexible concept leads to social interaction, inclusion and cohesion. A1) Group A: excellent morphology A2) Distillery is converted to the hotel which I consid as less appropriate for the recognition of the existing industrial character A3) ok Jury Report The entry was awarded the 3rd prize due to proposal's achieved urban aspects. The project complies with the social sustainability criteria to the fullest extent. It also refers to the historical identity. Social sustainability is considered as a dynamic concept which will change over time in a place. This combination of coexistence strategy and flexible concept which will change over time in a place. This combination of coexistence as a dynamic concept which will change over time in a place. This combination of coexistence strategy and flexible concept leads to social interaction, inclusion and cohesion. Developers' economic rate is underachieved. 							
	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i	cial sustainability criteria I sustainability is conside This combination of co	to the fullest extenered as a dynamic existence strategy	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept				
Graphic tart	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i	icial sustainability criteria al sustainability is conside a. This combination of co nclusion and cohesion. E	to the fullest exten ered as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econon	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is				
Graphic tart	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved.	cial sustainability criteria I sustainability is conside This combination of co	to the fullest extenered as a dynamic existence strategy	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept				
Graphic tart	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved.	cial sustainability criteria al sustainability is conside b. This combination of counclusion and cohesion. E All floors	to the fullest exten ered as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above				
Traffic	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors	to the fullest exten ered as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292				
Graphic tart Traffic Culture Culture Residenti	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	All floors 30092 3026	to the fullest exten ered as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026				
Traffic	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270	to the fullest exten ered as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270				
Traffic Other Culture Restaurants	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270 1701	to the fullest exten pred as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800 0 0 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270 1701				
Traffic Other Culture Restaurants	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	All floors All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270 1701 894	to the fullest exten pred as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800 0 0 0 0 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270 1701 894				
Traffic Other Culture Restaurants	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	All floors All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270 1701 894 6051	to the fullest exten pred as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom Under 2800 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270 1701 894 6051				
Traffic Culture Restaurants	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	All floors All floors All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270 1701 894 6051 9665 3485 50 No.of u floors	to the fullest exten pred as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270 1701 894 6051 6865				
Traffic Culture Restaurants	project complies with the so the historical identity. Social change over time in a place leads to social interaction, i underachieved. Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	All floors All floors All floors All floors 30092 3026 5270 1701 894 6051 9665 3485 50 No.of u floors	to the fullest exten pred as a dynamic existence strategy Developers' econom 2800 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2800 0 0 0 0 0	t. It also refers to concept which will and flexible concept nic rate is Above 27292 3026 5270 1701 894 6051 6865 3485				

г

units	768	Iraffic area per parking place		40,10			
Parking units	770	floors		16			
Residential units	140	floors		2			
Other		0	0	0			
Traffic	30	880 3	0880	0			
Culture	27	781	0	2781			
Restaurants	4	176	0	4176			
Retail	69	966	0	6966			
Commercial	69	966	0	6966			
Residential	69	966	0	6966			
GBA in m ²			0880	27855			
Computational table	All f	ioors U	Inder	Above			
 remaining on the stages to deviately in the way they are Gorica, although the Gorica basically is why do the proteethen? Jury Report The entry investigates the allocation 	come." I am si protected in 2 change would acted buildings ternative theor	urprised that the old 2012 – the Distillery d happen only in so s fall under differen retical framework to	d/ protected / and only the me later stand t rules and	buildings remain ne facade of ige. My critique which is that rule			
A1) Group B: poor Following their 'ideological' proposal that "New connections alter not only the systems bringing forth new conditions but it alters the objects themselves forcing them to work in certain directions. These new conditions will leave, so inescapable,							
- the proposal meets all tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with th is a coherent theoretical cor	nical requirer e social susta ncept, in the s	inability criteria onl ame time, it is just a	an open fra	mework which			
B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.j	pg	A3-TXT.p	df			
Uploaded materials							
Technical Committee votes for elimination:			Pruchase	prize			
	votes for elimination: Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Advisory experts opinion The proposal meets all tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the is a coherent theoretical corcould encourage unpredictal A1) Group B: poor Following only the systems bringing for them to work in certain direct remaining on the stages to cexactely in the way they are Gorica, although the Gorica basically is why do the protect then? Jury Report The entry investigates the all practises, that the jury recoge Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other Residential units Parking units Requested parking	votes for elimination: 0 for elimination: Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.j Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technical requirer Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the social susta is a coherent theoretical concept, in the social susta is a coherent theoretical concept, in the social encourage unpredictable social pratical them to work in certain directions. These remaining on the stages to come." I am sexactely in the way they are protected in Gorica, although the Gorica change would basically is why do the protected building: then? Jury Report The entry investigates the alternative theo practises, that the jury recognized as high GBA in m ² 58 Residential 63 Retail 63 Retail 63 Retail 63 Retail 63 Residential units 140 Parking units 770 Requested parking 768	votes for elimination: 0 for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-MBD.jpg B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technical requirements Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the social sustainability criteria onlis a coherent theoretical concept, in the same time, it is just a could encourage unpredictable social practices. It brings bot only the systems bringing forth new conditions will remaining on the stages to come." I am surprised that the old exactely in the way they are protected in 2012 – the Distiller Gorica, although the Gorica change would happen only in so basically is why do the protected buildings fail under different then? Jury Report The entry investigates the alternative theoretical framework to practises, that the jury recognized as highly valuable. Computational table All floors U GBA in m ² 58735 3 Residential 6966 1 Retail 6966 1 Retail 6966 1 Retail 6966 3 Other 0 1 Residential units 140 No.of undergroup floors Parking units 770 No.of abovegroup floors Requested parking	Technical Committee votes for elimination: Jury votes for elimination: Awards r Pruchase gross anne (cc 3.78) Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pd B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pd Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technical requirements Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the social sustainability criteria only to a small is a coherent theoretical concept, in the same time, it is just an open fra could encourage unpredictable social practices. It brings both possibiliti A1) Group B: poor Following their 'ideological' proposal that "New conne only the systems bringing forth new conditions buil it alters the objects th them to work in certain directions. These new conditions will leave, so in remaining on the stages to come." I am surprised that the old/ protected exactely in the way they are protected buildings fall under different rules and then? Jury Report The entry investigates the alternative theoretical framework to the establi- practises, that the jury recognized as highly valuable. Computational table All floors Under GBA in m ² 58735 30880 Residential 6966 0 Restaurants 4176 0 Culture 2781 0 Residential units 140 No.of underground floors Parking units 770 No.of aboveground floors			

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

	I	Organizer and Manage	er: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ		
Entry code 0007803112 Authors Cornago, Iñigo , Author, Spain	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:				
Beloqui, Miguel , Author, Spain Ramos, Sergio , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials					
namos, oorgio, Autior, opain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the design proposal lies within the field of public participation, thus not presenting an optimal solution, but plenty of them - by following that idea, the entry is explained in detail, with the adaptation of standard technical requirements Advisory experts opinion The author(s) propose a methodology of the urban planning, but they do not offer a solution for Badel Block. Even though the methodology is socially sustainable, it does not guarantee successful regeneration of Badel area. My standard criteria are not applicable My comment: they propose to use Distillery for the office of the Open Block Agency. Again, like with the project 0007803562, the heritage is taken for granted and the application of the main concept is not extended to it. This 'participation' project I find particularly interesting for questioning the heritage evaluation process for exampleyet these participants do not, seems like. I think that this can also b interesting for discussion on the project. Jury Report The entry proposes open participatory city planning pratices, that the jury recognized as highly valuable contribution to the entire competition theme. 					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Traffic	GBA in m ²	20062	270	19792		
	Residential	0	0	0		
	Commercial	0	0	0		
	Retail	0	0	0		
	Restaurants	270	0	270		
	Culture	2895	270	2625		
	Traffic	4395	0	4395		
	Other	12502	0	12502		
	Residential units	tial units 0 No.of underground floors		0		
	Parking units	176 No.of abo floors	37			

			J	
Entry code 0007802987				
Authors				
Macola, Giorgio , Author, Italy Zanetti, Adolfo , Coauthor, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND Awards	round
Lazzaro, Manolo , Associate author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Tikulin, Petra , Associate author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Simunkovic, Mato , Associate author, Sonego, Mark , Associate author, Pagnacco, Alvise , Associate author,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled the con			
	Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wir range of social activities as we the urban tradition and local hi preserves the old industrial ide A1) Group A: excellent A2) ok Jury Report The entry was advanced to the especially in the inner courty a inappropriate.	th the social sustainabil as an open public sp story. The new hangar ntity as well as genius A3) ok e final award round due	lity criteria becaus ace. The propose is good reference loci. to proposal's ach	d solution refers to e/reminder which ieved urban aspect,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	
Tranc				Above
1 am	GBA in m ²	105599	45349	Above 60250
Culture	GBA in m² Residential			
	-	105599	45349	60250
	Residential	105599 18301	45349 0	60250 18301
Restaurants Other	Residential Commercial	105599 18301 19577	45349 0 0	60250 18301 19577
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail	105599 18301 19577 16732	45349 0 0 2353	60250 18301 19577 14379
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	105599 18301 19577 16732 2058	45349 0 0 2353 498	60250 18301 19577 14379 1560
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	105599 18301 19577 16732 2058 7094	45349 0 0 2353 498 1046	60250 18301 19577 14379 1560 6048
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	105599 18301 19577 16732 2058 7094 39750 2087 160 No. of un floors	45349 0 0 2353 498 1046 39365 2087 mderground	60250 18301 19577 14379 1560 6048 385
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	105599 18301 19577 16732 2058 7094 39750 2087 160 No. of un floors	45349 0 0 2353 498 1046 39365 2087	60250 18301 19577 14379 1560 6048 385 0
Restaurants Other Retail Residential	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other Residential units	105599 18301 19577 16732 2058 7094 39750 2087 160 No. of un floors 1500 No. of al floors	45349 0 0 2353 498 1046 39365 2087 nderground boveground	60250 18301 19577 14379 1560 6048 385 0 3

			agen. The Lagreb Ober		
Entry code 0007804040					
Authors	Technical Committee	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Awards	round	
dapsis, ronald , Project team, United States	Uploaded materials				
bulgur, mustafa , Project team, Orsini, Alessandro , Author of the	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf	
architectural project, United States raxhimi, alsira , Project leader, United States		2	/ / 0 ///.		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled the tech except presentation of traffic a Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wit range of social activities as we identity of the place. The requi emphasized.	nd road network h the social sustainabi Il as an open public sp	lity criteria becaus	o account the social	
	A1) Group A/Group Ab: good	A2) ok A3) ok			
	Jury Report The entry was advanced to the but formal allure of the hooveri content.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
	GBA in m ²	66281	20200	46081	
Other	Residential	10463	0	10463	
Culture -	Commercial	11040	0	11040	
Residential	Retail	1000	0	1000	
Restaurants Commercial	Restaurants	1900	200	1700	
	Culture	15878	0	15878	
	Traffic	20000	20000	0	
		6000	0	6000	
	Other	6000	ů.	0000	
	Other Residential units	120 No.of u floors	nderground	2	
		120 No.of u floors			

		Organizer and Man	ager. The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)
Entry code 0007804074	Taskaisel Committee	lumunatea		
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Awards	round
Diaconescu, Sorin Valeriu , Author, Romania	Uploaded materials			
Diaconescu, Cristina Maria, Author, Romania	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Cimpeanu, Traian , Author, Romania			l	
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the proposal meets all techni note: the correct spatial indic Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities and takes in have designed the buildings (or	cal requirements cators for underground ith the social sustainab no account the social i or building elements) as trically dividing the Dis es the Distillery building version A3) good	vility criteria. It incl dentity of the place is a reference to th stillery building from stands too much e to proposal's ach	udes a wide range e. The author(s) e industrial heritage. n the rest of the new out from the rest of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Retail Culture	GBA in m ²	All floors 73371	Under 12423	Above 60948
Traffic				
Other	Residential	18354	0	18354
	Commercial	22920	0	22920
Commercial Residential	Retail	11925	0	11925
	Restaurants	2868	0	2868
	Culture	4881	0	4881
	Traffic	12423	12423	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	145 No.of u floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1410 No.of a floors	aboveground	26
	Requested parking units	1380 Traffic parking	area per g place	8,81

		-		Zagreb Societ	
Entry code 0007804480	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 0 for eliminat	ion: 0	ROUND Awards	round
Authors	Uploaded materials	•			
Upmeyer, Bernd , Author, Netherlands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	g	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee reportion of the entry meets all technication of the entry proposes different newly formed public square, - one of the proposed variation which the spatial indicators of possibilities - the underground parking is Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies range of social contents. The project tries to connect Bade public spaces (Badel block - A1) Group B: poor The Distil Distillery But this is the project building in general A3) ok Jury Report The entry was advanced to the space of the space of the project to the project to the project to the project to the project highly complication of the project tries to connect Bade public spaces (Badel block - A1) Group B: poor The Distil Distillery But this is the project building in general A3) ok 	al requirements th variations of through severa ons is develope were calculated s seriously under with the social ere is open put el square with the market) llery is isolated ect with the mos	developing three of al stages ed into the preser I. The other variat ercapacitated in t sustainability crite blic space which a he neighbourhood and monumental st interesting inter	nted project tions are sh he presente eria becaus allows socia d and make ized A2) go pretation of	proposal, upon nown in diagrams of ed proposal e it includes a wide al interaction. The es a network of bod converted Gorica fasade and
Graphic tart	especially the suggested 24/ lack further design developm Computational table				
Culture		AU 61.		Las al sur	
Restaurants Traffic		All flo		Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	884		24000	Above 64460
Restaurants Traffic	GBA in m ² Residential		60 2		
Restaurants		884	60 2 60	24000	64460
Restaurants Retail	Residential	884 401	60 2 60 20	24000 0	64460 40160
Restaurants Retail	Residential Commercial	884 401 682	60 2 60 20 50	24000 0 0	64460 40160 6820
Restaurants Traffic	Residential Commercial Retail	884 401 682 101	60 2 60 20 50 00	24000 0 0 0	64460 40160 6820 10150
Restaurants Traffic	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	884 401 682 101 500	60 2 60 20 50 30	24000 0 0 0 0	64460 40160 6820 10150 5000
Restaurants Traffic	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	884 401 682 101 500 218	60 2 60 2 60 2 50 2 30 2 50 2	24000 0 0 0 0 0 0	64460 40160 6820 10150 5000 2180
Restaurants Traffic	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	884 401 682 101 500 218 241	60 2 60 2 60 2 50 2 30 2 50 2	24000 0 0 0 0 24000 0	64460 40160 6820 10150 5000 2180 150
Restaurants Traffic	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	884 401 682 101 500 218 241	60 2 60 2 50 50 50 50 50 50 2 50 2 50 2 No.of undergro	24000 0 0 0 0 0 24000 0 0 0	64460 40160 6820 10150 5000 2180 150 0

		Organizer and Mar	nager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803734	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND	round
Authors	ן∫└			lound
Shevchenko, Andrii , Author, Ukraine Kuzmin, Olexii , Associate author, Patlai, Daria , Associate author, Kalenskyi, Ihor , Associate author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - lower GBA, undercapacitated Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wi range of social activities. Ther and encourages interpersonal and tries to create "special incomplication A2) good A3) good Jury Report The entry was advanced to the but social interaction is restric	e technical requireme d parking th the social sustainat e is the open public s communication. The lustrial spirit". Distillery conversion – od	ility criteria becau bace which allows project refers to the good function but I e to proposal's ach	social interaction e historical buildings ess well spatial ieved urban aspect,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	53510	20138	33372
	Residential	1896	0	1896
Culture — Other — Residential	Commercial	4279	0	4279
Commercial	Retail	6441	0	6441
Restaurants	Restaurants	2610	0	2610
	Culture	18479	475	18004
	Traffic	19663	19663	0
	Other	142	0	142
	Residential units	60 No.of floors	underground	3
	Parking units		aboveground	12
	Requested parking		area per g place	49,03

Entry code 0007802588	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Awards	round
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Rališ, Ivan , Author, Croatia Bodrožić, Siniša , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report -the entry has met all the technical Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wir range of social activities and of has potential for various social A1) Group B: poor – the Distil theatre (more of a classical ty because it covers a lot of it. A Jury Report The entry was advanced to the Its initial volumetry lacks a layer 	nical requirements of th th the social sustainabi different groups of user I activities. lery building is overly n pe of stage), I conside 2) good A3) good e final award round due	ility criteria becaus s. The open space nonumentalized plu r less appropriate f e to proposal's ach	e inside the block us the type of for the space
Graphic tart	Computational table		Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	All floors 99970	Under 45560	54410
Other	Residential	13600	0	13600
	Commercial	9400	0	9400
Culture Residential	al	-		
Culture Residenti	Retail	16320	5620	10700
The second se		16320 600	5620 0	10700 600
Restaurants				
Restaurants	Restaurants	600	0	600
Restaurants	Restaurants Culture	600 6960	0	600 6960
Restaurants	Restaurants Culture Traffic	600 6960 39600 13490 170 No.of u floors	0 0 39600	600 6960 0

		Organizer and Mar	hager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804500 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Awards	round
planišček, anja, Author, Slovenia	Uploaded materials			
Kruh, Martin , Author, Slovenia Tomazin, Sara , Author, Slovenia Zabel, Vid , Author, Slovenia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech but the parking area is below Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies w range of social activities. Ther author(s) taking into account is workshops is a good framewood A1) Group B: poor; the buildir levels surrounding the Distiller conversion less successful be theatre. A3) ok Jury Report The entry was advanced to the The proposal's idea of making	nnical requirements of than requested for the ith the social sustainab re are contents intende the wider context of thi rk for sustainable deve ng is isolated island. He y make impression of ecause of (again as 00 e final award round du	proposed program pility criteria becau ed to different social s part of town. The elopment of the cor powever, the flying t some contextualize 07802588) more "e	se it includes a wide al actors. The idea of the nmunity. erraces on different ation. A2) Building classical" type of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Culture Restaurants Traffic		All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	72327	18990	53337
Retail—	Residential	11441	0	11441
	Commercial	13335	0	13335
Resident	Retail	22564	0	22564
Commercial	Restaurants	747	0	747
	Culture	5250	0	5250
	Traffic	18990	18990	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	2
	Parking units	598 No.of a floors	aboveground	16
	Requested parking units		area per g place	31,76

	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	38,86		
	Parking units	noors	aboveground	8		
	Residential units	100 No.of	underground	3		
	Other	3465	0	3465		
	Traffic	42781	42270	511		
	Culture	4651	0	4651		
Commercial	Restaurants	1571	0	1571		
Restaurants	Retail	9403	0	9403		
Culture	Commercial	13059	0	13059		
	GBA in m ² Residential	96974 22044	42270	54704 22044		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
	Jury Report The entry was advanced to the The clear definition of the pub possibility for social coherenc inappropriate.	lic space makes the	square pleasant sigl	nt, but without		
	A1) Group B: poor unjustifiable	A1) Group B: poor unjustifiable monumental the Distillery A2) ok A3) ok				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical - proposed purpose for the ye Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wi range of social activities as we synagogue is an abandonmen interesting idea but this new u For example, how to achieve a environment?	requirements ast factory is a syna th the social sustaina ell as an open public t of the traditional fur rban situation has to	gogue bility criteria becau space. It promotes s inction and the identi be elaborated in the	se it includes a w social inclusion. T ty. It is an e local urban cont		
RAVNIĆ, NENAD , Project team, Croatia Marunica, Kata , Project team, Croatia	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
Authors Pavic, Dijana , Project team, Croatia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	round		
0007804992						

Entry code			<u></u>	
0007804398 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Awards	round
Tamborino, Manuela Dias, Author,	Uploaded materials			
Portugal Amaral, João Pedro Escaleira , Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1.MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - underground floor plan is sch - the parking area is under cap - the entry has fulfilled other tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the s wide range of activities and condition Availability of open public space groups and high level of social and the sense of the place, tak of town. The essential contribut fulfills the need to participate in A1) Group B: poor the Distillery that "The rehabilitation of the of home of the existing Muzej Ulič in order to create this cluster" I building of the entire site. A2) a Jury Report The entry was advanced to the	acitated for the propo chnical requirements ocial sustainability cri- ntents which have bee es is emphasized. It p inclusion. Proposed s ing into account the la ion of the project is in neighborhood design y building becomes to d distillery to become ne Umjetnosti and ext found the best way i appropriate conversio	teria to the fullest e en provided by the provides access fo solution refers to th ack of the cultural of n its concept based n. to monumental. How the MUUA (Museu rended its activities n terms of tempora n A3) good	proposed solution. r various social e urban tradition contents in this part l on street art which wever, their claim im of Urban Art) will be the first step I phasing the
Graphic tart	The jury finds the atomized sca Computational table	ale of urban congestic	on inappropriate.	
		All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	90494	34802	55692
Restaurants	Residential	22959	0	22959
Retail	Commercial	19617	1968	17649
Residential	Retail	4920	639	4281
Commercial	Restaurants	3570	0	3570
	Culture	7233	0	7233
	Traffic	32195	32195	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	260 No.of u floors	underground	3
	Parking units		aboveground	18
	Requested parking units		area per g place	35,19

Entry code 0007802997 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection	
Hrsak, Lada , Author, Croatia Casas Valle, Daniel , Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf	
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry has met the technical requirements, but lacks parking units for the proposed programme Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies with the social sustainability criteria because it includes a wide range of social activities. There is huge open public space which allows social interaction. The author(s) makes the site more dynamic by double space use! The project refers to the former industrial tradition, and tries to connect with the existing surrounding contents (green market). A1) Group B: poor Distillery isolated, out of historical context and meaning plus spatially maken o dialogue with the big sqaure/circle next to it. (even the lights don't really help). A2) Building conersion appropriate. A3) good Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requirements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round. 				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Graphic tart	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 87110	Under 33160	Above 53950	
Culture Traffic	- -				
Culture Traffic Restaurants	GBA in m ²	87110	33160	53950	
Culture Traffic Restaurants Retail	GBA in m² Residential	87110 27300	33160 0	53950 27300	
Culture Traffic Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	87110 27300 16800	33160 0 0	53950 27300 16800	
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	87110 27300 16800 9000	33160 0 0 4600	53950 27300 16800 4400	
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	87110 27300 16800 9000 1760	33160 0 0 4600 360	53950 27300 16800 4400 1400	
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	87110 27300 16800 9000 1760 4650	33160 0 0 4600 360 600	53950 27300 16800 4400 1400 4050	
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	87110 27300 16800 9000 1760 4650 27600 0 260 No.of u	33160 0 0 4600 360 600 27600	53950 27300 16800 4400 1400 4050 0	
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	87110 27300 16800 9000 1760 4650 27600 0 260 No.of u floors	33160 0 0 4600 360 600 27600 0	53950 27300 16800 4400 1400 4050 0 0	

Authors Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 ROUND Bach, Nenad, Author, Croatia Loncarevic Zibret, Lea, Author, Croatia Lax, Birg, Coauthor, Austria Licitar, Hrvoje, Visualisation, Croatia Technical Committee report ATXT.pdf First ytumb image Technical Committee report	Entry code 0007800244		<u> </u>				
Loncarevic Zibret, Lea, Author, Croatia Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf String in Coauthor, Austria Licitar, Hrvoje, Visualisation, Croatia Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technical requirements, but the parking area is undercapacitated for the proposed programme Advisory experts opinion The project holps with the social sustainability criteria. The solution includes a wde range of social activities. Open event area is the core for developing social life. The author(s) taking into account the wider context of this part of town as well as industrial neritage. Alvisory experts opinion The project holps with the social sustainability criteria. The solution includes a wde range of social activities. Open event area is the core for developing social life. The author(s) taking into account the wider context of this part of town as well as industrial neritage. Alvisory experts opinion The origet holps complex with the social sustainability criteria. The solution includes a wde range of social activities. Open event area is the core for developing social life. The author(s) taking into account the wider context of this part of town as well as industrial neritage. Alvisory experts opinion The origet holps context of the competition requirements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn's find enough quality to advance it to the award round. Computational table Computational table A					selection		
Holinger, Gerald, Author, Austria B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf Entry thumb image Technical Committee report	Loncarevic Zibret, Lea , Author,	Uploaded materials					
Licitar, Hrvoje, Visualisation, Croatia Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technical requirements, but the parking area is undercapacitated for the proposed programme Advisory experts opinion Advisory experts opinion Advisory experts opinion Advisopin experts opinion A	Hollinger, Gerald , Author, Austria	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
 the entry has met the technical requirements, but the parking area is undercapacitated for the proposed programme Advisory experts opinion The project highly complex with the social sustainability criteria. The solution includes a wide range of social activities. Open event area is the core for developing social life. The autor (s) taking into account the wider context of this part of town as well as industrial heritage. A1) Group A: very good A2) conversion appropriate A3) ok Jury Report The entry fuffiled most of the competition requirements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, athough it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round. Computational table Computational table GEA in m⁴ 112970 50400 62570 Residential 17889 0 17889 188 Restaurants 4218 0 0<td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>							
Caraphic tart Computational table All floors Under Above GBA in m ² 112970 50400 62570 Residential 17889 0 17889 Commercial 20936 0 20936 Retail 10158 4970 5188 Restaurants 4218 0 4218 Culture 6800 0 6800 Traffic 45430 45430 0 Quested parking 1287 No.of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No.of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1287 Traffic area per 25 20	Entry thumb image	-the entry has met the technic the proposed programme Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies w wide range of social activities author(s) taking into account the heritage.	al requirements, but th th the social sustainab Open event area is the he wider context of this	ility criteria. The s e core for develop s part of town as w	olution includes a ing social life. The		
Image: connectableAll floorsUnderAboveGBA in m21129705040062570Residential17889017889Commercial20936020936Retail1015849705188Restaurants421804218Culture680006800Traffic45430454300Other753907539Residential units193No.of underground floors3Parking units1287No.of aboveground floors20Requested parking1546Traffic area per25 20		The entry fulfilled most of the					
Residential17889017889Commercial20936020936Retail1015849705188Restaurants421804218Culture680006800Traffic45430454300Other753907539Residential units193No.of underground floors3Parking units1287No.of aboveground floors20Requested parking1516Traffic area per25 20	Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Commercial 20936 0 20936 Retail 10158 4970 5188 Restaurants 4218 0 4218 Culture 6800 0 6800 Traffic 45430 45430 0 Other 7539 0 7539 Residential units 193 No. of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No. of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1546 Traffic area per 25 20		GBA in m ²	112970	50400	62570		
Restaurants 20936 0 20936 Commercial 10158 4970 5188 Restaurants 4218 0 4218 Culture 6800 0 6800 Traffic 45430 45430 0 Other 7539 0 7539 Residential units 193 No.of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No.of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1546 Traffic area per 25 20	Other	Residential	17889	0	17889		
Retail 10136 4970 5166 Restaurants 4218 0 4218 Culture 6800 0 6800 Traffic 45430 45430 0 Other 7539 0 7539 Residential units 193 No.of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No.of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1516 Traffic area per 25 20		Commercial	20936	0	20936		
Commercial Culture 6800 0 6800 Traffic 45430 45430 0 Other 7539 0 7539 Other 193 No.of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No.of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1516 Traffic area per 25 20		Retail	10158	4970	5188		
Traffic45430454300Other753907539Residential units193No. of underground floors3Parking units1287No. of aboveground floors20Requested parking1516Traffic area per25 20	Commercial	Restaurants	4218	0	4218		
Other753907539Residential units193No. of underground floors3Parking units1287No. of aboveground floors20Requested parking1516Traffic area per25 20		Culture	6800	0	6800		
Residential units 193 No. of underground floors 3 Parking units 1287 No. of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1516 Traffic area per 25 20		Traffic	45430	45430	0		
Residential units 193 floors 3 Parking units 1287 No. of aboveground floors 20 Requested parking 1516 Traffic area per 25 20		Other	7539	0	7539		
Requested parking 1516 Traffic area per 25.20			floors	-			
		Parking units		boveground	20		
					35,30		

		Organizer and N	hanager. The Zagreb Soc	ety of Architects (ZSA/D/
Entry code				
0007803015 Authors	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Salas Ballestín, Juan Carlos , Author,	Uploaded materials			
Spain Oluic, Tijana , Author, Serbia Jovanovic, Ljubica , Author, Serbia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - not all characteristic floor pla - the entry has fulfilled other tec - more GBA underground than - vehicle access only from wid Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi range of social activities. Prop open to the surrounding area, A1) Group Aa: very good the I the historical urbanistic contex stand up little bit too much. A2 Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	Ins are shown in sca echnical requirement a above ground lened Derencinova ith the social sustain losed solution refers but it is not clear ho Distillery is well integ t. However, the stip) good A3) good	ts street ability criteria becau s to the traditional urb ow to revive various s grated in the propose is somewhat too low nents. The jury reco	oan identity. It is social activities. ed strip 'repeating' letting the building t gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Traffic	GBA in m ²	All floors	Under	Above
Other	-	57962	36362	21600
	Residential	2400	0	2400
Residential	Commercial	9916	3500	6416
Culture — Commercial	Retail	2518	0	2518
Restaurants	Restaurants	1960	0	1960
	Culture	9028	6512	2516
	Traffic	24940	24940	0
	Other	7200	1410	5790
	Residential units	48 No.c	of underground	3
	Parking units		of aboveground	18
	Requested parking units		fic area per ing place	33,66

Entry code		Organizer a	and Manager. The z		
0007802907 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination	: 0	ROUND Second s	election
Wong, Dong-Ping , Author, United States	Uploaded materials				
Coates, Archie Lee, Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pc	lf
Franklin, Jeffrey , Author, United States					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met most of the parking levels, and parking are capacitated for 10% Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi range of social activities. It als A1)Group B: poor; the Building very appropriate and interestin Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	ea according to th the social sus o includes open g remains an isla g function prop competition requ	the proposed p stainability crite space as a co and A2) Howev osal A3) ok iierements. The	rogramme i eria because re of social er, the Disti	s under- e it includes a wide life. llery's conversion nized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor:	e	nder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	96500		2000	64500
Restaurants	Residential	21500		0	21500
Other	Commercial	17000		0	17000
Residential	Retail	10000		0	10000
Commercial	Restaurants	5000		0	5000
	Culture	11000		0	11000
	Traffic	32000	32	2000	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	350 f	No.of undergro		2
	Parking units		No.of abovegro Noors	und	15
	Requested parking	1473	Traffic area per		25,20

Г

Entry code 0007804186	Technical Committee	Jury votes	ROUND	
Authors	votes for elimination: 0	for elimination:	0 Second	selection
Ivanovic, Tamara , Author, Netherlands	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Trzin, Tatjana , Consultant,	BT-PAN.pul	вт-мвр.јрд	A3-171.	bui
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the proposal strongly uses th building on top of others, chan - underground areas not calcu undercapacitated parking units - the proposal meets other tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the s range of social contents and a social groups and high level of associated with the urban trad for the social interactions. A1) Group B: poor A2) The co Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	e scope of competition a aging the residential high lated in table, otherwise s hnical requirements social sustainability criter actors. The open public s the social interaction. T ition and delivers locally proversion of the Distillery competition requierement	rise into a hotel) existing with a sl ria to the fullest e pace provides an he physical infra based services a is appropriate A ts. The jury reco	ightly extent. There is wide ccess for various structure is and an opportunity 3) ok gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Restaurants Uniture Retail	GBA in m ²	All floors 73729	Under 1509	Above 72220
Commercial				-
	Residential	36870	0	36870
	Commercial	8426	518	7908
	Retail	5891	0	5891
Residential	Restaurants	1595	0	1595
	Culture	7957	991	6966
	Traffic	0	0	0
	Other	12990	0	12990
	Residential units	213 No.of und floors	derground	3
	Parking units		oveground	15
	Requested parking units	1408Traffic ar parking p		0,00

Entry code 0007804232 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND Second s	selection
Pstraś, Jakub , Author, Poland	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf		A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled the competition requirements, but the format of the MAIN BOARD is in pdf instead of jpg as required Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies with the social sustainability criteria. It includes a wide range of social activities and an open public space (small squares). A1) Group B: poor however, I find the extension of the roofs above the Distillery too good gesture of integration A2) good A3) excellent Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	L	Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	126467		59400	67067
	Residential	15604		0	15604
Culture	Commercial	20180		0	20180
Restaurants	Retail	13006		0	13006
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	4341		0	4341
	Culture	13936		0	13936
	Traffic	59400	5	59400	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units Parking units	170 No.of underground floors 1700 No.of aboveground			3 9
	Requested parking units	1552 Traff	s ic area pe ng place	r	34,94

		Orgai	nizer and Manager: The	Zagreb Societ	y of Architects (ZSA/DA	
Entry code 0007804095				1		
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury vote 0 for elimina		ROUND Second s	selection	
Vucic, Jelena , Author, Serbia Kljakovic, Lidija , Author,	Uploaded materials					
Grbic, Milos , Author, Doncevski, Bojana , Author, Jovanovic, Damjan , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.	ipg	A3-TXT.p	df	
Sovanovic, Danjan, Adulor,						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repo the entry has fulfilled all tech		ments			
	Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies of social activities and public of dynamic social life. There especially for the disabled po- solution.	space. Oper	n public space is an with the access to	n opportunit the public o	y for development garden in the block	
	A1) Group A: very good; the Distillery building looks like entrance to the adjacent spaces, just like in the old situation A2) ok A3) ok					
	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round.					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All	floors L	Jnder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	11	8217 5	53829	64388	
Other	Residential	20	205	0	20205	
Culture	Commercial	19	026	0	19026	
Restaurants Residential	Retail	8	977	0	8977	
Commercial	Restaurants	29	937	0	2937	
	Culture	7	083	0	7083	
	Traffic	53	829 5	53829	0	
	Other	6	160	0	6160	
	Residential units	157	No.of undergro floors		3	
	Parking units	1383	No of abovegrou		9	

Г

Entry code 0007804324	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Bello, Carlos , Author, Netherlands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has met the technical proposed programme Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wid different social activities and co and interaction between differ public square are incorporated continuity of the local identity. A1) Group A: good A2) Conver Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	al requirements, execpt th the social sustainab contents. The social life ent social groups. The d in the wider context of ersion of Distillery good	ility criteria. It mea is linked with the daily social rituals of this area (marked A3) ok ents. The jury reco	ns that includes open public space which take place in t). It ensures gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flags	linder	Altaura
Traffic	1	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²		36000	
Culture	GBA in m² Residential	95500		59500 17600
Culture		95500	36000	59500
Other	Residential	95500 17600	36000 0	59500 17600
Restaurants	Residential	95500 17600 19200	36000 0 0	59500 17600 19200
Restaurants Retail Residentiat	Residential Commercial Retail	95500 17600 19200 8000	36000 0 0 0	59500 17600 19200 8000
Restaurants Other Retail Residentiat	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	95500 17600 19200 8000 1000	36000 0 0 0 0	59500 17600 19200 8000 1000
Restaurants Retail Residentiat	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	95500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700	36000 0 0 0 0 0	59500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700
Restaurants Other Retail Residentiat	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	95500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700 36000 0 254 No.of u floors	36000 0 0 0 0 0 36000 0 underground	59500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700 0
Restaurants Other Retail Residentiat	Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	95500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700 36000 0 254 No.of u floors	36000 0 0 0 0 0 36000 0	59500 17600 19200 8000 1000 13700 0 0

		Organizer and Ma	nager. The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA2
Entry code 0007803684				
Authors Locardi, Chiara , Author, Italy Civardi, Marco , Coauthor, Italy Ardesio, Giacomo , Project team, Cairoli, Benedetta , Project team, Caprino, Veronica , Project team, Olocco, Lucrecia Sofia, Project team, Perrotti, Daniela , Consultant for landscape planning, Losi, Filippo , Consultant for environment, Bonizzoni, Alessandro , Project team, visualisations, Manara, Edoardo , Collaborator - design,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND Second A3-TXT.	selection pdf
Barabaschi, Maddalena , Collaborator - rendering, Hodara, Matias , Collaborator - rendering,				
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry has met the technica program Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wi offers different social activities space. Flexible and adaptable identity and urban memories. A1) Group B: poor A2) Appro Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the the proposal, although it didn't 	I requirements, but la th the social sustainal and contents. Social space is emphasized priate building conver competition requieren	bility criteria. It mea I life is linked with th I. It also ensures co sion A3) good nents. The jury reco	ins that solution he huge open public ntinuity of local
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	82008	28344	53664
Restaurants Other	Residential	16918	0	16918
	Commercial	13248	0	13248
Retail	Retail	10344	1344	9000
Commercial	Restaurants	4306	0	4306
	Culture	6464	0	6464
	Traffic	27000	27000	0
	Traffic Other	27000 3728	27000 0	0 3728
	Other Residential units	297 No. of floors	0 underground	3728
	Other	3728 297 No.of floors	0 underground aboveground	3728

Entry code				
0007802829 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Jurkovic, Stanislav , Coauthor, Canada	Uploaded materials			
Balbaa, Taymoore R, Coauthor, Canada	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-txt.pc	lf
Wong, Chris, Coauthor, Canada				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the - undercapacitated parking spi- floors Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi range of social activities. The p and tries to connect with the ex- A1) Group A: good the Distiller questionable spatial relationshi unresolved. A2) good A3) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainabi proposed solution refer xisting surrounding cor ry and the triangular ne p: are the equal or not	as mistake in num lity criteria becau is to the former in itents (market). w building next to in volumetric term	se it includes a wide dustrial tradition, it make ns remains gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Abovo
Tranc	GBA in m ²	106778	45672	Above 61106
Culture —	Residential	21516	864	20652
Restaurants	Commercial	17140	232	16908
Retail — Residential	Retail	10166	0	10166
Commercial	Restaurants	2787	0	2787
	Culture	12835	2242	10593
	Traffic	42334	42334	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	0
	Parking units	1055 No.of a floors	boveground	8
	Requested parking units	1388 Traffic a parking	area per place	40,13

Entry code 0007804127				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Simic, Nenad , Author, Serbia Matejic, Marko , Author,	Uploaded materials			
Zamurovic, Nikola , Author, Cogoljevic, Ana , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Omanovic, Edin , Author, Joksimovic, Aleksandar , Author,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled all techni Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi (culture and arts, sports, fun e Excellent interpretation of the C questioning for the Distillery Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't	cal requirements ith the social sustainat tc.) and opens huge p Gorica façade. Again, competition requierem	ublic space. I am missing the s ents. The jury reco	ame sense of gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Graphic tart		All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 98869	Under 30786	Above 68083
Culture Traffic Restaurants				
Culture Traffic Restaurants Other	GBA in m ²	98869	30786	68083
Culture Traffic Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential	98869 19500	30786 0	68083 19500
Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	98869 19500 19500	30786 0 0	68083 19500 19500
Retal	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	98869 19500 19500 10000	30786 0 0 0	68083 19500 19500 10000
Retal	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	98869 19500 19500 10000 10000	30786 0 0 0 0	68083 19500 19500 10000 10000
Retal	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	98869 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843	30786 0 0 0 0 0 0	68083 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843
Retal	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	98869 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843 31026 0 390 No.of u floors	30786 0 0 0 0 0 0 30786 0 underground	68083 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843 240
Retal	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	98869 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843 31026 0 390 No.of u floors	30786 0 0 0 0 0 0 30786 0	68083 19500 19500 10000 10000 8843 240 0

Entry code 0007802869							
Authors serboli, luigi , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection			
Busi, Andrea , Project team, Italy Antonelli, Sara , Project team, Italy	Uploaded materials						
Bardelli, Francesco, Consultant, Italy Taini, Arrigo, Consultant,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	.pdf			
Mandelli, Nicola , Project collaboration, Alberti, Alessandro , Project collaboration,			I				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical req Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies with range of social activities. Oper Proposed solution refers to the Social justice (equity) is seen to A1) between Group A and Gro northern side of the Distillery v interpretation of the interior of heritage-wise very appropriate the staircase added to the build Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainabi n public space is availa e urban tradition and cu through a nonhierarch up B: goodish; The wo which contextualized th the Distillery very diffe ; whereas the same ca ding's east elevation. A	ble for different so reates a unique se ical public space. In follows the morp is building somewhan rent from the rest annot be said for the (3) acceptable ents. The jury reco	pocial activities. Inse of the place. The place of the place of the place of the her the place of the p			
	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above			
	GBA in m ²	115240	58422	56818			
Other	Residential	18926	0	18926			
	Commercial	17392	0	17392			
Culture Residential	Retail	8031	0	8031			
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	2318	0	2318			
	Culture	7441	0	7441			
	Traffic	58422	58422	0			
	Other	2710	0	2710			
	Residential units	137 No.of u floors	nderground	3			
	Parking units		boveground	18			
	Requested parking units	1213 Traffic parking	area per place	38,59			

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803500 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Troost, Laurent G.C., Author, Brazil	Uploaded materials			
Juzwiak, Kalina , Collaborator, Grinspum, Gabriel , Collaborator, Mendes, Oscar , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wid different social activities. The of taking into account the wider of A1) Group A: good on the nort old Distillery and new on top of interpretation of the old A2) good Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	nical requirements th the social sustainab open area is the core to context of Lower Town thern side of the Distill f the building - sticking od A3) very good	or developing soc (green areas). ery I found this pla out of the 'spine' ents. The jury reco	ial life. The author(s) ay of two volumes – very successful ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	91304	41301	50003
Other	Residential	18448	0	18448
Culture	Commercial	14798	0	14798
Restaurants — Residential	Retail	3643	0	3643
Commercial	Restaurants	2994	0	2994
	Culture	6476	0	6476
	Traffic	41301	41301	0
	Other	3644	0	3644
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1245 No.of a floors	boveground	11
	Requested parking units	1028 Traffic parking	area per	33,17

Entry code 0007803677						
Authors						
Hržić, Marjan , Author, Croatia Chiabov, Marko , Coauthor, Croatia Juvan, Uršula , Coauthor, Croatia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	lection			
Radetić, Žana, Coauthor, Croatia	Uploaded materials					
Palić, Branko , Coauthor, Croatia Kosturin, Pavao , Coauthor, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pd	F		
Zaninović, Petra , Coauthor, Croatia Frleta, Ivan , Coauthor, Croatia Katić, Ante , Coauthor, Croatia Bakalar, Maja , Coauthor, Croatia	I		·			
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical r - the entry proposes the develo first underground floor, with roc Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies with	pment of only cultural pr of forming a cultural park h the social sustainability	x on (and above) g	round level es open space as		
	a platform for different social a cultural function exclusively. It o concept.	could be seen as both st	rength and a weak			
	A1) Group B: poor A2) the Distillery itself appropriate conversion A3) ok					
	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the co the proposal, although it didn't f					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
	GBA in m ²	39436	34400	5036		
	Residential	0	0	0		
- Other Residential Commercial FRetail Restaurants	Commercial	0	0	0		
	Retail	0	0	0		
Culture	Restaurants	0	0	0		
	Culture	21556	16940	4616		
	Traffic	17200	16780	420		
	Other	680	680	0		
	Residential units	0 No.of und floors	erground	2		
	Parking units	546No.of abofloors	veground	3		
	Requested parking units		Traffic area per			

		orga	nizer and Manager: The A		
Entry code 0007805182	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0			ROUND Second selection	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Ćuzela-Bilać, Iva , Author, Croatia Čikeš, Oliver , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.	jpg	A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the sopen space as a core of social sustainability. A1) Group B: poor the Distiller A2) Building's conversion app Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	nical requir social susta al life, but it ry is isolate ropriate A3 competition	inability criteria only is not clearly elabor d block taken out of) good requierements. The	its historica	o achieve social al industrial context nized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table				
Traffic			floors U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	11	5679 5	1675	64004
Other	Residential	18843		0	18843
Culture	Commercial	14	1864	0	14864
Restauranis	Retail	15	5412	0	15412
Retail	Restaurants	1	640	0	1640
	Culture	6	212	0	6212
	Traffic	51	1675 5	1675	0
	Other	7	033	0	7033
	Residential units	150	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	1587	No.of abovegro floors	ound	12
	Requested parking units	1461	Traffic area per parking place	r	32,56

Entry code 0007804476 Authors Silva, Ines Trindade, Author, Portugal	Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND Second s	
Vicente, Gonçalo , Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pc	ſ
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the techr but even as low density propose Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wit indicators of social sustainabilit indicator is the range of social of open public spaces (parks, p social interaction. A1) Between Group Aa and Gro that matter overly monumentaliz connected to the whole site on	al, it lacks parking units h the social sustainabili ty have been identified activities and contents. blaygrounds, squares, e oup B: ok-ish The Distil zed. However, at the mo	ty criteria which m n the proposed pr The second indica etc.) which provide lery is isolated abo rphological level th	nean that 2 oject. The first ator is availability opportunities for ove ground and for ne Distillery is
Crenhie tert	ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't f			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	22450	12542	9908
Culture	Residential	4928	0	4928
Rostaurants	Commercial	1215	1215	0
Residential	Retail	3761	2577	1184
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	1085	688	397
	Culture	1435	0	1435
	Traffic	7399	7399	0
	Other	2627	663	1964
	Residential units Parking units	42 floors	derground	2 8
	Requested parking units	361 Traffic a parking p		30,45

			ager. The Zagreb Ober	
Entry code 0007805107	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Cattaneo, Elisa Cristiana, Author, Italy Innocenti, Paola , Coauthor, Italy biffi, michele , Coauthor, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plan for underground flo - entry fulfilled all other technic Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wit offers different social activities public space. The solution enc	al requirements th the social sustainab and contents. The so	ility criteria. It mea	ith the huge open
	 environment. It also ensures continuity of local identity. A1) Between Group A and Group B: good The morphology on the northern side of the Distillery is 'repeated' and with such a volumetric characteristics that the Distillery is anchored in the present also. A2) good A3) good 			
	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the or the proposal, although it didn't			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	72729	32400	40329
Culture	Residential	20770	0	20770
Restaurants Other	Commercial	10450	0	10450
	Retail	4325	0	4325
Commercial	Restaurants	2240	0	2240
	Culture	2544	0	2544
	Traffic	32400	32400	0
	Other	0	0	0
		No.of u	underground	5
	Residential units	151 floors		
	Residential units Parking units	floors	aboveground	2

Entry code		organizor and ma		
0007802604 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 0 for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Cereijo, Manuel Alexandre Lagarto,	Uploaded materials			
Author, Portugal Ferreira, enio Mauro Marcelino , Associate author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic but A3-TXT.pdf contains lay -facades are undefined in the Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies of social activities and public opportunity for development A1) Group B: poor The Distipast to create the future" and alone creating a more or less the past is confronted with the translation into design. Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the the proposal, although it diduction	ical requirements, ers with Croatian name te photomontages with the social sustaina space. The open publ of dynamic social life. llery is isolated A2) goo d then the main elemen s successful dialogue v ne new discrepancy l	bility criteria. It inc ic space (large squ od A3) good They s t representing the p with the new rather between the intention ments. The jury reco	are) is an ay: "we absorb the bast stays standing then be absorbed on and their ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture Traffic	GBA in m ²	60557	20200	40357
Retail	Residential	16010	0	16010
Other	Commercial	13652	0	13652
Commercial	Retail	3742	0	3742
Residenti	Restaurants	4248	0	4248
	Culture	2505	0	2505
	Traffic	20400	20200	200
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	158 No.of floors	underground	2
	Parking units		aboveground	12
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	22,05

Fatty and]			ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ	
Entry code 0007804210	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	3 ROUND	selection	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Gabrić, Dario , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf	
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report insufficient parking area for the proposed programme				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
	GBA in m ²	65710	25290	40420	
Culture — Other	Residential	15400	0	15400	
Restaurants	Commercial	7930	0	7930	
Retail — Residential	Retail	9480	0	9480	
Commercial-	Restaurants	960	0	960	
	Culture	4240	0	4240	
	Traffic	22800	22800	0	
	Other	4900	2490	2410	
	Residential units	102 No.of underground floors		3	
	Parking units	732 No.of a floors	aboveground	13	
	Requested parking units	948 Traffic	area per g place	31,15	

Entry code 0007805500						
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection		
LACALLE, CARLOS, Author, Spain MUÑOZ, INES, Collaborator, Spain	Uploaded materials					
SANCHA, ABRAHAM , Associate author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf		
GARCIA, NATALIA , Associate author, Spain						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met most of the and the number of parking uni Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the s a wide range of social activitie social sustainability. A1)Group B: poor the Distillery Proposed extension acceptable Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	ts in the computational is social sustainability crite s, but, in general, it is n remains an isolated is e in terms of form. A3)	table is incorrect eria only to a sma tot clearly elabora land overly monut ok nts. The jury reco	Il extent. It includes ted how to achieve mentalized. A2) gnized the value of		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
	GBA in m ²	69568	29708	39860		
Culture	Residential	14208	0	14208		
Restaurants	Commercial	14522	0	14522		
Retail	Retail	3866	0	3866		
Commercial	Restaurants	3866	0	3866		
	Culture	5524	2126	3398		
	Traffic	27582	27582	0		
	Other	0	0	0		
	Residential units	128 No.of ur floors	nderground	3		
	Parking units	27582 No.of at floors	ooveground	13		
	Requested parking	916 Traffic a	area ner	1,00		

Entry code 0007804197				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 Second	selection
Freitas, Cláudia Pinto, Author, Portugal	Uploaded materials			
Pereira, João Antunes, Collaborator, Martins, Joao Pedro, Collaborator, Maia, Daniel Ribeiro, Collaborator,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technic Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and public s life, but there is problem with " children (p. 5). A1) Between Group Aa and Gu northern side of the Distillery b surrounding. However, from al element in public space around Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	cal requirements ith the social sustainal pace. The open publi holes in the lawn". It c roup B: good the work puilding integrating it, I the other sides, the t d it. A2) Conversion s	c space is the hea could be very dang c follows the morph physically, in this v puilding stands up ccheme is appropri-	rt of dynamic social erous, especially for ology on the vay to the as an individual ate. A3) ok ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	61382	24693	36689
Traffic	Residential	6584	0	6584
	Commercial	1746	0	1746
Residential	Retail	1746	0	1746
Culture Retail	Restaurants	1326	0	1326
	Culture	11852	0	11852
	Traffic	24693	24693	0
	Other	13435	0	13435
	Residential units	30 floors	underground	3
	Parking units	800 No.of floors	aboveground	12
	Requested parking		area per	

Estas esta				ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007802917 Authors	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Polzer, Ida , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
busnja, davor , Author, Croatia Gluhak, Goran , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wit range of social activities. There proposed solution refers to the emphasized. A1) Group B: poor the Distiller totally out of context in urbanis Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainab e are contents intende traditional urban iden y became an individua tic or architectural ser	ility criteria becau d to different soci tity. Cultural susta al building that lan- nse A2) good A3) ents. The jury reco	al actors. The inability is ded in this block good ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	115170	53100	62070
	Residential	20110	0	20110
Culture Other Restaurants	Commercial	19580	0	19580
Retail	Retail	13950	0	13950
Commercial	Restaurants	1250	0	1250
	Culture	7180	0	7180
	Traffic	51900	51900	0
	Other	1200	1200	0
	Residential units	0 floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1425 No.of a floors	aboveground	17
	Requested parking units	1405 Traffic parking	area per g place	36,42

г

Entry code 0007802583						
Authors velasco farrera, erick , Author, Croatia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND Second se	election	
Pedišić, Vedran, Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials					
Hofmann, Mladen , Author, Croatia Gregurić Miočić, Gordana , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pc	lf	
Croatia Marjančević, Iva , Author, Croatia						
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry meets all technical requirements undercapacitated parking units due to solely 2 underground floors proposal Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies with the social sustainability criteria which mean that indicators of social sustainability have been identified in the proposed project. The project provides the range of social activities and contents. The second indicator for social sustainability is availability of open public spaces (parks, playgrounds, squares, etc.). A1) Group B: poor The Distillery remains too monumentalized. A2) Conversion of the Distillery is ok. A3) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round. 					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		nder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	87972		1312	56660	
Culture — Other	Residential	13476		0	13476	
Restaurants	Commercial	19261		0	19261	
Retail — Residential	Retail	9736		0	9736	
Commercial	Restaurants	3410		0	3410	
	Culture	2785		0	2785	
	Traffic	31312	3′	1312	0	
	Other	7992		0	7992	
	Residential units	121 No.of undergro floors			2	
	Parking units	820 No.o floors	f abovegrou S	und	15	
	Requested parking units		ic area per ng place		38,19	

Entry code				
0007802886	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Voorst, Pepijn van, Author, Netherlands Yeung, Karho , Author, Netherlands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper A1) Group A: excellent A2) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainab n public space. A3) ok competition requiereme	ility criteria. It incl ents. The jury reco	gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	94845	38736	56109
Culture	Residential	18065	0	18065
Restaurants	Commercial	16126	0	16126
Retail	Retail	12483	0	12483
Commercial	Restaurants	804	0	804
	Culture	7071	0	7071
	Traffic	39094	38736	358
	Other	1202	0	1202
	Residential units	121 No.of u floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1191 No.of a floors	boveground	19
	Requested parking units	1230 Traffic parking	area per j place	32,82

Entry code 0007800980		¥		2
Authors Petric, Damir , Author, Croatia Vidović, Hrvoje , Author, Croatia Jerković, Ivona , Author, Croatia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Šustić, Ivana , Author, Letica, Slaven , Author, Lozo, Marta , Author, Sladoljev, Igor , Author, Jerković, Josip , Collaborator, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MDB.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - incapacitated parking area - entry fulfilled other technical Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper This project I consider really in whereas it doesn't attempt to u Distillery is totally out of contex Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	requirements ith the social sustainab n public space. nappropriate in the ser se the site-specific inc kt A2) good A3) ok competition requiereme	use of creating 'a c lustrial feeling. A1 ents. The jury reco	ity centre' feeling) Group B: poor gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	107687	41136	66551
Culture — Other	Residential	19906	0	19906
Restaurants	Commercial	20324	0	20324
Retail	Retail	10415	0	10415
Commercial	Restaurants	3386	0	3386
	Culture	8285	718	7567
	Traffic	38255	38255	0
	Other	7116	2163	4953
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1289 floors	-	15

Г

Entry code 0007803513	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection				
Authors	Uploaded materials		•					
Rojas, Miguel Angel , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MDB.jpg A3-TXT.pdf						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technical black background are hardly reproposed 1:500) -the number of parking units is Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wight indicators of social sustainabilits social activities and contents. open public spaces. A1) Group B: poor But the Dis- bridges and the overall wrap. A Jury Report The seture fulfilled exect of the seture of the se	al requirements, but the eadable, and presenter above requested th the social sustainab ity have been identified The second indicator for itllery building is well w A2) good A3) good	d in scale 1:1000 ility criteria which I. The project prov or social sustainat raped into the cor	(instead of mean that ides the range of bility is availability of ntext becasue of the				
	The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round.							
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above				
Traffic	GBA in m ²	92177	42162	50015				
	Residential	12675	0	12675				
	Commercial	0	0	0				
Residential	Retail	13620	0	13620				
Culture Commercial Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	0	0	0				
	Culture	6500	0	6500				
	Traffic	42162	42162	0				
	Other	17220	0	17220				
	Residential units	floors	nderground	3				
	Parking units	1773 No.of a floors	boveground	12				
	Requested parking units	1148Trafficparking	area per I place	23,78				

Entry code 0007804984	Technical Committee	Jury votes	ROUND	
Authors	votes for elimination: 0		0 Second	selection
Hjerling, Anna , Project leader,	Uploaded materials			
andersson, daniel , Project leader, Åland Islands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech panels is landscape -parking area is over-capacita Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the open space as a core of soci affirm social sustainability. A1) Group B; poor the Distille urbanistic context A2) good A Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the the proposal, although it didn' 	nnical requirements, b ated for the proposed social sustainability cr al life, but there are no ry remains isolated isl 3) good competition requierem	programme iteria only to a sma o specific contents and, individual buik nents. The jury reco	all extent. It includes or functions which ding out of its ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	104133	45300	58833
Culture	Residential	16868	0	16868
Restaurants	Commercial	14424	0	14424
Retail	Retail	18788	0	18788
Commercial	Restaurants	2219	0	2219
	Culture	6534	0	6534
	Traffic	43546	43546	0
	Other	1754	1754	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1801 No.of floors	aboveground	10
	Requested parking units		e area per lg place	24,18
				24,18

Entry code				
0007804613	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
julien, hubert gz , Author, France thomas, van gaver gnr , Coauthor, France	B1_PAN.pdf	B1_MBD.jpg	A3_TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry meets all technical network undercapacitated parking unitial indicators incorrect in maximum 37000m2 GBA Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies with of social activities and publics with of social activities and publics is development of dynamic social A1) Group A: good A2) The complexity of the entry fulfilled most of the complexity of the complexity of the entry fulfilled most of the complexity of the complexity of the entry fulfilled most of the complexity of the entry fulfilled most of the complexity of the	th the social sustaina pace. The open public life.	ability criteria. It incl ic space is an oppo ate A3) ok nents. The jury reco	ludes a wide range ortunity for ognized the value of
	the proposal, although it didn't	find enough quality t	o advance it to the a	award round.
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Cundre	GBA in m ²	43700	1000	42700
Retail — Traffic	Residential	10000	0	10000
- Other	Commercial	11000	0	11000
Residential	Retail	7000	0	7000
Commercial	Restaurants	2700	0	2700
	Culture	12000	0	12000
	Traffic	1000	1000	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors		1
	Parking units	500 No.of floors	aboveground	3
	Requested parking	960 Traffi	c area per	2,00

Entry code 0007803212						
Authors						
Popović, Boris , Author, Croatia	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection		
Sančanin, Marko , Author, Relić, Saša , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials	I				
Jugović, Mladen , Consultant, Stanišljević, Igor , Collaborator -	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
design, Bakic, Irena , Associate for scale models and drawing layout, Croatia			I			
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has met the technica program		ks parking units fo	or the proposed		
	Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities and the ope	n public space.	pility criteria. It incl	udes a wide range		
179	A1) Group B: poor Distillery is	A1) Group B: poor Distillery isolated A2) ok A3) ok				
	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't					
Graphic tart	The entry fulfilled most of the					
Graphic tart	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	find enough quality to	advance it to the a	award round.		
Traffic	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table	find enough quality to All floors	advance it to the a	award round. Above		
Traffic	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ²	find enough quality to All floors 92817	Under 37649	Above 55168		
Traffic	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential	find enough quality to All floors 92817 16176	Under 37649	Award round. Above 55168 16176		
Traffic Culture Restaurants	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	find enough quality to All floors 92817 16176 10424	Under 37649 0 0	Above 55168 16176 10424		
Traffic Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	All floors 92817 16176 10424 11183	Under Under 37649 0 0 3113	Above 55168 16176 10424 8070		
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	find enough quality to All floors 92817 16176 10424 11183 3781	advance it to the a Under 37649 0 0 3113 748	Above 55168 16176 10424 8070 3033		
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	The entry fulfilled most of the orthogonal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	find enough quality to All floors 92817 16176 10424 11183 3781 11230	advance it to the a Under 37649 0 0 3113 748 4569	Above 55168 16176 10424 8070 3033 6661		
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	The entry fulfilled most of the orthogonal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	All floors 92817 16176 10424 11183 3781 11230 27609 12414 170 No.of ufloors	advance it to the a Under 37649 0 0 3113 748 4569 27609 1610 underground	Above 55168 16176 10424 8070 3033 6661 0		
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	The entry fulfilled most of the orthogonal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	All floors 92817 16176 10424 11183 3781 11230 27609 12414 170 No.of ufloors	advance it to the a Under 37649 0 0 3113 748 4569 27609 1610	Above 55168 16176 10424 8070 3033 6661 0 10804		

Entry code 0007804065 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection	
Mariñas, José Carlos , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repor - the entry has fulfilled all of t - underground in scale 1:100	he technical requireme	nts		
	Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies with the social sustainability criteria because it includes a wirrange of social activities. The importance of the open public space is recognized. Proposed solution takes into account all kinds of users (children, disabled people, the elderly) which is an important condition for social inclusion.				
	A1) Group A good A2) buildir	ng appropriately conver	ted A3) ok		
	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Other	GBA in m ²	122103	57039	65064	
	Residential	12956	0	12956	
Traffic-	Commercial	245	0	245	
Residential	Retail	5388	0	5388	
Retail Restaurants Culture	Restaurants	2054	0	2054	
	Culture	12661	0	12661	
	Traffic	49556	49488	68	
	Other	39243	7551	31692	
	Residential units	floors	underground	3	
	Parking units	1557 No.of a floors	aboveground	15	
	Requested parking units		area per g place	31,83	
	Requested parking	floors	area per		

Entry code 0007801051	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 0 for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials	I		
Linta, Veljko , Author, Croatia Armano, Ana , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee rep-the entry has met the tech Advisory experts opinion The project complies with trange of contents. Many s space provides access for proposed solution also references of the space of the	nnical requiremets of the social sustainabil ocial actors are invo various social group ers to the former indi- concept which connu- creativity, openness, ty for learning and s tillery isolated but ov b) ok the competition requi	lity criteria to the fullest lved in social activities. ps and high level of soci ustrial tradition. The soci ects tradition and innova , education Users (loc elf-development through rerly monumentalized. A ierements. The jury reco	The open public ial interaction. The ial sustainability is ation. "Protection by cals as well as the social synergy 2) Conversion
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	s Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	89410	38952	50458
Culture	Residential	17838	0	17838
- Oth	Commercial	15628	0	15628
Retail	sidential	7424	0	7424
Commercial	Restaurants	1752	0	1752
	Culture	7660	0	7660
	Traffic	39108	38952	156
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units		No.of underground loors	3
	Parking units	10.00	No.of aboveground loors	10
	Requested parking		raffic area per parking place	25,56

		Organizer and Mana	ager. The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/D
Entry code 0007804031 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Krakowian, Jan , Author, Poland	Uploaded materials			
Nieroda, Kinga Gabriela, Author, Bromboszcz, Michal Marek, Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the techn capacitated for the proposed p Advisory experts opinion The project complies with the s solution mentions various types A1) Group A: ok-ish because t blocksthere is no relationship A2) ok A3) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't	orogramme social sustainability crit is of social functions. the added building in th p with the Distillery, so competition requiereme	teria only to a sma ne strip of the Dis the Distillery rem ents. The jury reco	all extent. The tillery are individual ains isolated in fact ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	58243	17679	40564
Restaurants	Residential	10031	0	10031
Retail	Commercial	15160	65	15095
Residential	Retail	7021	0	7021
Commercial	Restaurants	2068	0	2068
	Culture	4542	0	4542
	Traffic	19344	17614	1730
	Other	77	0	77
	Residential units	90 floors	nderground	2
	11	577 No.of aboveground floors		13
	Parking units		Ū	15

г

Entry code 0007802743						
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection		
Rajcevic, Jelena , Author, Croatia Jurin, Martin , Author,	Uploaded materials					
Marenic, Sara , Author, Laušin, Nikša , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	.pdf		
Sabljak, Sanjin , Consultant, Majić, Ivan , CAD,						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical req Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper A1) Group B: poor Circle make Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainab n public space. es the Distillery even n competition requiereme	nore monumental /	A2) ok A3) ok ognized the value of		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Graphic tart	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 76198	Under 29000	Above 47198		
Graphic tart						
Traffic	GBA in m ²	76198	29000	47198		
Culture Other	GBA in m ² Residential	76198 12256	29000 0	47198 12256		
Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	76198 12256 20606	29000 0 0	47198 12256 20606		
Culture Culture Retail Retail Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	76198 12256 20606 4783	29000 0 0 0	47198 12256 20606 4783		
Culture Culture Retail Retail Retail Culture C	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	76198 12256 20606 4783 1328	29000 0 0 0 0	47198 12256 20606 4783 1328		
Culture Culture Retail Retail Retail Culture C	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	76198 12256 20606 4783 1328 8124	29000 0 0 0 0 267	47198 12256 20606 4783 1328 7857		
Culture Culture Retail Retail Retail Culture C	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	76198 12256 20606 4783 1328 8124 29101 0 123 No.of u	29000 0 0 0 0 267 28733	47198 12256 20606 4783 1328 7857 368		
Culture Culture Retail Retail Retail Culture C	GBA in m² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	76198 12256 20606 4783 1328 8124 29101 0 123 No.of u floors	29000 0 0 0 0 0 267 28733 0	47198 12256 20606 4783 1328 7857 368 0		

		Significor and Maria		
Entry code 0007803993 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
WU, Linshou , Author, China	Uploaded materials			
champenois, etienne , Associate author, France	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report - the proposal shows only group and typical high rise floors - no underground floor are shown bightly undercapacitated traffic is not explained in textur - elevations are not shown - the concept proposal is still views Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies to of social activities and an ope A1) Group B: poor But the briturn of the new makes the Dis- volumes. A2) good A3) good Jury Report 	ound floor, +4 floor (con nown. Parking is propos number of parking spa- ial form. clearly legible through p vith the social sustainab en public space (roof). idges integrate the Disti stillery somewhat monun	ed through 3 floor ces calculated thro presented floor pla ility criteria. It incl llery into the new y nental but more in	s of maximum GBA, ough 35m2 per unit. ns, diagrams and 3d udes a wide range whole. 45 degrees the plan than in the
Graphic tart	The entry fulfilled most of the the proposal, although it didn Computational table			
Traffic		All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	118356	50430	67926
Culture — Other	Residential	18750	0	18750
Culture Other Restaurants Residential	Commercial			
	Commercial	13825	0	13825
Restaurants		13825 16029	0	13825 16029
Restaurants Residenti Retail Commerce			_	
Restaurants Residenti Retail Commerce	Retail	16029	0	16029
Restaurants Residenti Retail Commerce	Retail Restaurants	16029 4962	0	16029 4962
Restaurants Residenti Retail Commerce	Retail Restaurants Culture	16029 4962 12067	0 0 0	16029 4962 12067
Restaurants Residenti Retail Commerce	Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	16029 4962 12067 50430 2293 162 No.of u floors	0 0 0 50430 0 nderground	16029 4962 12067 0
Residenti Retail Commerce	Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	16029 4962 12067 50430 2293 162 No.of u floors	0 0 0 50430 0	16029 4962 12067 0 2293

Entry code 0007802973					
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection	
BRANKO, SETION , Author, United	Uploaded materials				
States LALAJ, ARLINDA , Coauthor, United	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf	
States					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the parking area is under cap - the entry has fulfilled the com Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and public s A1) Group b: Poor Distillery is Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	th the social sustainab pace (public piazza). olated A2) ok A3) appr competition requiereme	ility criteria. It incl opriate ents. The jury reco	gnized the value of	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Culture	GBA in m ²	100030	36820	63210	
Restaurants	Residential	19625	0	19625	
Other	Commercial	19160	0	19160	
Retail	Retail	10620	0	10620	
Commercial	Restaurants	5145	0	5145	
	Culture	7440	0	7440	
	Traffic	37140	36820	320	
	Other	900	0	900	
	Residential units	163 No.of u floors	nderground	2	
	Parking units		boveground	12	
	Requested parking units	1443 Traffic parking	area per I place	37,21	

Entry code 0007803656						
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection		
De Fouquet, Marc , Author, France Zamora, Esteban , Associate author,	Uploaded materials					
Chile Galli, Milo , Associate author, France	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
Belmahi, Amin , Associate author, Hebia, Imad , Associate author, Algeria						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical - the underground garage has undercapacitated for the prope- - parking for the NE complex is - lowrise perimeter with park in Advisory experts opinion The project highly complies wi offers different social activities open public space. It also ens A1) Group B: poor The Distille solved better A3) good Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	problems with ramps lead osed low GBA is unresolved inside th the social sustainability and contents. The soci ures continuity of local in ry is isolated A2) function competition requierement	ty criteria. It mea al interaction is li dentity and urbar on is ok but its sp nts. The jury reco	ns that solution nked with the huge n memories. vatiality can be gnized the value of		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Culture	GBA in m ²	50756	15160	35596		
Other	Residential	7580	0	7580		
Restaurants Retail	Commercial	15180	0	15180		
Residential	Retail	1065	0	1065		
Commercial	Restaurants	3840	0	3840		
	Culture	3896	160	3736		
	Traffic	15000	15000	0		
	Other	4195	0	4195		
	Residential units	floors	derground	3		
	Parking units	560 No.of ab floors	oveground	7		
	Requested parking units	794 Traffic a parking		26,79		

Entry code 0007803975				
Authors				
Sanz, Helena , Author, Spain Varas, Eduardo , Author, Spain Galindo, Julian , Author of urban plan, Spain Berges del Arco, Maria , Author of the architectural project, Spain Cabistany, Jaume , Project team, visualisations, Saint Barthélemy Zaera, Elena , Collaborator - design, Gil, Ramir , Collaborator - design, Spain Cambeiro, Gerard , Collaborator - design, Spain Silva, Oscar , Collaborator - design, Spain Solana, Carmen Val, Collaborator - structure, Spain Vallvè, Pineda , Collaborator - rendering,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND Second s	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled all techn but the B1-MBD.jpg isn't in the Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities. The public s development of dynamic social A1) Between group A and Grou between the big blocks surrour somewhat, the Distillery remain buildings in the scheme. A2) of Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't	entry submissions? th the social sustainab space network (streets life. up B: poor to ok The D nding it. Despite the fa as an isolated and indi- k A3) ok ompetition requiereme	, passages) is an o Distillery building is ct that the morphole vidual building just a ents. The jury recog	pportunity for somewhat lost ogy is repeated as the other nized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	110833	42304	68529
Restaurants —	Residential	19564	0	19564
Other	Commercial	17931	0	17931
Retail — Residential	Retail	18485	1600	16885
Commercial	Restaurants	8558	1000	7558
	Culture	7192	601	6591
	Traffic	35924	35924	0
	Other	3179	3179	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground boveground	3
	Parking units	1625 floors		20
	Requested parking units	1896 Traffic parking	area per I place	22,11

		Organizer and Mana	ager. The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007802638	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Meničanin, Deni , Author, Croatia Sturm, Ana , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical to - slightly undercapacitated par - note: the color coding of the (i.e. the hotel lobby on ground not legible from color rather that Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wir range of social activities. It als A1) Group B: poor the Distiller Conversion proposal appropriate Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	requirements king units plans lacks a legend, t floor is separated fron an from drawing substa ith the social sustainab o includes open space y remains an isolated i ate A3) ok competition requiereme	n the rooms on the ance etc) ility criteria becau as a core of soci island out of urban ents. The jury reco	e 5th and above, but use it includes a wide al life. histic context. A2) ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	109350	46680	62670
Culture	Residential	21707	0	21707
Retail	Commercial	26342	0	26342
Residential	Retail	11181	0	11181
Commercial	Restaurants	630	0	630
	Culture	2810	0	2810
	Traffic	46680	46680	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	194 No.of u floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	1144 No.of a floors	boveground	12

Entry code 0007803038 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND Second s	election
Ceba, Juan , Author, Germany	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - no photomontages (perspect - the entry has fulfilled all othe Advisory experts opinion	ive view from SE wi r technical requiren	nents		
	The project mostly complies w of social activities and users. of town and makes connection A1) Group B: poor A2) good A Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	The author(s) takes with green market. (3) good competition requiere	into accour	the wider	context of this part
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	u	nder	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	84293		4428	49865
Unier	Residential	18159		0	18159
	Commercial	10025		0	10025
Culture — Residential	Retail	0		0	0
Restaurants Retail Commercial	Restaurants	3239		0	3239
	Culture	8812		0	8812
	Traffic	30327	30	0327	0
	Other	13731	4	101	9630
	Residential units Parking units	floor	of abovegro		3 25
	Requested parking units	1052 Traf	fic area per		25,15

		Organizer and	Manager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/I
Entry code 0007803965				
Authors Sala, Pep , Author, Spain	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND	selection
Carulla, Herminia , Author, Spain Portella, Rosa Mari , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials			
Serrat, Joan , Author, Spain Gil, Marta , Project team, Alquezar, David , Project team, Jimenez, Jordi , Project team,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi includes a wide range of social A1) group B: poor, building iso Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	ith the social sustai al activities and an o plated A2) good A3 competition requier	nability criteria. The p open public space.) good ements. The jury reco	ognized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	102890	51690	51200
Other	Residential	13040	0	13040
Culture	Commercial	16360	800	15560
Restaurants	Retail	14235	5140	9095
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	1260	640	620
	Culture	10790	4160	6630
	Traffic	35940	35630	310
	Other	11265	5320	5945
	Residential units	143 No. floo	of underground rs	3
	Parking units		of aboveground	28
	Requested parking units		ffic area per king place	28,64

Entry code 0007802983				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Mijić, Kosta , Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			
Mijić, Đorđe , Author, Serbia Janjatović, Vlada , Collaborator, Mijić, Katarina , Collaborator,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - no specific elevations shown, - the entry has fulfilled all other - undercapacitated parking Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wir indicators of social sustainabili provides the range of social ac sustainability is availability of o A1) Group A: very good A2) C Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't	the chnical requiremen the social sustainabing ty have been identified tivities and contents. The pen public and semi-propriate onversion appropriate	ts ility criteria which d in the proposed p The second indicat public spaces. A3) ok ents. The jury reco	project. The project for for social gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	102450	37800	64650
Restaurants	Residential	16180	0	16180
Other	Commercial	16112	0	16112
Retail — Residential	Retail	23910	0	23910
Commercial	Restaurants	2000	0	2000
	Culture	6448	0	6448
	Traffic	37800	37800	0
		â	0	0
	Other	0		
	Other Residential units	144 No.of u floors	Inderground	2
		144 No.of u floors		2 10

Entry code 0007804382				
Authors Banic, Gorana , Author of urban plan,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Jak, Viktor , Author of urban plan, United Kingdom	Uploaded materials			
Hawkins, David , Consultant for environment,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Benzie, Alan , Collaborator - design, Mac Aoidh, Colm , Collaborator - rendering,				
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities as well as o A1) Group A: very good howe high, too close, overwhelming Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	requirements th the social sustainab ben public space. ver the buildings surrou the Distillery A2) good	anding the Distiller A3) good ents. The jury reco	y are too bulky, too gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	104691	38988	65703
Culture	Residential	21028	0	21028
Restaurants	Commercial	15663	0	15663
Retail	Retail	14869	0	14869
Commercial	Restaurants	4343	0	4343
	Culture	6612	0	6612
	Traffic	40186	38988	1198
	Other	1990	0	1990
	Residential units	262 No.of u floors	nderground	3
	Parking units		boveground	16
	Requested parking units	1518 Traffic parking	area per place	27,26

Entry code 0007802610			-	
Authors Vecci, Tommaso , Author, Italy Marino, Massimo , Author of the	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
architectural project, Italy Di Costanzo, Giuseppe , Author of the	Uploaded materials			
architectural project, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Zanda, Lia Teresa, Author of the architectural project, Italy Rinaldi, Annalisa , Author of the architectural project, Italy				
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled all of the technical requirements lower GBA one vehicle (parking) access from Vlaska street Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies with the social sustainability criteria. It includes a wide range of social activities and public space. Open public space is an opportunity for development of dynamic social life. A1) Group Aa: poor This is an rare example where central morphology is repeated yet the building remained totally isolated and overly monumentalized. A2) good A3) good Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury recognized the value of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the award round. 			
Graphic tart	Computational table	AH 6		
Traile	GBA in m ²	All floors 62042	Under 30413	Above 31629
	Residential	13810	0	13810
Culture	Commercial	11613	872	10741
Restaurants Retail	Retail	1409	0/2	1409
Commercial	Restaurants	2837	0	2837
O UTITI FO UTITI	Culture	5883	3051	2832
	Traffic	26490	26490	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	802 No.of a floors	aboveground	23
	Requested parking units	724 Traffic parking	area per g place	33,03

		Organizer	and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803385					1
Authors	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0	Jury votes for eliminatior	n: 0	ROUND Second s	election
Dzervus, Petras , Author, Lithuania Cirtautas, Matas , Author, Lithuania	Uploaded materials				
Šakas, Audrius , Collaborator, Žekonytė, Milda , Collaborator - design,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	df
Lithuania					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica the facades are shown in secti less detailed hand-drawings co the parking area is under-capa Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper A1) Group B: poor A2) good A Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the o the proposal, although it didn't	tons and perspections and perspections and perspections and perspective acitated for the acitated for the social sum public space.	ective 'hands-dr proposed progr stainability crite uierements. The	ramme eria. It inclu	nized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	rs U	Inder	Above
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	90002		6905	43097
	Residential	15818		0	15818
	Commercial	7815		950	6865
Culture — Residential	Retail	8590	3	3260	5330
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	2300		0	2300
	Culture	3745	2	2600	1145
	Traffic	35095	3	5095	0
	Other	16639	Ę	5000	11639
	Residential units		No.of undergro floors	ound	3
	Parking units	988	No.of abovegro floors	ound	10
	Requested parking units		Traffic area per parking place	r	35,52

		Organizer and Man	ager. The Zagreb Cook	
Entry code 0007803458				
Authors	Technical Committee	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
KYRIAKOU, MAGDALINI , Author, Greece				
LAMPRI, AIKATERINI , Author, Greece ALEXAKI, ARCHONTIA , Author,	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Greece KOKKINI, APOSTOLIA , Author, Greece		1.0		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic but the parking area is under-or Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities and an oper A1) Group B: poor Distillery m Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	al requirements, capacitated for the pro ith the social sustainat n public space. nonumentalized A2) ok competition requiereme	nility criteria. It incl A3) ok ents. The jury recc	udes a wide range gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	106508	45072	61436
Culture — Other	Residential	15442	0	15442
Rostaurants	Commercial	29331	0	29331
Retail — Residential	Retail	2864	1309	1555
Commercial	Restaurants	4064	0	4064
	Culture	11251	506	10745
	Traffic	35997	35698	299
	Other	7559	7559	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1094 No.of a floors	aboveground	8

Entry code 0007804430				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Stevanović, Nebojša Ljubiša, Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			I
Miković, Marija , Author, Tadić, Janko , Author, Strajnić, Marija , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical usage given in the textual mate - the graphical presentation of Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities (culture and A1) Group B: poor; however I Distillery incorporating the buil good A3) very good Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the of the proposal, although it didn't	al indicators are correct o rial, page 7. the areas on panel 3 is no th the social sustainability arts, education etc.) and find very bold the gesture ding into the new and cert	t fully understa criteria. It inclu an open public of continuing tl ainly demonum The jury reco	andable. udes a wide range space. he "wrap" above the nentalizing it. A2) gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Other	GBA in m ²	All floors 40404	Under 8798	Above 31606
	Residential	754	0	754
Traffic — Residential — Commercial	Commercial	882	0	882
Retail	Retail	3105	0	3105
Restaurants	Restaurants	1076	0	1076
	Culture	7514	0	7514
	Traffic	15116	8391	6725
	Other	11957	407	11550
	Residential units	20 No.of unde floors	-	1
	Parking units	388 No.of abov floors	eground	10
	Requested parking units	572 Traffic area parking pla		38,96

Entry code 0007802728				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Hebar, Zoran, Author, Croatia Jurković, Vedrana, Collaborator,	Uploaded materials			
Jurić, Tena , Collaborator, Cigetić, Petar , Collaborator,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Hebar, Srđan , Collaborator, Blažinčić, Ivo , Modeling,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic the floor plans are programma elements, but the parking area Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities and an oper A1) Group A:good The Distille too much away from it. A2) go Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the o the proposal, although it didn't	tically defined with con is under-capacitated ith the social sustainab n public space. ry is still too isolated, th od A3) good	ility criteria. It incl ne other two buildin ents. The jury reco	udes a wide range ngs in the strip are gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture Praince	GBA in m ²	147730	56980	90750
Other	Residential	16960	0	16960
Retail	Commercial	37410	0	37410
resuental	Retail	30770	10800	19970
Commercial	Restaurants	9690	800	8890
	Culture	8020	500	7520
	Traffic	35240	35240	0
	Other	9640	9640	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	882 No.of a floors	boveground	13
	Requested parking units	2884 Traffic a parking	area per place	39,95

Entry code 0007804053 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND 8 Second	selection
pinto, julio Cezar bernardes, Author, Brazil Soria, Blanca Elena, Collaborator,	B1_PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - no photomontages, only one - vehicle distribution in 2 under - note: office space calculated "Commercial", while "Retail" sh lower parking requirements. Area achievable in this proposal no opened (possible technical proc Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper A1) Group A: good A2) ok A3) Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the open the proposal, although it didn't	rground parking floors as "Other" (together v nown and calculated as ctual parking requirem ote: the B1-MBD.jpg is oblems) th the social sustainab n public space. ok	not elaborated (so vith hotel area) ins s "Commercial", re ents are much hig s a corrupted file a ility criteria. It incl ents. The jury reco	cale 1:1000) tead of esulting in much her and not and cannot be udes a wide range
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Graphic tart	GBA in m ²	All floors 95379	Under 35470	Above 59909
Graphic tart Traffic-Other				
Other	GBA in m ²	95379	35470	59909
Other	GBA in m² Residential	95379 18264	35470 0	59909 18264
Trafic-Other	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	95379 18264 7705	35470 0 0	59909 18264 7705
Traffic Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	95379 18264 7705 3000	35470 0 0 0	59909 18264 7705 3000
Traffic Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	95379 18264 7705 3000 2000	35470 0 0 0 0	59909 18264 7705 3000 2000
Traffic Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	95379 18264 7705 3000 2000 4994	35470 0 0 0 0 1400	59909 18264 7705 3000 2000 3594
Traffic Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	95379 18264 7705 3000 2000 4994 34070 25346	35470 0 0 0 0 1400 34070	59909 18264 7705 3000 2000 3594 0
Traffic Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	95379 18264 7705 3000 2000 4994 34070 25346 180 No.of u floors	35470 0 0 0 0 1400 34070 0	59909 18264 7705 3000 2000 3594 0 25346

Entry code 0007804761		•	 	
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 Second	selection
Podlipnik, Andreja , Author, Slovenia Lobnik, Uroš , Author, Slovenia	Uploaded materials			
Borak, Dušan , Author, Slovenia grabar, andrej , Author, Slovenia	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf			pdf
štefanec, ines , Author, Iadić, marijan , Visualisation,		1		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of tt - parking level in scale 1:1000 - B1-MBD.jpg isn't in the entry Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies w of social activities and opens A1) Between Group A and Gr and anchored A2) ok A3) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the the proposal, although it didn'	ne technical requirer y submissions with the social sustair public space for cor oup B: good Thanks competition requiere	nability criteria. It incl istant activity (24 hou to the bridges the Di ements. The jury reco	rs a day). stillery is integrated gnized the value of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	115778	50061	65717
Culture	Residential	22980	0	22980
Restaurants	Commercial	19559	0	19559
Retail	Retail	11827	0	11827
Commercial	Restaurants	2202	0	2202
	Culture	7359	0	7359
	Traffic	50061	50061	0
	Other	1790	0	1790
	Residential units	floor		3
	Parking units	1700 No.c floor	of aboveground s	13
	Requested parking units		fic area per ing place	29,45

Entry code 0007804941				
Authors				
Marambio, Alejandro , Author, Mexico de Caso, Hector Módica, Author, Mexico	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
del Rio, Lua , Author, Gómez, Miguel , Author, Mexico	Uploaded materials			
Ledezma, Carlos, Author, Mexico BOSNJAK, ANDELKA -, Project	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
collaboration, Croatia Pantoja, Susana , Project collaboration, Flores, Enrique , Project collaboration, Mexico				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has met most of the tr (description summary longer tr -proposed parking area is doul needed) with city's center park -only 1 photomontage, others r drawing of a perspective detail Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an open A1) Group B: poor A2) ok A3) Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c the proposal, although it didn't	nan requested), but ble the requested (174 ing proposal (extra 56 replaced with a bird's w of the old yeast factor th the social sustainab public space (streets ok ompetition requiremer	0 parking units op 0 parking lots) iew rendering, and y ility criteria. It inclu green area).	bosed to 810 I a watercolour udes a wide range
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	78300	37800	40500
Other	Residential	14500	0	14500
	Commercial	4600	0	4600
Residential	Retail	2500	0	2500
Culture — Commercial Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	2000	0	2000
	Culture	8500	0	8500
	Traffic	37800	37800	0
	Other	8400	0	8400
	Residential units	160 No.of u floors	nderground	3
	Parking units		boveground	5
	Requested parking units	810 Traffic parking	area per place	21,72

Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND Second s A3-TXT.p	
votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report	for elimination:	0 Second s	
Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report			
B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	df
Technical Committee report			
- low GBA, no residential	e technical requiremer	nts	
of social activities and a huge	open public space. Th	e open public spac	
A1) Group B: poor A2) ok A3)	ok		
Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
GBA in m ²	39979	24272	15707
Residential	0	0	0
Commercial	9000	0	9000
Retail	1815	0	1815
Restaurants	4507	1647	2860
Culture	1900	630	1270
Traffic	18960	18960	0
Other	3797	3035	762
Residential units	floors	-	3
Parking units	600 No.of a floors	boveground	16
Requested parking units			31,60
	of social activities and a huge an opportunity for developmen A1) Group B: poor A2) ok A3) Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c he proposal, although it didn't Computational table GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other Residential units Parking units Requested parking	of social activities and a huge open public space. The an opportunity for development of dynamic social life A1) Group B: poor A2) ok A3) ok Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to Computational table All floors GBA in m ² 39979 Residential 0 Commercial 9000 Retail 1815 Restaurants 4507 Culture 1900 Traffic 18960 Other 3797 Residential units 0 No.of u floors Parking units 600 No.of a floors Requested parking 558 Traffic	Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the competition requierements. The jury records he proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although it didn't find enough quality to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance it to the average of the proposal, although to advance of the p

Entry code 0007804205	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
pulcioni, giovanni , Author, China	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the - insufficient parking area for t - underground parking is only s - B1-MBD.jpg isn't in the entry Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities. The solution A1) Between Group A and Gro but in the front is alone. I find i skyscraper. A2) Good convers Jury Report The entry fulfilled most of the c	he proposed program schematic submissions? th the social sustainant tries to connect with up B: ok-ish the Dis nteresting the multip ion of the Distillery A ompetition requirem	me ability criteria. It inc h the local contents tillery is anchored c lication of the Distill A3) ok ents. The jury recog	(green market). In the northern side ery in the added gnized the value of
	the proposal, although it didn't			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants	GBA in m ²	76194	19286	56908
	Residential	10993	0	10993
Retail	Commercial	20928	0	20928
Residential	Retail	12218	0	12218
Commercial	Restaurants	2745	0	2745
	Culture	9931	0	9931
	Traffic	19286	19286	0
	Other	93	0	93
	Residential units	97 floors		3
	Parking units	760 No.of floors	aboveground	22
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	25,38

	-	Organizer and Mar	nager: The Zagreb Soc	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804504 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 First se	
Buczkowska, Marta Weronika, Author,	Uploaded materials		-	
Poland Nowak, Lukasz , Author, Poland	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical in The entry has met the competi	requirements Jury Re	eport tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	56987	24901	32086
Other	Residential	10796	0	10796
Culture —	Commercial	9109	0	9109
Restaurants	Retail	2400	0	2400
Retail	Restaurants	1819	0	1819
	Culture	5791	2801	2990
	Traffic	19060	19060	0
	Other	8012	3040	4972
	Residential units	floors	underground	2
	Parking units	652 No.of a floors	aboveground	6
	Requested parking units		area per g place	29,23

Entry code 0007803409			<u></u>	
Authors silađin, branko , Author, Croatia Ćurić, Margareta , Collaborator, Croatia Gornik, Marina , Collaborator, Croatia dujmovic, marino , Visualisation, jurkovic, dalibor , Consultant for traffic, Carević, Milan , Consultant for fire protection and safety at work, Croatia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1- PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND First se	lection
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica pdfs contain layer information -the parking area is under-cap proposed programme Jury Re The entry has met the competi	(which is in Croatian) acitated to approx 90% port	6 of the requested	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	149666	79320	70346
Other	Residential	19828	0	19828
	Commercial	0	0	0
Culture	Retail	32314	8133	24181
Restaurants Commercial Retail	Restaurants	3877	213	3664
	Culture	8056	0	8056
	Traffic	62614	62614	0
	Other	22977	8360	14617
	Residential units Parking units	200 floors	nderground	4 18
	Requested parking units	2240 Traffic parking	area per I place	30,98

		Organizer and wa	· 9 · · · 9	
Entry code 0007803371	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:		OUND irst selection
Authors	Uploaded materials		•	
Caso Donadei, Rebeca , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A	3-TXT.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica but the design definition of floc (e.g. the garage plans are und and the number of parking uni The entry has met the competi	or plans, sections and efined, presented wi ts is below requested	thout parking I for the prog	places in scale 1:1000,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Und	er Above
	GBA in m ²	107355	4200	00 65355
Culture	Residential	23220	0	23220
Restaurants	Commercial	16860	0	16860
Retail	Retail	10000	0	10000
Commercial	Restaurants	3350	0	3350
	Culture	9280	0	9280
	Traffic	42200	4200	00 200
	Other	2445	0	2445
	Residential units	floors		3
	Parking units	1680 No.of floors	aboveground	d 25
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	25,12

Entry code 0007802889	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND	lection
Authors Popescu, Sorin Vladimir, Author,	Uploaded materials		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Romania Popescu, Anca Diana, Coauthor, Romania	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plan for all characteristic - the width of the buildings mean number of residential units is a - the entry has fulfilled other technic Advisory experts opinion The project mostly complies wi of social activities and an oper Jury Report The entry has met the competing - the entry has met the entry has met the entry has met the competing - the entry has met the entr	asured to 12-12.5m. tr arbitrary chnical requirements th the social sustainat n public space.	e residential is fea of the competition vility criteria. It inc	asible, although the
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	73605	32100	41505
Culture	Residential	8088	0	8088
Restaurants	Commercial	19509	0	19509
Retail	Retail	8238	0	8238
Commercial	Restaurants	1500	0	1500
	Culture	4170	0	4170
	Traffic	32100	32100	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	2
	Parking units	1090 No.of a floors	aboveground	6
	Requested parking units	951 Traffic parking	area per g place	29,45

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007805185	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:		ROUND First selec	tion
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Cimino, Stefano Maria , Author, Italy Foucher, Nicolas , Author, France	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pd	f
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical r - lower GBA, mixed use - one floor (ring) of undergroun Report The entry has met the competit	nd parking results in u		citated parl	king units Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Ur	nder	Above
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	33551		577	24974
	Residential	5293		0	5293
	Commercial	0		0	0
Residential	Retail	0		0	0
Culture Commercial Retail Restaurants	Restaurants	633		0	633
	Culture	11093	4	47	10646
	Traffic	8179	8	130	49
	Other	8353		0	8353
		No of I	undergrou	nd	
	Residential units	ob floors	-		1
	Residential units Parking units	ob floors	abovegrou		8

Entry code 0007805151				
Authors Medvešek, Gašper, Author, Slovenia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Vodeb, Tjaša , Author of the architectural project,	Uploaded materials			
Regoršek, Saša , Author of the architectural project,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Vrščaj, Jure , Author of the architectural project,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica floor plans and sections are ur The entry has met the competi	der-designed, merely	showing as zone a	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
-	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 82609	Under 35005	Above 47604
-				
Traffic	GBA in m ²	82609	35005	47604
Culture Culture Restaurants Residential	GBA in m² Residential	82609 13059	35005 0	47604 13059
Trafic Other Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	82609 13059 12585	35005 0 0	47604 13059 12585
Trafic Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	82609 13059 12585 8813	35005 0 0 0	47604 13059 12585 8813
Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	82609 13059 12585 8813 6532	35005 0 0 0 0	47604 13059 12585 8813 6532
Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	82609 13059 12585 8813 6532 645	35005 0 0 0 0 0	47604 13059 12585 8813 6532 645
Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	82609 13059 12585 8813 6532 645 30199 10776 104	35005 0 0 0 0 0 0 30199 4806 inderground	47604 13059 12585 8813 6532 645 0
Trafic Culture Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	82609 13059 12585 8813 6532 645 30199 10776 104	35005 0 0 0 0 0 0 30199 4806	47604 13059 12585 8813 6532 645 0 5970

Entry code 0007804718 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First set	ection
Rasic, Dusica , Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			
Burovac, Sofija , Author, Serbia Vidic, Nikolina , Author, Serbia Filipovic, Ivan , Author, Serbia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica using the economic way of dis the overall competition area -the proposal lacks the concep -the parking area is under-cap The entry has met the competi	playing separately floo of the competition sinacitated for the proposi-	or plans of individu te in scale 1:1000, sed programme Ju	but visible in 1:500
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	44819	19766	25053
Gullure	Residential	15718	0	15718
Restaurants Other	Commercial	3030	0	3030
Commercial	Retail	3208	0	3208
Residential	Restaurants	635	0	635
	Culture	1272	636	636
	Traffic	20596	18770	1826
	Other	360	360	0
	Residential units Parking units	floors	underground aboveground	3
	Requested parking units		area per g place	60,58

Entry code 0007804610 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	
Zrnic, Anita , Author, BiH Karan, Isidora , Author, Ikalovic, Vedrana , Author,	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic for the proposed programme. The entry has met the compet	al requirements, but th Jury Report		under-capacitated
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	106354	43300	63054
Culture — Other	Residential	15077	191	14886
Restaurants	Commercial	16454	0	16454
Retail	Retail	20392	2933	17459
Commercial	Restaurants	2005	0	2005
	Culture	6968	0	6968
	Traffic	39520	39158	362
	Other	5938	1018	4920
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1200 No.of a floors	aboveground	19
	Requested parking units		area per g place	32,93

Entry code	Technical Committee	Jury votes	-	ROUND	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
0007804308	votes for elimination: 0	for elimina	tion: 0	First sele	ction
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Yang, Sung Goo, Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	bg	A3-TXT.pc	lf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical i - the usage of 1st underground undercapacitated parking units - note: the entry uses the Ame floor) - the underground floors are sl The entry has met the competi	l floor as re s rican way o nown in sca	tail and cinema the f floor numbering (le 1:1000, still clea	Ground floo	r is named 1st
Graphic tart Culture	Computational table	All fl	oors U	Inder	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	112	126 3	5203	76923
Other	Residential	372	230	0	37230
	Commercial	206	532 1	1860	8772
Commercial	Retail	147	737	0	14737
Residential	Restaurants	94	23	0	9423
	Culture	67	61	0	6761
	Traffic	196	674 1	9674	0
	Other	36	69 3	3669	0
	Residential units	166	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	528	No.of abovegro floors	ound	12
	Requested parking units	2121	Traffic area per parking place	r	37,26

		Organizer	and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society of	Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803907	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination	n: 0	ROUND First selection	on
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Santos, Hugo Pinho, Project leader, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - undercapacitated parking Ju The entry has met the competi	e technical req ry Report			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floo	ro	nder	Above
Restaurants Traffic	GBA in m ²	90082	i	5323	64759
Retail	Residential	23219)	0	23219
Other	Commercial	22288	3	0	22288
Commercial	Retail	9287		0	9287
Hesderilal	Restaurants	7136		0	7136
	Culture	2829		0	2829
	Traffic	25323	3 25	5323	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	98	No.of undergro floors	und	2
	Parking units	727	No.of abovegro floors	und	6
	Requested parking	1500	Traffic area per	r	34,83

Entry code				
0007802753 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
ramos jimenez, ruben , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials			
salcedo garcia, hector , Author, Spain rodriguez barbudo, jose javier , Collaborator,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MED.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry meets all technical large undercapacity of parki floors) proposes translating the neig site, and using the open air m park) leaves possibility for highrise The entry has met the compet 	requirements ng units in full GBA pro ghboring open air mark arket site as park area e: either hotel or office	et into a covered i (prolongation of th or both (stacked)	marketplace on the he Bartol Kasic
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	linder	Above
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors 92023	Under 33226	Above 58797
Traffic		ľ	1	1
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	92023	33226	58797
Traffic	GBA in m ² Residential	92023 10346	33226 0	58797 10346
Traffic Other	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	92023 10346 14420	33226 0 0	58797 10346 14420
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	92023 10346 14420 14245	33226 0 0 2184	58797 10346 14420 12061
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	92023 10346 14420 14245 5075	33226 0 0 2184 0	58797 10346 14420 12061 5075
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	92023 10346 14420 14245 5075 7491	33226 0 0 2184 0 5016	58797 10346 14420 12061 5075 2475
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	92023 10346 14420 14245 5075 7491 26026 14420 88 No.of ufloors	33226 0 0 2184 0 5016 26026 0 underground	58797 10346 14420 12061 5075 2475 0
Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	92023 10346 14420 14245 5075 7491 26026 14420 88 No.of ufloors	33226 0 2184 0 5016 26026 0	58797 10346 14420 12061 5075 2475 0 14420

Г

		organizer and main	agon nie Eagres eeen		
Entry code 0007804832					
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	D selection	
Bartulovic, Hrvoje , Author, Croatia Dumandžić, Frane , Collaborator,	Uploaded materials				
Kovačević, Bruna , Collaborator, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.	pdf	
Radoš, Mirjana , Collaborator,					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical The entry has met the competi	requirements Jury Re			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
	GBA in m ²	76205	33540	42665	
Culture	Residential	13645	0	13645	
Restaurants Other	Commercial	21060	0	21060	
Residential	Retail	1400	0	1400	
Commercial	Restaurants	4000	0	4000	
	Culture	2560	0	2560	
	Traffic	33540	33540	0	
	Traffic Other	33540 0	33540 0	0	
		0 150 No.of u floors	0 Inderground	-	
	Other	0 150 No.of u floors	0	0	

Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA				
Entry code 0007804032 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First set	lection
WITKOWSKI, Boguslaw Franciszek,	Uploaded materials			
Author, Belgium Gola, Daniel , Visualisation, Wawrzusiszyn, Ewelina , CAD,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has met all technica The entry has met the competi	al requirements Jury R		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	107136	35012	72124
	Residential	19262	0	19262
Culture	Commercial	14346	0	14346
Restaurants	Retail	12834	0	12834
Retail	Restaurants	864	0	864
	Culture	3869	0	3869
	Traffic	35012	35012	0
	Other	20949	0	20949
	Residential units	90 floors	inderground	2
	Parking units	1283 No.of a floors	boveground	6
	Requested parking units	1565 Traffic parking	area per I place	27,29

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

		Organizer and N	lanager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA	
Entry code 0007802927	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se		
Authors	Uploaded materials				
guerrero, joan pau steve , Author, Andora	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - only basic textual material - entry fulfilled other technical The entry has met the competi	requirements Jury I tion requirements p	Report artially.		
Graphic tart	Computational table		Herden	A 1	
Traffic	GBA in m ²	All floors 80588	Under 42381	Above 38207	
	Residential	16528	0	16528	
Other					
	Commercial	6074	0	6074	
Culture	Retail	3847	0	3847	
Restaurants — Commercial	Restaurants	2497	0	2497	
	Culture	3877	0	3877	
	Traffic	42381	42381	0	
	Other	5384	0	5384	
	Residential units	floor		3	
	Parking units	897 No.o floor	f aboveground s	6	
	Requested parking units		ic area per ing place	47,25	

	•	Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007802719				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
doroda, damir , Author, Croatia Špirić, Emil , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Šuka, Predrag , Coauthor, Croatia Premec, Marko , Collaborator, Špirić, Vesna , Translation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical r - somewhat undercapacitated r - lower GBA proposal Jury Re The entry has met the competi	parking units due to or port		floors in proposal
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	63700	26140	37560
Other	Residential	8040	140	7900
	Commercial	400	0	400
Culture	Retail	6250	0	6250
Commercial Retail Restaurants	Restaurants	1000	0	1000
	Culture	18210	0	18210
	Traffic	23000	23000	0
	Other	6800	3000	3800
	Residential units	floors 504 No.of a	inderground iboveground	2 12
	Parking units Requested parking units	TIOORS	area per g place	38,72

he Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design			or and Promoter: izer and Manager:		ety of Architects (ZSA)
Entry code 0007804289	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimina		0 ROUND First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Obvintsev, Victor , Author, Russia Obvintseva, Marina , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.j	og	A3-TXT.	PDF
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repor - the entry meets all technical - undercapacitated parking u - note: the floors are number Jury Report The entry has met the compe	l requirement nits ed the Americ	can way (Grou		ned 1st floor etc)
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fi	loors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²		305	48440	64865
Culture — Other	Residential	21	125	390	20735
Restaurants	Commercial	160	000	180	15820
Retail	ntial Retail	16 [.]	190	0	16190
Commercial	Restaurants	27	/50	0	2750
	Culture	66	570	1120	5550
	Traffic	46	750	46750	0
	Other	38	320	0	3820
	Residential units	317	No.of under floors	rground	3
			No.of above	around	
	Parking units	1105	floors	eground	14

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007803718 Authors papin, mickael , Author, France le fur, pauline , Author, France Entry thumb image	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report - the entry has met the technic - lacks parking units for the pr The entry has met the competition	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg al requirements, oposed program Jury	0 ROUNI First s A3-TX Report	election
Graphic tart	Computational table			
- Traffic		All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	70215	23301	46914
	Residential	12940	0	12940
Culture	Commercial	7916	0	7916
Restaurants	Retail	5758	0	5758
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	850	0	850
	Culture	8101	0	8101
	Traffic	23301	23301	0
	Other	11349	0	11349
	Residential units	119 No.of underground floors No.of aboveground		3
	Parking units	873 floors		11
	Requested parking units		area per g place	26,69

Г

Entry code 0007803478				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	4 ROUND	
Hootsmans, Rob , Author, Netherlands Smit, Monique , Coauthor, Netherlands	Uploaded materials			
Alvarado, Carlota , Project team, Netherlands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	.pdf
Ballero, Stefania , Project team, Netherlands				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - very elaborated work on the n - the entry presents only two "t just do not clarify the plans - the chimney tower is not expl - only one section and facade - completely ignores the 2 prop arbitrarily located Jury Repor The entry has met the competi	ypical" floors alongsid ained other than throu posed underground flo t	e which tiny diag gh diagrams ors in which 1570	ams of similar floors
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	110758	41340	69418
	Residential	15421	0	15421
Restaurants	Commercial	17211	0	17211
Residential	Retail	17588	0	17588
Commercial	Restaurants	9738	0	9738
	Culture	9460	0	9460
	Traffic	41340	41340	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	2
	Parking units	1570 No.of a floors	boveground	20
	Requested parking units	1817 Traffic parking	area per	26,33

		organizor and m		
Entry code 0007804578 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	ection
Kuvač, Igor , Author, BiH Balta, Dusica , Visualisation, BiH Pašalić, Gorana , Modeling,	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets most technic facades and sections is hard t - the higher floors are present - the spatial indicators are inco and storage, thus all undergro - still cca 40% undercapacitat corrected area) Jury Report The entry has met the competi	al requirements, alth to read due to the lay ed in typical floor pla prrectly filled - the ur und data of other pu ed parking units (req	rout. an nderground floors an rposes should be igr juired cca 1500 acco	e used for parking lored
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	321256	262737	58519
Other	Residential	29484	14492	14992
Residential	Commercial	26788	13394	13394
	Retail	30242	15121	15121
Culture Retail	Restaurants	8640	4320	4320
	Culture	5280	2640	2640
	Traffic	200470	200470	0
	Other	20352	12300	8052
	Residential units	190 No.o floors	f underground	-3
	Parking units		f aboveground	13
	Requested parking units	2448	ic area per ng place	247,49

Entry code 0007804293	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0				
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Puszcz, Tomasz , Author, Poland	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A	3-TXT.pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets the technica - taffic solutions unrational Ju The entry has met the compet	I requirements of the ry Report			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Unde	er Above	
Traffic	GBA in m ²	173932	1371	75 36757	
	Residential	6685	0	6685	
Other Residential	Commercial	19010	0	19010	
Commercial	Retail	6545	192	5 4620	
Retail Restaurants Culture	Restaurants	980	0	980	
	Culture	5200	0	5200	
	Traffic	135250	1352	50 0	
	Other	262	0	262	
	Residential units	⁷⁴ floors		2	
	Parking units	732 No.of floors	aboveground	d 10	
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	184,77	

Entry code 0007803196 Authors Moraga, Pablo , Author, Chile Höpfner, Heike , Author, Chile Ramirez, Andres , Modeling,	Technical Committee votes for elimination:0Uploaded materials0B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0	ROUND First select	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical - the floor plans of the towers a problematic in floor usage (low - overall lower GBA Jury Repo The entry has met the competi	requirements are schematic, the dir /er nett area) ort		of a square	16x16m somewhat
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		nder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	59400		3475	35925
Restaurants	Residential	15650		0	15650
Retail	Commercial	14250	2	2250	12000
Commercial	Retail	1150		0	1150
Residential	Restaurants	600		0	600
	Culture	6100		900	5200
	Traffic	21650	2	0325	1325
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	floors	undergro		3
	Parking units	762 No.of floors	abovegro	una	16
	Requested parking units		: area pe g place	r	28,41

Г

		••• J••• ••• •••		
Entry code 0007802993				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Sala, Carles , Author, Spain Linares, Nagore , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials			
Ferusic, Mario , Author, Spain Cedó, María , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Ferusic, Relja , Author, Spain				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th The entry has met the competi	e technical requiremer		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	101824	46504	55320
Culture Other	Residential	18027	0	18027
Restaurants	Commercial	17809	705	17104
Retail — Residential	Retail	13474	4435	9039
Commercial	Restaurants	830	0	830
	Culture	6816	986	5830
			40070	209
	Traffic	40587	40378	203
	Traffic Other	40587 4281	40378 0	4281
		4281 184 No.of u floors	0 nderground	
	Other	4281 184 No.of u floors	0	4281

		Organ	izer and Manager: The	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803845	Technical Committee	Jury votes		ROUND	
Authors	votes for elimination: 0			First sele	ction
Medak, Mirta , Author, Croatia Kincl, Branko , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials				
Breka, Ana , Author, Croatia Mustać, Zrinka , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	og	A3-TXT.pd	lf
Zlatec, Katarina , Collaborator - design,		·		·	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - the underground floors show - parking undercapacitated du The entry has met the compe	ne technical i vn in smaller ue to low resi	scale dential/other ratio	Jury Repor	t
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fl	oors l	Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	116	356 5	52115	64241
Culture	Residential	20	79	0	2079
Residential	Commercial	238	327	0	23827
Commercial	Retail	222	230	1810	20420
Retail	Restaurants	71	39	0	7139
	Culture	107	776	0	10776
	Traffic	392	250 :	39250	0
	Other	11()55 ·	11055	0
	Residential units	15	No.of undergro floors	ound	3
	Parking units	1100	No.of abovegro floors	ound	8
	Requested parking units	2044	Traffic area pe parking place	er	35,68

Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/D/				
Entry code 0007803568	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Torres, Hugo Óscar Nunes , Author of the architectural project, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled technica but the panels' orientation is in The entry has met the competi	correct - landscape fo	ormat Jury Report tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	41382	21041	20341
	Residential	5277	0	5277
Other	Commercial	3702	0	3702
Residential	Retail	0	0	0
Culture Commercial Retail	Restaurants	762	0	762
	Culture	9208	0	9208
	Traffic	21041	21041	0
	Other	1392	0	1392
	Residential units	floors	underground	2
	Parking units	494 No.of a floors	aboveground	6
	Requested parking units		area per g place	42,59

г

Entry code 0007803394				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Hurkens, Bram , Author, Netherlands Thijs, Rik , Author, Netherlands	Uploaded materials			
Wolters, Tom , Author, Netherlands Banning, Maud van, Author, Netherlands	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - somewhat undercapacitated The entry has met the compet	e technical requiremen parking (both area and	d units) Jury Repo	ort
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture Traffic	GBA in m ²	75700	24350	51350
Retail-	Residential	24050	450	23600
Other	Commercial	10950	0	10950
Commercial	Retail	11000	800	10200
Residential	Restaurants	2000	200	1800
	Culture	5000	200	4800
	Traffic	22700	22700	0
	Tranic	22100		
	Other	0	0	0
	Other Residential units	0 185 No.of L floors	Inderground	3
	Other	0 185 No.of u floors 1000 No.of a floors		

Entry code 0007802738				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
salopek baletić, zora , Author, Croatia Plazibat, Marijana , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
salopek, zlatka , Visualisation, kunej, ana , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
dević, ana , Consultant, Croatia Dešković, Lucano , Modeling, Croatia				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - other than the south aerial ph - proposes outdoor handball co neighborhood elementary scho The entry has met the competit	ourt with sport premises ol Jury Report	s (club, pool) that	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	107874	50359	57515
Other	Residential	16951	0	16951
Culture	Commercial	19866	0	19866
Restaurants	Retail	3119	0	3119
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	5594	0	5594
	Culture	12792	987	11805
	Traffic	46240	46060	180
	Other	3312	3312	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	1393 No.of al floors	boveground	12
	Requested parking units	1312Traffic a parking	area per place	33,19

		Organizer and Mar	nager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007802647 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First set	ection
Djurasinovic, Milos Dobrivoj, Author,	Uploaded materials			
Serbia Kovac Djurasinovic, Bojana Rajko ,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Author, Serbia		•	·	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical re- - only basic textual material Ju The entry has met the compet	quirements Iry Report	tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	92199	53315	38884
Other	Residential	8950	0	8950
Culture	Commercial	15090	0	15090
Restaurants	Retail	13583	7715	5868
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	2076	0	2076
	Culture	7860	960	6900
	Traffic	41530	41530	0
	Other	3110	3110	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1186 No.of a floors	aboveground	8
	Requested parking	1222 Traffic	area per	35,02

		Organi	zer and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society of	of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804882	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for eliminat	tion: 0	ROUND First select	tion
Authors	Uploaded materials	1			
Wang, Mingbo , Author, China Qto, quyet tien , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.p	df	A3-TXT.pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical rec program Jury Report The entry has met the compet	quirements e		ark units for _l	proposed
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fie	oors U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	896	370 3	4370	55300
Culture —	Residential	148	58	0	14858
Restaurants Other	Commercial	107	/52	0	10752
Residential	Retail	179	976	0	17976
Commercial	Restaurants	26	08	0	2608
	Culture	820	69	0	8269
	Traffic	352	207 3	4370	837
	Other	C)	0	0
	Residential units	116	No.of undergro floors		2
	Parking units	848	No.of abovegro	ound	8
	Requested parking		Traffic area pe		

		Organizer	and Manager: The	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/D
Entry code 0007803675 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for eliminatio	n: 0	ROUND First selec	tion
Pizzagalli, Simone , Author,	Uploaded materials				
Netherlands Georgiev, Ljubo , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf	
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled all techr The entry has met the competi	ical requireme		t	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floo	rs U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	94604		2000	52604
Other	Residential	18374	1	0	18374
Culture	Commercial	13830)	0	13830
Restaurants Residential	Retail	8810		0	8810
Commercial	Restaurants	705		0	705
	Culture	3815		0	3815
	Traffic	42430) 4	2000	430
	Other	6640		0	6640
	Residential units	156	No.of undergro floors		3
	Residential units Parking units	156 1338	No.of undergro floors No.of abovegro floors		3 11

Г

		V • • • •	5 - 5	,	
Entry code 0007803314	 				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se		
Aleksić, Marko , Author, Serbia Milicevic, Mirjana , Author,	Uploaded materials				
Miladinovic, Milan , Author, Mladenovic, Jelena , Author, Avramovic, Dusan , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	.pdf	
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled the tech - it lacks park units for propose The entry has met the competi	ed program Jury Rep	ort		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Culture Traffic Restaurants	GBA in m ²	58715	20427	38288	
Retail	Residential	13540	0	13540	
Other	Commercial	14685	0	14685	
Residential	Retail	6395	0	6395	
Commercial	Restaurants	1572	0	1572	
	Culture	2979	883	2096	
	Traffic	18518	18518	0	
	Other	1026	1026	0	
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	1	
		520 No.of aboveground floors			
	Parking units		boveground	9	

		organizor and mane		
Entry code 0007803221				
Authors letti, federica , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sele	ection
internicola, ignazio, Author of the	Uploaded materials			
architectural project, Italy prioreschi, massimiliano , Author of the	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
architectural project, Italy calabrese, federico, Author of the architectural project, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled all of the The entry has met the competi			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	33881	11000	22881
Culture	Residential	5731	0	5731
Restaurants	Commercial	5728	0	5728
Retail				
Retail	Retail	4315	0	4315
Retai	Retail Restaurants	4315 900	0	4315 900
Retai			-	
Retai	Restaurants	900	0	900
Retai	Restaurants Culture	900 2582	0	900 2582
Retail	Restaurants Culture Traffic	900 2582 11625 3000 41 No.of u floors	0 0 11000 0 nderground	900 2582 625
Retail	Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	900 2582 11625 3000 41 No.of u floors	0 0 11000 0	900 2582 625 3000

		Organizer and M	anager: The Zagreb So	ciety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804402 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUNI 0 First s) election
Garcia, Pedro , Author, Spain	Uploaded materials			
Zimic, Amina , Author, Spain Bujak, Mersel , Author, BiH Mujezinovic, Vedrana , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	Г.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry show the concept in cultural layout of the block, bu contextual sections Jury Repo The entry has met the competi	great detail, for insta It lacks facade drawi ort	ngs of any kind an	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	60170	29475	30695
Other	Residential	9195	0	9195
Culture	Commercial	4150	0	4150
Residential	Retail	4035	600	3435
Restaurants	Restaurants	3000	0	3000
	Culture	14665	3750	10915
	Traffic	21400	21400	0
	Other	3725	3725	0
	Residential units	75 floors		2
	Parking units	750 No.o floors	f aboveground S	9
	Requested parking units		ic area per ng place	28,53

	1	Organizer an	d Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803998 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First sele	ction
Burdjiev, Ivan , Associate author,	Uploaded materials			-	
Afghanistan Aleksandrov, Ivan , Associate author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.po	lf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech capacitated for the proposed p Report The entry has met the competi	nical requirement programme, main	ly culture (mu	king area is iseums, art	s under- arena etc) Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	Inder	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	74433		8140	46293
	Residential	9323		0	9323
	Commercial	6180	3	3591	2589
Residential	Retail	3683		0	3683
Culture Commercial Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	1724		0	1724
	Culture	12263	2	2256	10007
	Traffic	22293	2	2293	0
	Other	18967		0	18967
	Residential units	flc	o.of undergro oors		3
	Parking units		o.of abovegro oors	ound	7
	Requested parking units		affic area per arking place	r	30,92

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007804801 Authors Ertekin, Gül , Author, Turkey Yüksel, Dilan , Author, Turkey	Technical Committee votes for elimination:0Uploaded materials0B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND 0 First se	
<image/>	Technical Committee report - insufficient number of park u - entry fulfilled other technical The entry has met the compet	nits requirements Jury Re		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	101642	38790	62852
Culture Other	Residential	17970	0	17970
Restaurants	Commercial	18298	0	18298
Retail	Retail	9834	0	9834
Commercial	Restaurants	1424	0	1424
	Culture	10926	0	10926
	Traffic	38790	38790	0
	Other	4400	0	4400
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1251 No.of a floors	aboveground	13
	Requested parking units	1338 Traffic parking	area per g place	31,01

Entry code		- 3		ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
0007804452 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	lection
Vilarinho, Claudio , Author, Portugal	Uploaded materials		•	
Sousa, João , Project team, Portugal Valle, Nieves , Project team, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled the tech - lacks of park units Jury Rep The entry has met the competi	nnical requirements of ort		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	105485	38402	67083
Restaurants -	Residential	18632	0	18632
Retail	Commercial	24792	2260	22532
Residential	Retail	12663	0	12663
Commercial	Restaurants	3126	0	3126
	Culture	9736	0	9736
	Traffic	36536	36142	394
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1200 No.of a floors	aboveground	7
	Requested parking units	1547 Traffic parking	area per g place	30,45

Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/D					
Entry code 0007804419	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se		
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Lua Silva, Fernando , Author, Mexico Suchocka, Agnieszka , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf	
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry has met the technic except for the lack of parking of The entry has met the competi	units for the proposed		port	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Пали	GBA in m ²	83490	35510	47980	
Other	Residential	18688	0	18688	
	Commercial	8615	0	8615	
Culture — Residential	Retail	2767	0	2767	
Restaurants	Restaurants	1715	0	1715	
	Culture	8020	2384	5636	
	Traffic	33377	33126	251	
	Other	10308	0	10308	
	Residential units	floors	underground	3	
	Parking units	1176 No.of a floors	aboveground	11	
	Requested parking units		area per g place	28,38	

		organizor and ma	nagon. mo zagrob ocon	
Entry code 0007804257 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 4 First se	lection
Shinolov, Alexander Vladimirov,	Uploaded materials			
Author, Bulgaria Valchev, Valentin , Coauthor,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1_MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all grap - entry without commercial or in the hostel area) - insufficient textual material - very low GBA Jury Report The entry has met the competi	hic material residential program (o		usage is actually
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	32224	17600	14624
Other	Residential	1190	0	1190
Residential Commercial Retail	Commercial	0	0	0
Restaurants	Retail	318	0	318
Culture	Restaurants	1870	0	1870
	Culture	11246	0	11246
	Traffic	16403	16403	0
	Other	1197	1197	0
	Residential units	15 No.of floors	underground	1
	Parking units		aboveground	3
	Requested parking	340 Traffic	c area per	44,33

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ		
Entry code 0007803831	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	lection		
Authors	Uploaded materials					
Panic, Vanja /, Author, Serbia Knezevic, Aleksandar /, Author, Serbia	B1-PAN.pdf					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - insufficient parking area for the B1-MBD.jpg isn't in the entry so Jury Report The entry has met the competing	e technical requirement the proposed program submissions?	e			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	137074	52899	84175		
	Residential	19519	0	19519		
Culture	Commercial	21739	0	21739		
Restaurants	Retail	15000	0	15000		
Retail	Restaurants	4201	0	4201		
	Culture	6940	0	6940		
	Traffic	42321	42321	0		
	Other	27354	10578	16776		
	Residential units	floors	underground	3		
	Parking units	870 No.of a floors	aboveground	35		
	Requested parking		area per			

Г

I

Entry code 0007803039				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Juras, Branka , Author, Croatia Kontrec, Zvonimir , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Bekavac, Luka , Collaborator, Youlden, Matthew , Translation, Dražić, Rafaela , Collaborator - design,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical rec The entry has met the competi			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	79285	32330	46955
Other	Residential	13007	0	13007
Culture	Commercial	14020	0	14020
Restaurants Residential	Retail	6398	2300	4098
Commercial	Restaurants	2605	0	2605
	Culture	6954	0	6954
	Traffic	32510	30030	2480
	Other	3791	0	3791
	Residential units	119 No.of u floors	Inderground	2
	Parking units		boveground	18
	Requested parking units	1024 Traffic parking	area per g place	28,47

		Orgai	nizer and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society of	Architects (ZSA/DA	
Entry code 0007802836	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury vote for elimina		ROUND First select	on	
Authors	Uploaded materials					
Dubbeldam, Winka , Project leader, United States	B1-PAN.pdf					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee reportion of the entry has met the technical reportion of the entry has met the technical view renderings, one section is presented in the proposed programme Jury F. The entry has met the composed programme th	ical requirem ow-res, and t Report	the parking area is u			
Graphic tart	Computational table					
Traffic				nder	Above	
Culture	GBA in m ²	46	868 1	7807	29061	
Other	Residential	6	882	0	6882	
Restaurants	Commercial	92	202	0	9202	
Retail	Retail	4	502	0	4502	
Commercia	Restaurants	2	599	0	2599	
	Culture	7	728 3	3265	4463	
	Traffic	14	1542 1	4542	0	
	Other	1.	413	0	1413	
	Residential units	36	No.of undergro floors		2	
	Parking units	452	No.of abovegro floors	und	5	
	Requested parking	734	Traffic area pe	r	32,17	

Entry code 0007804783 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 First	ID selection
Čizmić Lebar, Milica , Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			
	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MDB.pdf	A3-TX	(T.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets all technical r - the legibility of floor plan is ve - undercapacitated parking uni The entry has met the competit	ery poor due to non ve ts Jury Report		ion images
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	84428	32595	51833
Other	Residential	3961	0	3961
Culture - Residential	Commercial	17808	0	17808
Restaurants	Retail	9001	0	9001
Retail	Restaurants	5909	0	5909
	Culture	7954	0	7954
	Traffic	38951	32595	6356
	Other	844	0	844
	Residential units	so floors	underground aboveground	3
	Parking units	736 floors		6
	Requested parking units		area per g place	52,92

		organizor and ma	inager. The Eagree cool	
Entry code 0007804565 Authors	Technical Committee	Jury votes of for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	
Vujic, Tamara , Author, Serbia Martac, Aleksandra , Author,	Uploaded materials			
Vujovic, Ivana , Author, Serbia Duboka, Andrej , Associate author, Serbia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repo - the entry meets all technica - undercapacitated parking u The entry has met the compo	al requirements units Jury Report	rtially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	49613	13494	36119
Other	Residential	0	0	0
- Residential	Commercial	13605	0	13605
	Retail	1310	0	1310
Restaurants Commercia	Restaurants	1863	0	1863
	Culture	11490	0	11490
	Traffic	15299	13494	1805
	Other	6046	0	6046
	Residential units	0 No.of floors	underground	1
	Parking units		aboveground	9
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	43,84

		organizor and mar	lager. The Eagles cool	
Entry code 0007804239 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Mhatre, Anil , Author, India Vanwari, Nidhi , Author, India	Uploaded materials		•	
Vanwari, Manas, Author, India Khan, Aamir, Collaborator - rendering, India	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all techni - undercapacitated parking sp The entry has met the compet	cal requirements aces Jury Report	tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	98980	44450	54530
Culture	Residential	7050	0	7050
Restaurants - Cither - Residential	Commercial	20500	0	20500
Retail	Retail	19020	2300	16720
Commercial	Restaurants	800	0	800
	Culture	9810	350	9460
	Traffic	41800	41800	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	100 No.of u floors	underground	3
		10010		
	Parking units	1120 No.of a floors	aboveground	12

Entry code	Technical Committee Jury votes ROUND					
0007803154	votes for elimination: 0	for elimination:	First sele	ction		
Authors	Uploaded materials					
Li, Zijian , Author, China	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pc	lf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - schematic floor plans - number of park units insufficient - entry fulfilled other technical requirements Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Uı	nder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	123700	58	8200	65500	
Culture	Residential	20000		0	20000	
Cuture Other	Commercial	12500		0	12500	
Residential	Retail	23000		0	23000	
RetailCommercial	Restaurants	5000		0	5000	
	Culture	5000		0	5000	
	Traffic	58200	58	8200	0	
	Other	0		0	0	
	Residential units	140 No.of underground floors			3	
	Parking units	1650 No.of a floors	lbovegrou	una	23	
	Requested parking units	1693 Traffic parking	area per g place		35,27	

		Organizer and Manag	er: The Zagreb Socie	ty of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007802948	 			1
Authors	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:2	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 3 First sel	ection
marcotullio, emanuele , Author, Italy rebichini, mattia , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
gabbianelli, alessandro , Author, Italy zappatore, valentina , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
ricco, paola , Author, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - undeveloped GBA - it lacks parking units - floor plan for characteristic flo - no underground floors, parkin street Jury Report The entry has met the competiti	g arbitrary, low GBA, o	ne traffic entry p	oint from Vlaska
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	14471	3619	10852
	Residential	2120	0	2120
Other	Commercial	2414	402	2012
Residential	Retail	1530	471	1059
Commercial	Restaurants	908	229	679
	Culture	4982	0	4982
	Traffic	2517	2517	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	derground	1
	Parking units	216 No.of abo floors	oveground	13
	Requested parking units	310 Traffic ar parking p		11,65

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/L
Entry code 0007804408				
Authors Hadimuljono, Erel , Author, Indonesia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Tanuwidjaja, Gunawan , Author of urban plan, Indonesia	Uploaded materials			
Kolondam, Goya Tamara , Author of urban plan, Indonesia Dasmanto, Hermawan , Author of the programm, Indonesia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry lacks all photomonta - the floor plans have problems - the Gorica building facade is - other technical requirements The entry has met the competi	s especially in resident only partly kept are met. the entry is k	ial areas (insolatio egible Jury Repo i	on?)
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	112204	47238	64966
Culture	Residential	21822	0	21822
Restaurants	Commercial	19879	0	19879
Retail	Retail	3044	0	3044
Commercial	Restaurants	14215	0	14215
	Culture	6006	0	6006
	Traffic	47238	47238	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	inderground	3
	Parking units	1500 No.of a floors	aboveground	20
	Requested parking units	1582 Traffic parking	area per	31,49

Entry code 0007803289 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First sele	ction
Bragança, José , Author, Portugal	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report Presented on just 2 panels A0 Report The entry has met the competi			ents clearly	legible. Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	74666	1	5600	59066
	Residential	18036		0	18036
Other	Commercial	18800		0	18800
Residential	Retail	19920		0	19920
Commercial	Restaurants	470		0	470
	Culture	1140		0	1140
	Traffic	15600	1	5600	0
	Other	700		0	700
	Residential units	oo floors	undergro		3
	Parking units	1089 floors			18
			c area pe ng place	r	14,33

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code				y of Architects (ZSA/DA
0007802915 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND First sele	ction
Romić, Krešimir , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Hudoletnjak, Željko , Author, Croatia Kozina, Petar , Associate author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the tecnical low GBA proposal, parking area The entry has met the competit	a is triple than required by	the programme	e Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	9260	0	9260
	Residential	0	0	0
Cotter Cotter	Commercial	1000	0	1000
Commercial	Retail	0	0	0
Culture	Restaurants	400	0	400
	Culture	2260	0	2260
	Traffic	5600	0	5600
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	0 No.of under floors	-	0
	Parking units	235 No.of above floors	egrouna	0
	Requested parking units	79 Traffic area parking pla		23,83

			lagon. The Eagles cook				
Entry code 0007802853 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 First se	ection			
Ballero, Carlo , Author, Italy Rosada, Andrea , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials	Uploaded materials					
Cocimano, Elisa , Author, Liprandi, Michele , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf			
Dalmasso, Cristian , Author,		1	l				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the The entry has met the compet	e technical requireme					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above			
	GBA in m ²	93942	43800	50142			
Other	Residential	13524	0	13524			
Culture	Commercial	13265	0	13265			
Restaurants	Retail	9820	0	9820			
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	2990	0	2990			
	Culture	4271	0	4271			
	Traffic	43800	43800	0			
	Other	6272	0	6272			
	Residential units	floors	underground	3			
		1350 No.of a	aboveground	18			
	Parking units	floors		_			

		Organiz	er and Manager: The	e Zagreb Socie	ty of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007804412 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for eliminat	ion: 5	ROUND First sel	ection
Suau, Cristian , Author, United Kingdom	Uploaded materials				
DE BAES, JORIS , Author, Belgium MATELJAN, MARIJA , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	g	A3-TXT.p	odf
MUŽA, NIKOLINA , Author,					<u>_</u>
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - concept including market sq - entry fulfilled all requirement Jury Report The entry has met the compet	uare outside	-	le	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flo	oors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	1058		55620	50205
	Residential	278	69	0	27869
Culture Other	Commercial	108	86	3092	7794
Retail	Retail	700	00	800	6200
Commercial	Restaurants	368	80	1000	2680
	Culture	566	62	0	5662
	Traffic	507	28	50728	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	297	No.of undergr floors		3
	Parking units	1361	No.of abovegr floors	ound	15
	Requested parking units	1155	Traffic area poparking place	er	37,27

г

Entry and				
Entry code 0007802958		1		
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	lection
TONTINI, Gabriele luca leonardo , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
pendenza, dario , Coauthor, Italy Quilici, Simone , Coauthor, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
latini, antonio pietro , Coauthor, Italy Kravchenko, Uliana , Coauthor, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic The entry has met the compet	al requirements Jury F		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
-	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 94003	Under 35720	Above 58283
-				
	GBA in m ²	94003	35720	58283
Traffic	GBA in m ² Residential	94003 17622	35720 0	58283 17622
Traffic Other Culture	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	94003 17622 10500	35720 0 0	58283 17622 10500
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	94003 17622 10500 7650	35720 0 0 2921	58283 17622 10500 4729
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	94003 17622 10500 7650 4107	35720 0 0 2921 0	58283 17622 10500 4729 4107
Traffic Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	94003 17622 10500 7650 4107 8945	35720 0 0 2921 0 0	58283 17622 10500 4729 4107 8945
Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	94003 17622 10500 7650 4107 8945 32799 12380 156 No.of u floors	35720 0 0 2921 0 0 32799 0 nderground	58283 17622 10500 4729 4107 8945 0
Culture Restaurants Retail	GBA in m² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	94003 17622 10500 7650 4107 8945 32799 12380 156 No.of u floors	35720 0 0 2921 0 0 32799 0	58283 17622 10500 4729 4107 8945 0 12380

		Organizer and Ma	anager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/D
Entry code 0007802585	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	
Authors	Uploaded materials		•	
Sedlić, Hrvoje , Author, Croatia Sedlić, Dubravka , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - incapacitated parking area - entry fulfilled other technica The entry has met the compe	l requirements Jury R	Report rtially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	78931	28921	50010
Restaurants	Residential	12450	0	12450
Other	Commercial	24089	0	24089
Residential	Retail	7410	0	7410
Commercial	Restaurants	1450	0	1450
	Culture	5708	1097	4611
	Traffic	27824	27824	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	19 floors		3
	Parking units	816 No.of floors	aboveground	11
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	34,10

		Orgai	nizer and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804416	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury vote for elimina	s ation: 0	ROUND First selec	tion
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Trpenoski, Kostantin , Author, Austria Loncarevic, Jasmina , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.	pdf	A3-TXT.pd	f
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry lacks more elaborat -the entry has insufficient part The entry has met the compet	ed textual d king units fo	or the proposed prog		y Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All	floors U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²			2000	53170
Culture	Residential	18	3090	0	18090
Retail	Commercial	21	850	0	21850
Residential	Retail	4	960	0	4960
Commercial	Restaurants	8	370	0	870
	Culture	74	400	0	7400
	Traffic	32	2000 3	2000	0
	Other		0	0	0
	Residential units	125	No.of undergro floors	und	2
	Parking units	610	No.of abovegro floors	ound	20
	Requested parking units	1059	Traffic area per parking place	r	52,46

		Organizer and Ma	inager: The Zagreb So	
Entry code 0007803451	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUNE 0 First s) election
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Herbst, Mateusz , Author, Poland	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	Г.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repor -the entry has fulfilled the tech -lacks of park. units Jury Report The entry has met the compe	nnical requirements of	-	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	54974	16835	38139
	Residential	4910	0	4910
Traffic — Residen	Commercial	7704	0	7704
	Retail	2341	0	2341
Culture Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	665	0	665
	Culture	2857	0	2857
	Traffic	16835	16835	0
	Traffic Other	16835 19662	16835 0	0 19662
		19662 40 No.of floors	0 underground	
	Other	19662 40 No.of floors	0 underground aboveground	19662

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803372 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 First sel	ection
Balog, Danko , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Anić, Luka , Author, Barešić, Tamara , Author, Gajić, Srđan , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the The entry has met the compe	ne technical requirement		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	120431	51789	68642
	Residential	18552	0	18552
Culture Other	Commercial	18795	0	18795
Residential	Retail	15760	0	15760
Commercial	Restaurants	3787	0	3787
	Culture	8075	0	8075
	Traffic	51789	51789	0
	Other	3673	0	3673
	Residential units	floors	inderground	3
	Parking units	1527 No.of a floors	boveground	15
	Requested parking	1611 Traffic	area per	33,92

Г

Entry code 0007803259				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Amial, Margarida , Author,	Uploaded materials			
Lencastre, Ines , Author, Portugal Castelo Branco, Francisco , Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the concept is clear, even tho -floor plans are defined, but the one contextual section and fac -Croatian language is used by The entry has met the competi	e proposal lacks under ades, mistake (?) in the pan	rground parking lev els Jury Report	nical requirements; /els,
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Restaurants Traffic	GBA in m ²	All floors 50593	Under 12600	Above 37993
Retail	Residential	12295	0	12295
Other	Commercial	14555	0	14555
Commercial	Retail	5874	0	5874
Residential	Restaurants	2194	0	2194
	Culture	3075	0	3075
	Traffic	12600	12600	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	60 floors	inderground	2
	Parking units	700 No.of a floors	boveground	7
	Requested parking units	841 Traffic parking	area per g place	18,00

Entry code 0007803079 Authors Ličina, Nikica , Author, Croatia	Technical Committee 0 votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials 0 B1-PAN.pdf 0	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0	ROUND First selection A3-TXT.pdf
	BI-FAN.pul	вт-тиви.јру		A3-171.pul
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica but the parking area is under-o The entry has met the competi	apacitated for the pro	posed pro ially.	ogramme Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	11,	nder Above
	GBA in m ²	117927		Above 6011 64916
Culture Restaurants Retail	Residential	13098	2	288 12810
Residential	Commercial	44837	40	699 40138
- resideman	Retail	4339	9	95 4244
Commercial	Restaurants	535		0 535
	Culture	7508	g	6549
	Traffic	43894	43	3574 320
	Other	3716	33	396 320
	Residential units	floors	undergrou abovegrou	und U
	Parking units	1136 floors		23
	Requested parking units	1483 Traffic parking	area per g place	38,64

		Organizer and Mar	hager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803025	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Chan, King Wai , Author, Hong Kong Wu, Maggie , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has met the technica The entry has met the competi	I requirements Jury R	Report tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	104985	59400	45585
Other	Residential	16940	0	16940
	Commercial	2105	0	2105
Culture	Retail	16265	14400	1865
Restaurants Commercial	Restaurants	1815	630	1185
	Culture	6935	550	6385
	Traffic	43820	43820	0
	Other	17105	0	17105
	Residential units	80 No.of I floors	underground	3
	Parking units		aboveground	7

Entry code 0007803011 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First selection
marinangeli, daniele , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic capacitated for the proposed The entry has met the compet	al requirements of the programme Jury Rep o	ort	the parking area is under-
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Ui	nder Above
	GBA in m ²	111420	-	64920
Other	Residential	20730	7	20 20010
Culture	Commercial	11350	1	150 11200
Residential	Retail	14450	4	14000
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	3500		0 3500
	Culture	5170	1	20 5050
	Traffic	46300	45	5000 1300
	Other	9920		60 9860
	Residential units Parking units	floors 425 No.of a	undergrou abovegrou	5
	Requested parking units	Traffic	area per g place	108,94

	-	Organizer and Manag	er: The Zagreb Soc	ety of Architects (ZSA/E
Entry code 0007802992				
Authors	Technical Committee	Jury votes	ROUND	
Martin, Ismael , Author, Germany Leon, Jorge , Coauthor, Spain	votes for elimination: 0	for elimination:	0 First se	lection
Perezabad, Luis Julián , Collaborator, de Uralde, Igor Lopez, Collaborator,	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT	ndf
Netherlands Aingeru, Josu Alvarez Martinez, Collaborator - design, Spain		D - WDD.pdi		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica is under-capacitated for the pr The entry has met the competi	oposed programme Jur	y Report	but the parking are
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	64835	0	64835
Restaurants	Residential	17950	0	17950
	Commercial	12532	0	12532
Commercial	Retail	5978	0	5978
Residential	Restaurants	1900	0	1900
	Culture	5390	0	5390
	Traffic	7820	0	7820
	Other	13265	0	13265
	Residential units	210 No.of un floors	derground	0
	Parking units		oveground	10
	Requested parking units	1183 Traffic an parking p		26,07

			iger. The Zugreb Ool	Hely OF AICHILECIS (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007800032				
Authors				
Filep, Sanja , Author, Croatia vidakovic, boris , Collaborator,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes ROU for elimination: 5 Firs) election
gaspar, marko , Collaborator, cvetkovic, matija , Collaborator,	Uploaded materials		•	
posavec, miroslav , Visualisation, bekavac, ivan , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT	.pdf
pavkovic, marina , Consultant, Croatia cetinic, ivan , Consultant for the energetics,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - it lacks park units for propose - 8 panels instead 7 (the 8th painformation) Jury Report The entry has met the competing	anel is a copy of the M	-	and bears no new
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	136241	68406	67835
Other	Residential	8964	0	8964
Culture	Commercial	34951	0	34951
Restaurants	Retail	12235	0	12235
Commercial	Restaurants	2513	0	2513
	Culture	9104	0	9104
	Traffic	60018	60018	0
	Other	8456	8388	68
	Residential units	floors	nderground	5
	Parking units	1696 No.of a floors	boveground	24
	Requested parking units	1720 Traffic a parking	area per place	35,39

Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Archi				
Entry code 0007803407	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:	5 ROUND	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials		-	
Belskiy, Vladimir , Author, Russia Pospelov, Sergey , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - graphic material has no scal - textual material is basic, with sustainability - in plans: cyan is for comment the legend) Jury Report The entry has met the compet	e and it's schematic out elaboration on soc rcial (open office spac	e) and yellow for r	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	112200	48300	63900
Culture	Residential	17200	0	17200
Restaurants	Commercial	24400	0	24400
Retail	Retail	10700	0	10700
Commercial	Restaurants	3600	0	3600
	Culture	9400	1400	8000
	Traffic	42000	42000	0
	Other	4900	4900	0
	Residential units	64 floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1420 No.of a floors	aboveground	12
	Requested parking units		area per g place	29,58

Г

Entry code 0007802970 Authors hung, agnes , Author, Hong Kong mui, paul , Author, Hong Kong	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	6	ROUND First sele	
lee, benny , Author, Hong Kong	B1-PAN.pdf	df			
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry doesn't solve vehicl - the given numbers of parking reflect the area shown on plan The entry has met the competi	e access and parking spaces and undergr s Jury Report	ound floo		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
Restaurants Other	GBA in m ²	83520	18	8500	65020
	Residential	17300	1	000	16300
Retail	Commercial	18000	1	500	16500
Residential	Retail	13500	1	500	12000
Commercial	Restaurants	6500	1	500	5000
	Culture	7520	2	2500	5020
	Traffic	2200	2	2000	200
	Other	18500	8	500	10000
	Residential units Parking units	32 No.of underground floors 2000 No.of aboveground			500 10
	Requested parking units		c area pei ig place		1,10

Entry code 0007803713 Authors Stoeger, David , Author, Austria Berthold BaMa, Gilbert , Author,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND First se	
				F
	Technical Committee report - photomontages replaced by - the entry has fulfilled all othe - vehicle accesses inconclusi The entry has met the compet	birds' eye views on city r technical requiremen <i>r</i> e Jury Report	ts	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	116890	60915	55975
Other	Residential	17490	0	17490
	Commercial	15370	0	15370
Culture Residential Restaurants	Retail	13305	0	13305
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	0	0	0
	Culture	6480	0	6480
	Traffic	60915	60915	0
	Other	3330	0	3330
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1564 floors		12
	Requested parking units	1232 Traffic parking	area per j place	38,95

		organizor and ma		agree coolery	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ	
Entry code 0007802792	Technical Committee votes for elimination:Jury votes for elimination:ROUND First selection			ction		
Authors	Uploaded materials					
sladoljev, marinko , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pc	lf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical requirements Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Ur	nder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	109329	49	9320	60009	
Other	Residential	18521		0	18521	
Culture Restaurants	Commercial	25250		0	25250	
Retail	Retail	7030		0	7030	
Commercial	Restaurants	2440		0	2440	
	Culture	3528		0	3528	
	Traffic	47420	47	7420	0	
	Other	5140	19	900	3240	
	Residential units	148 No.of underground floors			3	
	Parking units	1340 No.of floors	abovegrou	und	15	
	Requested parking units		: area per g place		35,39	

Entry and		Organizer and Ma	anager. The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/D
Entry code 0007804710 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Vernik, Andrej, Author, Slovenia	Uploaded materials	-	-	
Lipičar, Marjan , Author, Slovenia Bačić, Ivan , Collaborator, Afghanistan Maček, Miha , Associate author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - market square outside of co - under capacitated number o - the entry has fulfilled other to The entry has met the compet	mpetition site f park units echnical requirements		Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	102826	37512	65314
Culture Other	Residential	32553	0	32553
Restaurants Retail	Commercial	13201	1584	11617
Commercial	Retail	5203	0	5203
Residential	Restaurants	2891	0	2891
	Culture	5238	1100	4138
	Traffic	34828	34828	0
	Other	8912	0	8912
	Residential units	238 No.of floors	underground	2
	Parking units	836 No.of	aboveground	54
		floors	i	

Г

Entry code 0007804622							
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se				
Baptista Rodrigues, Pedro , Author,	Uploaded materials						
Portugal Leal, Ricardo , Collaborator, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf			
Saldanha, João , Associate author,							
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -B1-MBD.jpg is blank/missing -the entry is missing 3 photom -5 contextual sections replace -number of parking units is 2 t Report The entry has met the competi	(Novena?) ontages, substituted b facade drawings imes larger than need	ed for the propose				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above			
Traffic	GBA in m ²	50979	40547	10432			
	Residential	2394	0	2394			
Other Residential	Commercial	4895	4807	88			
Commercial	Retail	0	0	0			
Culture Retail	Restaurants	1772	1308	464			
	Culture	7486	0	7486			
	Traffic	34201	34201	0			
	Other	231	231	0			
	Residential units	floors	underground	3			
	Parking units	736 No.of a floors	aboveground	5			
	Requested parking units	353 Traffic area per parking place		46,47			

		Orgar	izer and Manager: The	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ	
Entry code 0007803416	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0			ROUND First selec		
Authors	Uploaded materials					
Perego, Dario , Author, Italy Cremonesi, Paolo , Collaborator, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.j	pg	A3-TXT.pc	lf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the tech but the parking units are unde programme Jury Report The entry has met the compet	nical requir r-capacitate	ed to 90% of the re	, quested area	a by the proposed	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All f	loors L	Inder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	80	592 2	8800	51792	
Culture — Other	Residential	24	398	0	24398	
Restaurants	Commercial	86	692	0	8692	
Retail	Retail	53	370	0	5370	
Commercial Residential	Restaurants	20	002	0	2002	
	Culture	63	350	0	6350	
	Traffic	28	800 2	8800	0	
	Other	49	980	0	4980	
	Residential units	258 No.of underground floors			3	
	Parking units	951	No.of abovegro floors	bund	18	
	Requested parking units	1037 Traffic area per parking place		r	30,28	

		Organizer and Manag	ger. The Zagreb Socie	ty of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803111 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	6 ROUND	ection
Foley, Stephen, Author, Ireland	Uploaded materials			
Ruiz, Adelardo Domingo, Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - underground floors are missi or parking - the parking area is under cap - the legend omits one color (ling "multipurpose halls" or such - the textual material insufficier The entry has met the competing	pacitated for the propos ght green, between "exp nt Jury Report	ed program position" and "offi	-
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants I rathc	GBA in m ²	36256	5526	30730
Other	Residential	10396	0	10396
	Commercial	10641	0	10641
Commercial	Retail	450	0	450
Residential	Restaurants	1264	0	1264
	Culture	4810	0	4810
	Traffic	5526	5526	0
	Other	3169	0	3169
	Residential units	floors	derground	2
	Parking units	103 No.of ab floors	oveground	7
	Requested parking units	592 Traffic a parking		53,65

Entry code 0007804495 Authors	Technical Committee Jury votes ROUND votes for elimination: 0 First set				ction	
Isono, Yuichi , Author, Japan	Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD .jpg		A3-TXT.p	df	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry has fulfilled all technical requirement Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	Inder	Above	
	GBA in m ²	118100	5	53100	65000	
	Residential	16905		0	16905	
Culture Other	Commercial	20080		0	20080	
Restaurants	Retail	8365		0	8365	
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	10000		0	10000	
	Culture	8755		0	8755	
	Traffic	53100	5	53100	0	
	Other	895		0	895	
	floors				3	
	Parking units	1748 No.o floor	f abovegro s	buna	9	
	Requested parking units		ic area pe ing place	r	30,38	

		organizor and mar	lager. The Eagree cool	ely of Afchilects (25A/DA
Entry code 0007803750 Authors Zammit, Christian , Author, Malta Sammut, Alexine , Associate author, Netherlands	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND First se A3-TXT.	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled all of the The entry has met the compet	technical requirement	ts Jury Report tially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	104645	52638	52007
	Residential	19233	0	19233
Culture — Other	Commercial	14848	0	14848
Restaurants	Retail	8716	2485	6231
Retail	Restaurants	3020	0	3020
	Culture	8675	0	8675
	Traffic	50153	50153	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1350 No.of floors	aboveground	17
	Requested parking units	1191 Traffic parkin	area per	37,15

			agon. The Eagles coold	
Entry code 0007803569 Authors Negro, Giovanni , Author, Italy De Pascalis, Raffaele , Coauthor, Italy	1			
Valenza, Nicole , Coauthor, Italy Lini, Maria Carla , Coauthor, Italy	Technical Committee	Jury votes	ROUND	
Lezzi, Laura , Coauthor, Italy	votes for elimination: 0	for elimination:	0 First sel	ection
Casini, Clarita , Coauthor, Italy Carrozzo, Walter , Coauthor, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Marinaci, Natalia, Coauthor, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Schito, Cosima , Coauthor, Italy De Marco, Sara , Coauthor, Italy Duggan, John Robert, Visualisation, Italy Lenti, Giordano , Consultant for landscape planning, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report			
	 the entry meets all technical the proposal uses most of the resulting in undercapacitated low aboveground GBA, lowris most of the ground floor area The entry has met the compet 	e underground for recr parking units se building a is a terraformed park	Jury Report	facilities, thus
Graphic tart	Computational table			
Traffic		All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	61999	34693	27306
	Residential	0	0	0
Residential Commercial Retail	Commercial	448	150	298
Restaurants	Retail	2740	0	2740
Culture	Restaurants	1675	805	870
	Culture	30169	10943	19226
	Traffic	17140	16500	640
	Other	9827	6295	3532
	Residential units	0 No.of u floors	Inderground	3
		10015		
	Parking units		boveground	3

		S. gameor and mar	lager. The Zagreb Cook	
Entry code 0007803080				
Authors Winkeler, Marrit , Author, Netherlands	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Willems, Frank , Project team, Netherlands	Uploaded materials			
Sangers, Jacqueline, Project team,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Netherlands Wijngaarde, Mark van , CAD, Netherlands		I		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry lacks definition in p - the underground garage is s - lower GBA - the entry has partially fulfilled The entry has met the competing	olans and sections eriously underdimensi d the technical require	ments Jury Repor	t
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	61480	23300	38180
Culture	Residential	12380	0	12380
Restaurants	Commercial	11150	2550	8600
Retail — Residential	Retail	3450	1500	1950
Commercial	Restaurants	1700	200	1500
	Culture	5500	550	4950
	Traffic	13500	13500	0
	Other	13800	5000	8800
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	550 No.of a floors	aboveground	7
	Requested parking units		area per g place	24,55

Entry code 0007802980	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination	n: O	ROUND First sele	ction
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Waisman, Adolfo , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.po	lf
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has met all of the tec Main Board Jury Report The entry has met the competi	chnical requirer		itian langua	ge is appearing on
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	re I	Inder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	105745		0825	64920
Restaurants	Residential	21275		0	21275
Other	Commercial	18695		0	18695
Residential	Retail	12955		0	12955
Commercial	Restaurants	5550		0	5550
	Culture	7465		1020	6445
	Traffic	39805	3	9805	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	170	No.of undergro floors		2
	Parking units		No.of abovegro floors	bund	16
	Requested parking units		Traffic area pe parking place	r	26,71

Entry code 0007804571				
Authors				
Thatcher, Jessica , Project team, Cuberos, Juan Carlos, Project team, Gerland, Nicole , Project team,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROU 0 First	ND : selection
Mosquera, Manuela, Project team,	Uploaded materials			
Forero, Pablo , Team leader, Colombia Restrepo, Julian , Team leader, Colombia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-BMD.jpg	A3-T	XT.pdf
pelaez, camilo , Team leader, Italy Sanchez, Santiago , Project leader, Colombia				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry fulfilled all technical - only basic textual material Jury Report The entry has met the competi	requirements	ally.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture ————————————————————————————————————	GBA in m ²	69721	18240	51481
	Residential	15163	20	15143
Restaurants	Commercial	14866	60	14806
Retail	Retail	1717	20	1697
Commercial	Restaurants	2480	20	2460
	Culture	17415	40	17375
	Traffic	18080	18080	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	1
	Parking units	1130 No.of a floors	boveground	8
	Requested parking units	1012 Traffic parking	area per place	16,00

Entry code 0007804301 Authors Novaes Coelho Jr, Marcio , Author, Brazil Sguizzardi, Silvio , Author, Brazil Dizioli, Paulo Roberto, Author, Brazil Lobo, Larissa , Collaborator, Brazil	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes	ROUND	1
Novaes Coelho Jr, Marcio, Author, Brazil Sguizzardi, Silvio, Author, Brazil Dizioli, Paulo Roberto, Author, Brazil			ROUND	
Novaes Coelho Jr, Marcio, Author, Brazil Sguizzardi, Silvio, Author, Brazil Dizioli, Paulo Roberto, Author, Brazil			ROUND	
Sguizzardi, Silvio , Author, Brazil Dizioli, Paulo Roberto, Author, Brazil		for elimination:	0 First se	
	Uploaded materials			4
	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MDB.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Ribeiro Costa, Bruno , Collaborator, Brazil				
Nadal, Thiago, Collaborator, Brazil				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has fulfilled the comp significantly higher than neces The entry has met the competi	sary Jury Report		parking units is
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	97955	39228	58727
Traffic	Residential	15695	0	15695
	Commercial	10942	4128	6814
Residential	Retail	4678	0	4678
Culture	Restaurants	1700	0	1700
	Culture	6462	0	6462
	Traffic	35100	35100	0
	Other	23378	0	23378
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1700 No.of a floors	boveground	27
	Requested parking units	1283 Traffic parking	area per	20,65

Entry code 0007804096 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First sele	ction
mack, casey , Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	łf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technic The entry has met the competi	cal requirements Jury tion requirements parti	Report ally.		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
	GBA in m ²	115633		0748	64885
Culture —	Residential	25653		0	25653
Restaurants Other	Commercial	20406		0	20406
Residential	Retail	10527		0	10527
Commercial	Restaurants	2076		0	2076
	Culture	5186		0	5186
	Traffic	50748	5	0748	0
	Other	1037		0	1037
	Residential units Parking units	floors	indergro ibovegro		3 21
	Requested parking units		area per g place	r	34,76

Г

Entry code 0007803632 Authors HULUBA, Aurelia , Author, Romania	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
HULUBA. Aurelia, Author, Romania	Uploaded materials			
	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
	Technical Committee report -the entry has fulfilled the techr but the panel with 3 photomonts of the proposal instead of B1-M Jury Report The entry has met the competit	ages is uploaded /by N /IBD.jpg		ım/ as thumb image
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	94433	47950	46483
Other	Residential	16151	0	16151
	Commercial	4044	0	4044
Culture	Retail	7380	0	7380
Restaurants Commercial	Restaurants	1483	0	1483
	Culture	12093	3064	9029
	Traffic	44886	44886	0
	Other	8396	0	8396
	Residential units	floors	nderground	4
	Parking units	1035 No.of al floors	boveground	11
	Requested parking units	1041 Traffic a parking	area per place	43,37

Г

Entry code 0007803271				
Authors Backonja, Vuki , Author, United States	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Thomsen, Aaron, Coauthor, United	Uploaded materials			
States Kang, Yilip, Coauthor, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Wiemer, Stephan , Coauthor, United States				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - sections and elevations show - undercapacitated parking un - no textual explanation of traff The entry has met the competi	n in typical segment, its in only one underg ic provisions Jury Re	not in urbanistic sc round floor port	ale
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	64375	14500	49875
Restaurants	Residential	14650	0	14650
Retail	Commercial	15125	0	15125
Residential	Retail	5000	0	5000
Commercial	Restaurants	2300	0	2300
	Culture	11600	0	11600
	Traffic	15700	14500	1200
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	59 No.of floors	underground	1
	Parking units	396 No.of floors	aboveground	11
	Requested parking units		: area per g place	39,65

Г

Entry code 0007803183 Authors Riesco, Joaquin , Author, Chile Venegas, Felipe , Author, Chile Duval, Leon , Author, Chile	Technical Committee votes for elimination:3Uploaded materialsB1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	6 ROUND First se A3-TXT.	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry is undefined when it overall volume of the intervention (e.g. there is no parking solution in the computational table are to -2 photomontages are substitut -low GBA Jury Report The entry has met the competing	on on shown in the plans, b under-capacitated) ted with detail rendering	put the parking ar Js	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants Traffic	GBA in m ²	35322	6690	28632
Retail	Residential	13130	0	13130
Commercial	Commercial	5980	0	5980
	Retail	2120	0	2120
Residential	Restaurants	1095	0	1095
	Culture	4252	0	4252
	Traffic	6690	6690	0
	Other	2055	0	2055
	Residential units	floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	208 No. of ab floors	oveground	19
	Requested parking units	560 Traffic a parking		32,16

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code			a manager. ITIe /		of Architects (ZSA/DA
0007803891 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First selec	tion
MARTINOVIC, ANA , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials				
PESA, JOSIPA , Author, PAVLOVIC, IVA , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf	-
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report the entry has met the technica programme Jury Report The entry has met the competi		-	ng units for t	he proposed
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	Inder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	104995	3	5581	69414
Restaurants Other	Residential	18987		800	18187
	Commercial	32831	2	2862	29969
Residential	Retail	5131		0	5131
Commercial	Restaurants	1525		0	1525
	Culture	3777		0	3777
	Traffic	29894	2	9623	271
	Other	12850	2	2296	10554
		N	o.of undergro	und	_
	Residential units	flc	oors		2
	Residential units Parking units	1004 flc			2 21

Entry code 0007802908 Authors ponce, javier , Author, Spain	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First select	
	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-1X1.pu	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the - undercapacitated parking, re Report The entry has met the competi	sidential vehicle/parki	ng acces	s from Vlas	ka street Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	П	nder	Above
Culture Traffic Restaurants	GBA in m ²	88709		5071	63638
Retail	Residential	16537		0	16537
Other	Commercial	21690		0	21690
Residential	Retail	16426		0	16426
Commercial	Restaurants	1608		0	1608
	Culture	6908		0	6908
	Traffic	24539	24	4539	0
	Other	1001	Ę	532	469
	Residential units	floors	undergrou abovegrou		3
	Parking units	744 floors	0		24
	Requested parking units		area per g place		32,98

		organizor an	a manager. The Eagleb 66	ciety of Architects (ZSA/
Entry code 0007802803 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes of or elimination:	ROUNI 0 First s	D selection
Morra, Michele , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials		1	
Bagatto, Pietro , Associate author, Italy Bernardi, Denis , Consultant for structural detailing, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.JPG	A3-TX	T.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the techni but the parking area is under The entry has met the compo	ical requirements, r-capacitated for th		ne Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	44953	22553	22400
Other	Residential	6703	0	6703
Culture	Commercial	9533	2175	7358
Restaurants Residential				
Retail	Retail	3946	0	3946
	Retail Restaurants	3946 663	0	3946 663
Retail				
Retail	Restaurants	663	0	663
Retail	Restaurants Culture	663 3730	0	663 3730
Retail	Restaurants Culture Traffic	663 3730 18150 2228 64 ftc	0 0 18150 2228 D.of underground pors	663 3730 0
Retail	Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	663 3730 18150 2228 64 ftc 455 N	0 0 18150 2228 5.of underground	663 3730 0 0

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007802727 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination:		Jury votes ROUNE for elimination: 0 First s		ND selection	
zoran, nikoloski , Author, Other	Uploaded materials					
vesanovic, marin , Visualisation, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repo	equirements				
	- under capacitated parking The entry has met the comp	area Jury Re etition requirer	port ments partially.			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fl	oors l	Jnder	Above	
Culture	GBA in m ²	760)94 2	27328	48766	
	Residential	279	968	0	27968	
Restaurants	Commercial	39	04	0	3904	
Retail	Retail	14	50	0	1450	
Reside	Restaurants	67	40	0	6740	
	Culture	94	72	768	8704	
				26560	0	
	Traffic	265	2000 2			
	Traffic Other	265		0	0	
			No.of undergro floors	ound	0	
	Other	(No.of undergro	ound		

Ester and		Organizer ar	iu manayer. The	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804688 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First selec	tion
Pereira, Luís Filipe Lage, Author,	Uploaded materials				
Portugal Mateus, Olga Sofia , Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pd	f
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic the plans and section in scale The entry has met the compet	al requirements, 1:500 are under-	-designed Jur		incorrect,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		Inder	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	103476		2627	60849
	Residential	14564		0	14564
Traffic	Commercial	922		0	922
Residential	Retail	0		0	0
Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	Restaurants	2999		0	2999
	Culture	7500		0	7500
	Traffic	43076	4	2627	449
	Other	34415		0	34415
	Residential units		lo.of undergro oors	bund	4
	11	N	lo.of abovegro	bund	13
	Parking units		oors		15

Entry code 0007804428	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0	ROUND First sele	ection
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Casellato, Cristiana , Author, Brazil	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica except for displaying a single p The entry has met the competi	photomontage Jury I	Report Irtially.		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	49244	-	9447	29797
Other	Residential	8872		0	8872
Culture	Commercial	10882		0	10882
Restaurants Residential	Retail	1516		0	1516
Commercial	Restaurants	557		0	557
	Culture	2349		0	2349
	Traffic	19447	1	9447	0
	Other	5621		0	5621
	Residential units	floors floors			1
	Parking units	530 No.of floors	f abovegro s	bund	4
	Requested parking units		c area pe ng place	r	36,69

Entry code 0007803436				
Authors				
Vázquez Mosquera, José Manuel , Author, Spain Calatayud Romero, M. Carmen , Coauthor, Spain	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votesROUNDfor elimination:0First selection		
Trincado Sandá, Diego, Collaborator,	Uploaded materials	•	•	
Spain González Álvarez, Sandra ,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pc	lf
Collaborator, Spain Fraga Lamas, Maite , Collaborator, Spain Castro Cedeira, Mar , Collaborator, Spain				
	Technical Committee report - elevations not shown - spatial indicators shown 10x included in the calculation Jur The entry has met the competi	smaller (probable mistake) y Report		I parking units not
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	11550	3150	8400
Restaurants Retail Other	Residential	5600	0	5600
Commercial	Commercial	500	0	500
	Retail	300	0	300
Residential	Restaurants	400	0	400
	Culture	1500	700	800
	Traffic	2250	2250	0
	Other	1000	200	800
	Residential units	0 No.of unde floors	-	2
	Parking units	0 No.of abov floors	reground	13
	Requested parking units	170 Traffic are parking pla		2250,00

Entry code 0007802941		organizer and main	. <u></u>	
Authors Križaj, Ida , Author, Croatia Turato, Idis , Author, Croatia Dželalija, Nika , Project team, Elez, Anita , Project team, Jelić, Janko , Project team,	Technical Committee votes for elimination:0Uploaded materials0B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0 ROUND First sele A3-TXT_	
Liović, Marko , Project team, Mičetić, Josip , Project team,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - full area generic grid structu - areas of batteries included ir - NOTE residential units shown calculation of parking spaces - undercapacitated parking, ar Jury Report The entry has met the compet	e technical requiremer re n other areas n in m2 instead of num ccess from other public	ber of apartments of garages and Vlas	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	71737	16519	55218
	Residential	10963	0	10963
Traffic	Commercial	11299	1036	10263
Culture	Retail	610	0	610
Restaurants Commercial	Restaurants	1926	1000	926
	Culture	5653	4182	1471
	Traffic	8869	8869	0
	Other	32417	1432	30985
	Residential units	floors	nderground	1
	Parking units	400 No.of a floors	boveground	6
	Requested parking units	11985 Traffic parking	area per I place	22,17

Entry code				
0007802855	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	5 First sele	ction
Authors	Uploaded materials			
malfona, lina , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pd	lf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - basic textual material - visualizations but no photomo - under developed GBA of the - underground parallel parking - the entry has fulfilled other co Jury Report The entry has met the competit	proposal, with 3m width access roa ompetitons requirements		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	18317	9117	9200
Other	Residential	2818	0	2818
	Commercial	1344	0	1344
Culture	Retail	0	0	0
/ Commercial / Retail Restaurants	Restaurants	702	0	702
	Culture	4862	1417	3445
	Traffic	7700	7700	0
	Other	891	0	891
	Residential units	19 No.of unde floors	-	2
	Parking units	224 No.of abov floors	/egrouna	7
	Requested parking units	206 Traffic are parking pla		34,38

			lager. The Zagreb Socie	ely of Architects (23A/D
Entry code 0007802709	Technical Committee votes for elimination: C	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Štokić, Vitomir , Author, Croatia	BI-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technit the parking area is over-capa The entry has met the competition	cal requirements, acitated for the propose	d programme Jury tially.	/ Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	49465	30369	19096
	Residential	1944	0	1944
Other Resid	Rential Commercial	6075	0	6075
Comm	Retail	2784	0	2784
Culture Retail	Restaurants	1281	0	1281
	Culture	7012	0	7012
	Traffic	30369	30369	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	690 No.of a floors	aboveground	4
			area per	

		Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society	of Architects (25A/DA
Entry code 0007805162 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	4 ROUND First select	tion
Radulovic, Vladimir, Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			
Crnobrnja, Nemanja , Author, Stevanovic, Tijana , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pd	f
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry proposes an empty of put into the protected buildings - no spatial indicators - textual material follows the pro The entry has met the competiti	posal Jury Report	purpose, the or	lly purposes are
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	8	1	7
Other	Residential	0	0	0
Residentia	Commercial	1	0	1
Restaurants	Retail	2	0	2
Retail	Restaurants	1	0	1
	Culture	2	0	2
	Traffic	1	1	0
	Other	1	0	1
	Residential units	0 No.of under floors	-	1
	Parking units	126 No.of above floors	eground	2
	Requested parking units	0 Traffic area parking place		0,01

Г

Entry code 0007804575 Authors Kazmierczak, Bartosz , Author, Poland Pazder, Dominika , Author, Poland Szamalek, Judyta , Author, Poland Ekwinski, Lukasz , Author, Poland Chrzanowski, Jakub , Author, Poland	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimina B1-MBD.j	ation: 0	ROUND First select	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repor -the entry has fulfilled technic but the plans are under-desig without roads and parking lots The entry has met the compe	al requireme ned, e.g. pa Jury Repo	arking area show mo ort	erely structu	re and staircases
Graphic tart	Computational table	All f	floors L	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	89	695 4	1062	48633
Other	Residential	80	086	0	8086
Culture	Commercial	23	3492	0	23492
Restaurants	Retail	70	090 :	3855	3235
Commercial	Restaurants	3	109	0	3109
	Culture	53	364	0	5364
	Traffic	37	207 3	7207	0
	Other	53	347	0	5347
	Residential units	150	No.of undergro floors No.of abovegro		3
	Parking units	1550	floors		16
	Requested parking	1218	Traffic area pe	r	24,00

	-		anager. The Lagreb coor			
Entry code 0007804231 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection		
Hart, Heleen , Author, France Fontaine, Amélie , Author, France	Uploaded materials					
Berteloot, Mathieu , Author, France Hallynck, Alice , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf		
Merlin, Marie-hélène , Author, France						
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of th - underground in scale 1:1000 - B1-MBD.jpg isn't in the entry The entry has met the compet	e technical requirem) r submissions? Jury	Report			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
Culture	GBA in m ²	84253	28667	55586		
Parteurote	Residential	32204	0	32204		
Restaurants - Uther	Commercial	7105	0	7105		
Commercial	Retail	1787	0	1787		
Residential	Restaurants	2816	0	2816		
	Culture	10678	292	10386		
	Traffic	28011	28011	0		
	Other	1652	364	1288		
	Residential units	floors		2		
	Parking units	996 No.o floors	f aboveground	11		
	11		ic area per			

Entry code 0007803968				
Authors Stolyarov, Yuriy , Author, Ukraine	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 5 First sel	lection
Morkel, Paul , Author of the architectural project,	Uploaded materials	I.		
Malynovska, Olha , Author of the architectural project,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Belbas, Roman , Author of the architectural project,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plans for all characteris - the parking area is a bit unde - the entry has fulfilled other te - lower GBA Jury Report The entry has met the competi	tic floors are schemater capacitated for the echnical requirements	proposed program	me
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Graphic tart	Computational table GBA in m ²	All floors 43038	Under 19375	Above 23663
Traffic				
Graphic tart Traffic Culture	GBA in m ²	43038	19375	23663
Culture	GBA in m ² Residential	43038 3870	19375 0	23663 3870
Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	43038 3870 7885	19375 0 0	23663 3870 7885
Culture Cestaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	43038 3870 7885 4000	19375 0 0 0	23663 3870 7885 4000
Culture Cestaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	43038 3870 7885 4000 1686	19375 0 0 0 0	23663 3870 7885 4000 1686
Culture Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	43038 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222	19375 0 0 0 0 0	23663 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222
Culture Culture Restaurants	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	43038 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222 19015 360 36 No.of floors	19375 0 0 0 0 0 0 19015 360 underground	23663 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222 0
Culture Culture Residential	GBA in m² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	43038 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222 19015 360 36 No.of floors	19375 0 0 0 0 0 0 19015 360	23663 3870 7885 4000 1686 6222 0 0 0

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007802910	Technical Committee	Jury votes		ROUND	v of Architects (ZSA/DA
	votes for elimination: 0	for elimina	tion: 0	First sele	ction
Authors Fernández, Diego, Author, Argentina	Uploaded materials				
Canullo, Daniel , Visualisation,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	g	A3-TXT.po	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - insufficient number of park u - no facades in graphic materi - entry fulfilled other technical The entry has met the competi	nits al requirement	s Jury Report nents partially.		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fl	oors l	Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	857	1	29838	55926
Culture — Other	Residential	270)42	0	27042
Restaurants	Commercial	10896		0	10896
Commercial	Retail	14	64	0	1464
Residential	Restaurants	60	07	0	6007
	Culture	61	87	0	6187
	Traffic	298	338 2	29838	0
	Other	43	30	0	4330
	Residential units	210	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	945	No.of abovegro floors	ound	12
	Requested parking units	1145	Traffic area pe parking place	er	31,57

	1	Organizer and Mar	hager: The Zagreb Socie	ty of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007802749				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Vukojic, Andrea , Author, Croatia Kondza, Nenad , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Ruščić, Filip , Visualisation, Croatia Šaban, Ivica , Structural consultant, Jandric, Ivica , Modeling, Tolj, Marina , CAD, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica insufficient (1/2 of the required commercial redevelopment of The entry has met the competi	al requiremets of the b d according to the cor the block Jury Repor	nputational table) fo	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants	GBA in m ²	140521	44156	96365
Retail	Residential	3095	820	2275
Residential	Commercial	73225	450	72775
	Retail	7000	0	7000
Commercial	Restaurants	8000	0	8000
	Culture	3926	1241	2685
	Traffic	41040	41040	0
	Other	4235	605	3630
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1260 No.of a floors	aboveground	36
	Requested parking units	2616 Traffic parking	area per g place	32,57

Entry code 0007804818				
Authors Jernigan, Devin , Author, China	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Ruan, Hao , Author, China Puhalo, Vedrana , Project team, China	Uploaded materials			
Peterschmidt, Max , Project team, China	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Yao, Lifu , Project team, visualisations, China				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica but note that it enormously lack an incorrect underground park The entry has met the competi	ks parking units for the ing plan Jury Report	proposed program	nme, mostly due to
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	85500	28500	57000
Other	Residential	14200	0	14200
Culture	Commercial	8000	0	8000
Restaurants Residential	Retail	14200	0	14200
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	1100	0	1100
	Culture	8500	0	8500
	Traffic	28500	28500	0
	Other	11000	0	11000
	Residential units	70 floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	450 No.of a floors	boveground	15
	Requested parking units	1307 Traffic parking	area per place	63,33

		Organizer and Mana	ger. The Zagreb Socie	ty of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007802628 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 ROUND	ection
Đerković, Milić Licmi, Author,	Uploaded materials			
Montenegro Asanovic, Ivan Kico , Coauthor, Montenegro	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic but the parking area is under- The entry has met the compet	al requirements, capacitated for the prop		Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	24043	9045	14998
Culture	Residential	10005	0	10005
Restaurants	Commercial	742	485	257
Retail Commercial	Retail	0	0	0
Residential	Restaurants	457	0	457
	Culture	3047	210	2837
	Traffic	7700	7700	0
	Other	2092	650	1442
	Residential units	floors	nderground	1
	Parking units	154 No.of at floors	poveground	7
	Requested parking	282 Traffic a	area per	50,00

Entry code 0007802614		-		
Authors MAZZEO, GIUSEPPE , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROL 0 Firs	IND t selection
Turohan, Rea , Author, Italy Morandi, Paolo , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Bagarotti, Erica , Author, Italy Levi, Laura , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-1	TXT.pdf
landoli, Flavia , Consultant, Fantoli, Federica , Elaboration of drafts, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry fulfilled all technical rec - it lacks smaller number of pa Jury Report The entry has met the competi	rk units	ially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	47790	29820	17970
Traffic	Residential	2992	0	2992
Residential	Commercial	272	0	272
Commercial	Retail	3587	0	3587
Restaurants	Restaurants	1656	0	1656
	Culture	4492	0	4492
	Traffic	29820	29820	0
	Other	4971	0	4971
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	420 No.of a floors	aboveground	12
	Requested parking units		area per g place	71,00

Entry code 0007804955 Authors todeschini, pietro , Author, United States Kim, Han , Collaborator,	Technical Committee votes for elimination:3Uploaded materialsB1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	6 ROUND First select	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry presents just two "ty legible plans out of scale - elevations not clearly legible, s - no spatial indicators, as well a - only 3 panels do not present t - textual material insufficient Ju The entry has met the competit	sections OK as parking provisions the proposal enough to be ary Report	-	ted in somewhat
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Commercial Restaurants	GBA in m ²	1681	0	1681
	Residential	711	0	711
Culture Craffic Other	Commercial	670	0	670
	Retail	0	0	0
Residential	Restaurants	300	0	300
	Culture	0	0	0
	Traffic	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	120 No.of under floors No.of above		3
	Parking units	0 floors	ground	8
	Requested parking units	147Traffic area parking plac		0,00

Entry code 0007804220 Authors Bertocchi, Ronnie , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	0	ROUND First sele	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the proposal meets all technic The entry has met the competi	cal requirements Jury			<u>.</u>
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
	GBA in m ²	69900	36	6000	33900
	Residential	11000		0	11000
Other	Commercial	9800		0	9800
Restaurants	Retail	6200		0	6200
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	2000		0	2000
	Culture	4900		0	4900
	Traffic	36000	36	6000	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units Parking units	floors	undergrou abovegrou		3 14
	Requested parking units	Traffic	area per g place		50,00

		Organizer and Manager	. The Zayreb Societ	y of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803777 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sele	ction
Agarzayev, Chingiz , Author, Azerbaijan	Uploaded materials			
Quliev, Feyzulla , Team leader, Heydarzade, Fahriyya , Collaborator -	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	df
design,				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -missing detailed parking soluti -error in computatitional table r along with number of undergrou -other technical requirements r The entry has met the competit	egarding residential units und/aboveground floors net Jury Report	and requested	parking units,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture — Traffic	GBA in m ²	13631	4785	8846
	Residential	2260	0	2260
Other	Commercial	500	0	500
Restaurants Residential	Retail	2735	2515	220
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	520	0	520
	Culture	4846	0	4846
	Traffic	2770	2270	500
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	2260 No.of under floors	-	4785
	Parking units	2620 No.of abov floors	reground	8846
	Requested parking units	2479 Traffic are parking pla		1,06

Entry code 0007803868				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sel	ection
Vlasov, Leonid Igorevich, Consultant, Russia	Uploaded materials			
Lazarenko, Tatiana Andreevna, Author of the architectural project, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Lobanov, Evgeniy , Author of the architectural project, Russia				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the The entry has met the competit			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	91001	42995	48006
Other	Residential	16965	0	16965
Culture	Commercial	18759	0	18759
Restaurants Residential	Retail	3576	0	3576
Commercial	Restaurants	1636	0	1636
	Culture	5314	730	4584
	Traffic	41220	41220	0
	Other	3531	1045	2486
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	882 No.of a floors	boveground	8
	Requested parking units	998 Traffic parking	area per j place	46,73

		Organizer and Manage	er: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803737	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 5 First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
RIZOVA, IRINA STOYANOVA , Author, Bulgaria	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plan for above ground c - insufficient number of park u - the entry has fulfilled all other The entry has met the competin	nits, technical requirements	Jury Report	am zones,
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	55017	0	55017
Culture Traffic Other	Residential	12222	0	12222
	Commercial	14292	0	14292
Residential	Retail	18936	0	18936
Commercial	Restaurants	2955	0	2955
	Culture	3736	0	3736
	Traffic	0	0	0
	Other	2876	0	2876
	Residential units	65 No.of und floors	-	3
	Parking units	874 No.of abo floors	oveground	18
	Requested parking units	1409Traffic are parking p		0,00

Entry code 0007803414	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimina	tion:	ROUND First se	lection
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Ascani, Matteo , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	og	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repor - the entry has fulfilled the tec - 7. panel is empty Jury Rep The entry has met the compe	hnical requir ort		ompetition	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fi	oors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²		562	58370	63192
- Other	Residential	169	977	0	16977
Culture	Commercial	156	675	0	15675
Restaurants Resid	Retail	162	210	0	16210
Retail Com	Restaurants	33	10	0	3310
	Culture	64	95	1600	4895
	Traffic	567	770	56770	0
	Other	61	25	0	6125
	Residential units	143	No.of underg floors		3
	Parking units	1662	No.of aboveg floors	round	15
	Requested parking units	1540	Traffic area parking place		34,16

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code		organizor and me		ciety of Architects (ZSA/DA
0007802591 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUNE 0 First s) election
Halilović, Lamija -, Author, BiH	Uploaded materials			
Mrkonjic, Đana , Modeling, Mrkonjic, Aida , Author of urban plan,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TX1	T.pdf
Entry thumb image BADEL BADEL	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled all of the - undercapacitated parking - emphasizes cultural purpose. The entry has met the competi	e technical requirem , lower GBA Jury Re	port	
Graphic tart	Computational table		Under	A h a a
T LEND	GBA in m ²	All floors 49390	Under 11380	Above 38010
Other	Residential	6934	0	6934
Culture -	Commercial	3114	992	2122
Residential	Retail	2553	0	2553
Restaurants Commercial Retail	Restaurants	2378	0	2378
	Culture	19329	300	19029
	Traffic	10088	10088	0
	Other	4994	0	4994
	Residential units	40 floors		2
	Parking units	331 No.of floors	aboveground	6

Entry code				
0007804071				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First sele	ection
lleković, Boris , Author, Croatia Vulin lleković, Dina , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Kulišić, Ivan , Coauthor, Croatia Lemac Kozlina, Maja , Coauthor, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-BMD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry has fulfilled the tec except the parking area which and the A3-TXT.pdf contains I The entry has met the compet	hnical requirements of the is under capacitated (for arger formats of competitio	approx. 600 pa on panels Jury	
Graphic tart	Computational table		Under	
Other		All floors 138800	Under 55590	Above 83210
	Computational table GBA in m ² Residential	All floors 138800 20090	Under 55590 0	Above 83210 20090
Other	GBA in m ²	138800	55590	83210
Traffic Other Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential	138800 20090	55590 0	83210 20090
Trafic Other Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial	138800 20090 22920	55590 0 0	83210 20090 22920
Trafic Other Culture Residential Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail	138800 20090 22920 11930	55590 0 0 500	83210 20090 22920 11430
Traffic Culture Residential Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	138800 20090 22920 11930 1300	55590 0 0 500 300	83210 20090 22920 11430 1000
Trafic Other Culture Residential Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	138800 20090 22920 11930 1300 3190	55590 0 0 500 300 2050	83210 20090 22920 11430 1000 1140
Culture Residential Retail	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	138800 20090 22920 11930 1300 3190 46280 33090 180 No.of under floors	55590 0 0 500 300 2050 46280 6460	83210 20090 22920 11430 1000 1140 0
Traffic Other Culture Residential	GBA in m ² Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	138800 20090 22920 11930 1300 3190 46280 33090 No.of under	55590 0 0 500 300 2050 46280 6460	83210 20090 22920 11430 1000 1140 0 26630

		Organizer and Mai	nager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (25A/
Entry code 0007804609 Authors Carvalho, Ana Cristina Vicente, Author, Portugal Serrão, Carlos Filipe Duarte, Author, Portugal	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	5 ROUND First se A3-TXT.	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry presents just the b adequate for 1:500 scale) - under capacitated parking a - probable miscalculation in tr private parking, calculated in The entry has met the comper	asic zoning in the floor rea affic area (the entry p 'other") Jury Report	resents different ar	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	79674	38618	41056
Traffic	Residential	12440	0	12440
	Commercial	4499	0	4499
Residential	Retail	1771	0	1771
Culture Commercial Restaurants	Restaurants	2523	0	2523
	Culture	4344	0	4344
	Traffic	38618	38618	0
	Other	15479	0	15479
	Residential units	93 floors	underground	3
	Parking units	492 No. of floors	aboveground	8

Entry code 0007803935	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:0						
Authors	Uploaded materials	Uploaded materials					
Arai, Katsuya , Author, Japan	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pdf				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -complete incorrectness of spatial indicators in the computational table (surface areas stated in A3-TXT.pdf) -textual summary extra long -B1-MBD.jpg not displaying? -other than that, the entry has met technical requirements (in illustrating the concept) Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.						
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above			
	GBA in m ²	80	2	78			
Traffic Culture	Residential	20	0	20			
Restaurants	Commercial	6	0	6			
Retail —— Residential	Retail	13	0	13			
Commercial	Restaurants	1	0	1			
	Culture	3	0	3			
	Traffic	2	2	0			
	Other	35	0	35			
	Residential units	20 No.of underg floors No.of above	-	2			
	Parking units	150 floors	yi ouriu	6			
	Requested parking units	21 Traffic area parking place		0,01			

Entry code 0007803674				
Authors Urošev, Dragan Radovan, Author, Serbia Djordjevic, Zoran Dragoljub, Author, Serbia Šolaja, Ines Gordana, Author, Serbia Šarenac, Tamara Milenko, Author, Serbia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	2 ROUND First sel	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - one photomontage is missing - insufficient number of park u - graphical presentation very l - very low GBA - the entry has fulfilled all othe The entry has met the compet	g inits ight (bright) and bare r technical requireme	nts Jury Report	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	29933	15146	14787
Other	Residential	4824	0	4824
Restaurants	Commercial	5261	858	4403
Residential	Retail	2762	2202	560
Commercial	Restaurants	1520	820	700
	Culture	7362	3422	3940
	Traffic	6424	6424	0
	Other	1780	1420	360
	Residential units Parking units	42 floors	underground aboveground	2 7
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	33,11

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804648 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	lection
Sousa, Paulo Gonçalves, Author,	Uploaded materials			
Portugal Castro, Rita Roma, Author, Portugal	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry meets most technic - the panels are presented in - the spatial indicators show of explanation is that the figure i 8000m2) - the culture area is actually of The entry has met the compe	cal requirements landscape format, rath cultural area at 11310m ncludes hotel area, whi cca 1500m2 Jury Repo	2, which is incorre ch is not shown sp ort	ect. possible
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	54180	18875	35305
Other	Residential	13220	0	13220
Culture —	Commercial	6560	0	6560
Restaurants	Retail	620	0	620
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	1015	0	1015
	Culture	11310	0	11310
	Traffic	18875	18875	0
	Other	2580	0	2580
	Residential units	oo floors	underground	3
	Parking units	667 No.of a floors	aboveground	26
	Requested parking units		area per g place	28,30

Entry code 0007804196			0 0	
Authors				
de Jucá Vasconcellos, Múcio César , Author, Brazil Leão Feitosa, Renato , Author of the	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 6 First se	ection
architectural project, Brazil	Uploaded materials			
do Rêgo Barros, Noé Sérgio , Author of the architectural project, Brazil	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Quintella Melo, Patricia , Author of the architectural project, Brazil Barreto Silva, Bruno , Author of the architectural project, Brazil				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry shows the concept of 'line forces' in scale 1:500, instead of 1:1000 as requested -the entry has exceedingly more parking units than necessary for the proposed programme -the floor plans are shown as sections of the model, without any further explanation of usage, vertical or horizontal communication (textual or legend) -underground floor do not show parking or traffic flow disposition, entry and access is unclear -low GBA -the summary textual explanation is 5x longer than needed Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.			
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	64024	30763	33261
Other	Residential	12428	0	12428
	Commercial	8535	0	8535
Culture — Residential	Retail	2721	1397	1324
RetailCommercial	Restaurants	812	350	462
	Culture	5100	0	5100
	Traffic	29016	29016	0
	Other	5412	0	5412
	Residential units	floors	nderground	3
	Parking units	976 No.of a floors	boveground	13
	Requested parking units	692 Traffic parking	area per place	29,73

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

		Organizer an	iu manayer. The a	Lagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803805	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	4	ROUND First sele	ction
Authors	Uploaded materials	-			
Cagnoni, Glessio , Author, Brazil Carlini, Juliana Cunha, Author, Brazil	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pd	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the graphical material doesn' and the higher buildings) - the entry has fulfilled other te The entry has met the competi	t explain the use	ents Jury Re	•	he portico bridges
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
	GBA in m ²	92652	5	4993	37659
	Residential	11073		690	10383
Other	Commercial	16954		788	16166
Culture	Retail	3410		465	2945
Restaurants Commercial	Restaurants	1112		0	1112
	Culture	10085	3	3400	6685
	Traffic	50018	4	9650	368
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	flo	o.of undergro		3
	Parking units		o.of abovegro oors	ound	9
	Requested parking units		raffic area pe arking place	r	43,42

г

Entry code 0007803519	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for eliminatior	n: 6	ROUND First selecti	on	
Authors	Uploaded materials					
Mišić-Pažin, Hrvoje , Author, Croatia Mladina, Ivan , Coauthor,	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry disregards the who including the existing protected perimeter - instead it proposes a fully bu buildings of mixed use - the entry lacks floor plans for - the parking is grossly under Jury Report The entry has met the competing	le competition p d buildings as w ilt 2 floors base the highrise (p capacitated for	vell as the existir for commercial proposes reside the said propose	ng buildings or I purposes, wit ntial, commerc	h the north-east th 2 highrise cial and hotel)	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	s Un	der	Above	
Culture	GBA in m ²	181218	710	000	110218	
- Other	Residential	8027	C)	8027	
Retail	Commercial	49000	100	000	39000	
	Retail	48832	C)	48832	
Commercial	Restaurants	3000	C)	3000	
	Culture	0	C)	0	
	Traffic	61000	610	000	0	
	Other	11359	C)	11359	
	Residential units	80	No.of underground floors		2	
	Parking units		No.of abovegro floors	und	47	
	Requested parking units	3221 Traffic area per parking place			38,01	

		organizer and ma		ety of Architects (ZSA
Entry code 0007803217 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 2 for elimination:	6 First se	lection
Slyusarenko, Sergiy Volodymyrovych,	Uploaded materials			
Author, Ukraine Promahin, Ivan Volodymyrovych , Author, Ukraine	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee repo -the proposal's presentation presentation -site plan is indefined, the en- supermarket, etc.), superim -there is no housing program -parking area is under-capa The entry has met the comp	is incoherent, starting w ntry displays schematic posed on the geodetic s nme in the proposal icitated for the proposed	floor plans (for use survey d programme Jury	es such as
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	102416	36346	66070
Other	Residential	0	0	0
Residential	Commercial	20991	0	20991
Culture — Commercial	Retail	11335	0	11335
Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	2333	0	2333
	Culture	22446	0	22446
	Traffic	36346	36346	0
	Other	8965	0	8965
	Residential units	0 floors	underground	2
	Parking units	941 No.of floors	aboveground	7
	Requested parking	Troffic	c area per	38,62

		Organizer and N	lanager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007802858 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 0 First se	
Dragic, Milan Branko, Author, Serbia	Uploaded materials			
Stojanovic, Stefan Miomir, Author, Lazarevic, Olga Slobodan, Author, Dedia, Sopia Vladan, Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Dedic, Sonja Vladan, Author,		-		
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technic the parking area is under-cap and due to concept, contextua Report The entry has met the compet	al requirements of the acitated for the prop I sections (and faca	oosed programme ides) show only one	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture Traffic	GBA in m ²	81220	22400	58820
Retail	Residential	19400	0	19400
Other	Commercial	19400	0	19400
Residential	Retail	7800	0	7800
Commercial	Restaurants	2600	0	2600
	Culture	9620	0	9620
	Traffic	22400	22400	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	²⁵⁰ floor		2
	Parking units	690 No.c floor	of aboveground s	33
	Requested parking	1250 Trafi	fic area per	32,46

Entry code 0007802871 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	0 First se	
hendriks, jean , Author, Netherlands	Uploaded materials			
nenuriks, jean, Autror, Netrenarius	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	.pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry has met the technica the floor plans are designed as undefined -the number of parking units is The entry has met the competi	above requested (for	almost 1/2) Jury	ogrammaticaly
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	137900	74500	63400
Other	Residential	13650	0	13650
Residential	Commercial	26350	0	26350
Culture	Retail	9000	0	9000
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	2150	0	2150
	Culture	8500	5500	3000
	Traffic	69000	69000	0
	Other	9250	0	9250
	Residential units	140 No.of underground floors		3
	Parking units	2100 No.of a floors	aboveground	23
	Requested parking units	1490 Traffic parking	area per g place	32,86

	Τ	Organizer a	nd Manager: The	Zagreb Society	y of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803695	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 0	Jury votes for elimination:	. 0	ROUND First sele	ction
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Singh, Vibhor Mukul , Author, India Mathur, Natasha , Coauthor, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry has all of the technic substituted by contextual rende The entry has met the competi	erings Jury Rep	ort	e 3 photom	ontages which are
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	s l	Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	108050	4	3400	64650
Other	Residential	16875		0	16875
Culture	Commercial	16850		0	16850
Restaurants Residential	Retail	13700		0	13700
Commercial	Restaurants	425		0	425
	Culture	12550		0	12550
	Traffic	45150	4	2900	2250
	Other	2500		500	2000
	Residential units	115 No.of underground floors			3
	Parking units		No.of abovegro loors	bund	14
	Requested parking units		Fraffic area pe barking place	r	35,41

				DCIELY OF AFCHILECIS (ZSA/DAZ	
Entry code 0007803027	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	6 First s	D selection	
Authors	Uploaded materials		-		
Bashnina, Olga , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	T.pdf	
<image/>	Technical Committee report -under-defined entry proposal, diagrammatic and schematic plans and sections, even if accompanied with rendered contextual block elevations -no photomontages -underground parking access inappropriate, as well as traffic flow in the parking -incorrectness of spatial indicators, the parking area is almost the double of the requester one Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Culture	GBA in m ²	99800	34800	65000	
Restaurants Retail	Residential	17600	0	17600	
	Commercial	32000	0	32000	
Residential	Retail	6600	0	6600	
Commercial	Restaurants	4000	0	4000	
	Culture	4000	0	4000	
	Traffic	33000	33000	0	
	Other	2600	1800	800	
	Residential units 1760		17600 No.of underground floors		
	Parking units	33000 No.of floors	aboveground	3	
	Requested parking units		area per g place	1,00	

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

		organizer and man		Society of Architects (ZSA/DA2
Entry code 0007804166	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	6 Firs	IND t selection
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Javadzadeh Moqtader, Pirooz , Author, Iran	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-1	TXT.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the Concept in 1:1000 showr - no photomontages - slightly insufficient parking a The entry has met the competi	n only on the B1-MBD.j	rograme Jury	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	89247	32436	56811
Restaurants	Residential	18758	0	18758
Retail	Commercial	14014	0	14014
Residential	Retail	16235	0	16235
Commercial	Restaurants	2770	0	2770
	Culture	6124	1378	4746
	Traffic	29292	29004	288
	Other	2054	2054	0
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	1129 No.of a floors	boveground	12
	Requested parking units	1422 Traffic parking	area per j place	25,95

Entry code 0007803282	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 5 First sel	ection
Authors Calvi Rollino, Alessandro , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Rogic, Ivana Vojnic, Consultant for environment, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
<image/>	Technical Committee report -the entry didn't meet the techr -the proposal lacks certain floc contextual sections or facades -the photomontages do not sho out of the image, -spatial indicators show under- proposed programme) Jury Report The entry has met the competi	or plans, e.g. overall un , only individual buildin ow the overall design p -capacitated parking a	nderground levels - ngs, roposal - the skys rea (to 1/5 of the r	craper is sticking
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants	GBA in m ²	81044	26405	54639
	Residential	15589	0	15589
Retail	Commercial	16200	0	16200
Residential	Retail	18550	9700	8850
Commercial	Restaurants	3480	1530	1950
	Culture	12050	0	12050
	Traffic	15175	15175	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	-3
	Parking units	280 No.of a floors	boveground	35
	Requested parking	Troffic	area per	

Entry code 0007804367 Authors	votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	6 First selec	tion
Authors A'shry, Mohamed Gaber, Author, Egypt	Uploaded materials			
A shry, Monamed Gaber, Author, Egypt	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -completely incorrect spatial ind (underdevelopped site area), ott -extremely low GBA, not calcula buildings Jury Report The entry has met the competitie	ner technical requirements ted, estimate cca 4000m2	smet	ng protected
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture — Traffic	GBA in m ²	12	3	9
	Residential	0	0	0
Residential Commercial Other Retail	Commercial	0	0	0
	Retail	1	0	1
Rostaurants	Restaurants	5	0	5
	Culture	3	0	3
	Traffic	3	3	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	0 No.of underg	-	3
	Parking units	255 No.of above floors	yrouna	10
	Requested parking units	0 Traffic area parking plac		0,01

Entry code 0007804312	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	5 First s) election
Authors	Uploaded materials			
moller, chris , Author, New Zealand	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	ſ.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plans presented as sche - mistake in comp. table (3,00 r and it's missing 3 underground The entry has met the competit	m2 per park. unit!?) floors area Jury Repor	t y.	
Graphic tart Restaurants Culture	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	61625	0	61625
Other	Residential	17775	0	17775
	Commercial	15000	0	15000
Commercial	Retail	12000	0	12000
Residential	Restaurants	2000	0	2000
	Culture	6600	0	6600
	Traffic	4200	0	4200
	Other	4050	0	4050
	Residential units	119 No.of und floors	-	3
	Parking units	1400 No.of abo floors	veground	11
	Requested parking units	1288 Traffic ard parking p		3,00

Г

Entry code 0007803909						
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 1	Jury votes for elimination:		ROUND First selection		
Ramadhan, Adam , Author, Indonesia	Uploaded materials					
Prakasa, Gian , Visualisation, Indonesia Pramesti, Rochana Esti, Author of the	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf		
programm, Indonesia			-			
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the number of parking units is - the entry has fulfilled other te The entry has met the competi	chnical requirements	S Jury Rep	y for the proposed program ort		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Un	ider Above		
Traffic	GBA in m ²	79860	-	654 67206		
Retail	Residential	18048		0 18048		
	Commercial	18656		0 18656		
Residential	Retail	17379		0 17379		
Commercial	Restaurants	3541		0 3541		
	Culture	7042		0 7042		
	Traffic	12314	12	314 0		
	Other	2880	3	40 2540		
	Residential units	90 floors		3		
	Parking units	278 No.of floors	abovegrou	ind 11		
	Requested parking units		c area per ng place	44,29		

Entry code	Technical Committee Jury votes ROUND				
0007803856	votes for elimination: 2	for elimination:	5 First se	lection	
Authors	Uploaded materials			I	
bostjancic, bernard , Author, BiH	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -insufficient textual material (Croatian word in it), underdevelopped concept of 'open campus' resulting with 'monoprogramme' of culture -too schematic cross-sections -low GBA Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
	GBA in m ²	50530	24165	26365	
	Residential	0	0	0	
Residential Commercial Retail Restaurants	Commercial	0	0	0	
	Retail	0	0	0	
Culture	Restaurants	2290	0	2290	
	Culture	19190	4205	14985	
	Traffic	18210	18210	0	
	Other	10840	1750	9090	
	Residential units	floors	nderground	2	
	Parking units	674 No.of a floors	boveground	3	
	Requested parking units	665 Traffic a parking	area per place	27,02	

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007803836	Technical Committee	Jury votes	ROUND	/ of Architects (25A/D		
Authore	votes for elimination: 2	for elimination:	6 First sele	ction		
Authors Ćeškić, Pero , Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials					
Ivanac, Nikola , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf					
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -underdevelopped GBA of the proposal, strictly cultural programme, no parking -no graphic scale or textual description of the scale of the plans -Croatian language used (by mistake?) -other technical requirements met Jury Report The entry has met the competition requirements partially.					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above		
	GBA in m ²	2750	0	2750		
Traffic	Residential	0	0	0		
Culture Residential Culture Retail Retail	Commercial	0	0	0		
	Retail	0	0	0		
	Restaurants	0	0	0		
	Culture	2640	0	2640		
	Traffic	0	0	0		
	Other	110	0	110		
	Residential units	floors	derground	0		
	Parking units	0 No.of ab floors	oveground	0		
	Requested parking units	50 Traffic a		0,00		

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803476 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 2	Jury votes for elimination:	6 ROUND	lection
ROSSANDA, CHIARA -, Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
taddei, massimo -, Associate author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - there is no facades in graphi - residential area in undergrou - very low GBA Jury Report The entry has met the competing	c material ind!?	ially.	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic-Other	GBA in m ²	28375	11760	16615
	Residential	7885	3125	4760
	Commercial	0	0	0
Culture	Retail	1775	0	1775
Restaurants Residential Residential Commercial	Restaurants	475	0	475
	Culture	2955	0	2955
	Traffic	7035	7035	0
	Other	8250	1600	6650
	Residential units	41 No.of u floors	Inderground	2
	Parking units	522 No.of a floors	boveground	12
	Requested parking units	422 Traffic parking	area per j place	13,48

		÷ . g			
Entry code 0007805255	Technical Committee votes for elimination:Jury votes for elimination:ROUN Bin			Round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Selivanova, Olga , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - only one panel, the same as MBD - entry did not fulfill technical requirements Jury Report				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flo	ors I	Jnder	Above
	GBA in m ²	6358		30000	33580
- Other	Residential	138	0	0	1380
Residential Commercial Retail	Commercial	150	0	0	1500
Restaurants	Retail	250)	0	250
Culture	Restaurants	310	0	0	3100
	Culture	2240	00	0	22400
	Traffic	3160	00 3	30000	1600
	Other	335	0	0	3350
	Residential units	1	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	950	No.of abovegro floors	ound	6
	Requested parking units	669	Traffic area pe parking place	er	33,26

Entry code 0007805178 Authors Petrović Komlenić, Tamara Petar, Author, Serbia Entry thumb image	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report - no photomontages - no short description (4 words - shows only ground floor and - insufficient number of park u - textual material insufficient - pdf with textual material just s	s) +1 aboveground floor nits	7 ROUND Bin A3-TXT.	pdf
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	80453	27784	52669
Restaurants Other	Residential	37314	0	37314
Retail - Commercial -	Commercial	0	0	0
	Retail	6219	0	6219
Residential	Restaurants	392	0	392
	Culture	6000	0	6000
	Traffic	27000	27000	0
	Other	3528	784	2744
	Residential units Parking units	432 No.of underground floors No.of aboveground floors		3 7
	Requested parking units	980 Traffic parking	area per J place	43,20

			<u> </u>	ty of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007805081	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Ennas, Federico , Author, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry format of 6 joined p material is not acceptable - no actual textual material in tt - the spatial indicators filled in (including parking units) - only two "typical" above grou schematic diagrams of all floor - the entry is not legible enoug	ne text file after deadline, no spati nd floor plans are insuf is out of scale	al indicators for u	nderground floors clarified by
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	63805	5100	58705
Other	Residential	16640	0	16640
	Commercial	15020	0	15020
Commercial	Retail	17300	0	17300
Residential	Restaurants	2110	0	2110
	Culture	4040	0	4040
	Traffic	5100	5100	0
	Other	3595	0	3595
	Residential units	floors	derground	3
	Parking units	5170 No.of ab floors	oveground	9
	Requested parking units	1412 Traffic a parking		0,99

Г

Estas and			0 0	· · · ·
Entry code 0007805065	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	RO 7 Bin	UND
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Golovanova, Aleksandra Aleksandrovna, Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-txt.pdf			
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry was submitted with a design proposal Jury Report	a single panel in B1-P,	AN.pdf, missi	ng information on the
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	r Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	69000	14000	55000
Restaurants	Residential	17000	0	17000
Other	Commercial	9000	0	9000
Residential	Retail	11000	0	11000
Commercial	Restaurants	2300	0	2300
	Culture	15000	0	15000
	Traffic	14700	14000	700
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	2
	Parking units	400 No.of a floors	aboveground	5
	Requested parking	1204 Traffic	area per	36,75

		Organi	zer and Manager: The	e Zagreb So	ciety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007805045					
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimina		ROUNE Bin)
Larson Sr EAIA, Jerome Morley, Author, United States	Uploaded materials				
Larson Sr EAIA, Jerome Morley, Author, United States Stevenson, William , Consultant,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	pg	A3-TX	Г.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry panels demand ope Content in PDF, but the drawi photomontages -according to the computation (195000 opposed to 65000) -the entry is incoherent and d required subject Jury Report	ning in Adob ngs are hand al table the o eals with mar	d-made, scale of overall GBA is 3 ti	drawings mes highe	arbitrary, no
Graphic tart	Computational table	ΔII f	loors l	Inder	Above
Restaurants	GBA in m ²	1	5000	0	195000
Retail Other	Residential	100	0000	0	100000
	Commercial	50	000	0	5000
	Retail	10	000	0	10000
Residential	Restaurants	20	000	0	20000
	Culture	10	000	0	10000
	Traffic	30	000	0	30000
	Other	20	000	0	20000
	Residential units	1000	No.of undergr floors		3
	Parking units	1246	No.of abovegi floors	ound	37
			3440 Traffic area per parking place		

Г

Entry code 0007804967	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Potekhina, Julia , Author, Russia Strekalov, Alexey , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - it lacks park units for propose - underground floor plans are - entry fulfilled all other technic	ed program missing in graphic ma	terial Report	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	68945	26700	42245
Culture	Residential	5750	0	5750
Restaurants - Other - Residential	Commercial	16235	0	16235
Retail	Retail	11090	0	11090
Commercial	Restaurants	2560	0	2560
	Culture	5800	0	5800
	Traffic	26700	26700	0
	Other	810	0	810
	Residential units	50 No.of underground floors		2
	Parking units	890 No.of a floors	aboveground	7
	Requested parking units	1046 Traffic parking	area per g place	30,00

Entry code 0007804774				
	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUN 7 Bin	D
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Chistiakov, Ivan NNN, Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	T.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry's textual material is i -the plans in scale 1:500 are u -the parking area is remarkabl Report	nsufficient Inder-designed, mere	ly as programmal 1/6 of the reques	ic hatch-solid-zones ted area) Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Retail	GBA in m ²	79178	8300	70878
Traffic	Residential	16563	0	16563
Other	Commercial	23275	0	23275
Residential	Retail	24920	0	24920
Commercial	Restaurants	800	0	800
	Culture	4800	0	4800
	Traffic	8820	8300	520
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	underground	2
	Parking units	284 No.of floors	aboveground	9
	Requested parking units		c area per Ig place	31,06

		Organizer anu	Manager. The Zagreb Socie	ely of Alchileus (23A
Entry code 0007804724				
Authors Mitra, Anindita , Author, United States		Jury votes 3 for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Baker, Leisa , Consultant for landscape planning, United States	Uploaded materials	•		
Trick, David , Project team, visualisations, United States scharen, kenneth , Project team, visualisations, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry lacks major graps and access, sections other - diagrams of floor usage do - insufficient parking with ur	hical material: the floo than landscaping and o not make the propos	l elevations sal legible	-
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture Other	GBA in m ²	57527	8208	49319
Restaurants	Residential	11659	0	11659
Retail	Commercial	16663	0	16663
Residenti	al Retail	2442	0	2442
Commercial	Restaurants	1630	0	1630
			_	5820
	Culture	5820	0	3020
	Culture Traffic	5820 6538	0 6538	0
			-	
	Traffic	6538 12775 166 No.	6538 1670 of underground	0
	Traffic Other	6538 12775 166 No. floc	6538 1670 of underground ors of aboveground	0 11105

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code 0007804701	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for eliminat	ion: 7	Round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Astafurov, Ivan , Author, Russia Nenakhova, Ekaterina , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	9	A3-TXT.pc	lf
	Technical Committee report - the presentation of the plans just does not suffice for the pr - lack of site plan hinders unde space, as well as vehicle acce - although the photomontages explanation to the proposal - undercapacitated parking un	, elevations a oposal to be l erstanding of ess are shown in	legible enough (ur pedestrian use ar the textual materi	nderstandab nd different	le) levels of public
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flo	oors U	nder	Above
	GBA in m ²	447		4700	30092
Other	Residential	765	5	0	7655
Culture -	Commercial	911	2	0	9112
Restaurants Residential	Retail	259	95	0	2595
Commercial	Restaurants	126	60	0	1260
	Culture	410	95	0	4105
	Traffic	147	00 1	4700	0
	Other	536	5	0	5365
	Residential units	76	No.of undergro floors		8
	Parking units	400	No.of abovegro floors	und	3
	Requested parking	628	Traffic area pe	r	36,75

	1	organizor and man	agon. The Lagres coold	
Entry code 0007804657			1	
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 Bin	
Durango, Christian , Author, Colombia García, Luisa , Author, Colombia	Uploaded materials			
Ospina, James , Author, Colombia Aya, Luis , Author, Colombia Bernal, Yeferson , Author, Colombia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
Medina, Luis , Author, Colombia				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry presents just the ba - parking area 3x bigger than e - only basic textual material Ju	entry needs	plans, (not adequa	ate for 1:500 scale)
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	64647	51161	13486
Traffic-	Residential	3150	0	3150
Residential	Commercial	2269	2269	0
Commercial	Retail	3529	0	3529
Restaurants Culture	Restaurants	1498	1498	0
	Culture	2442	2442	0
	Traffic	40332	40332	0
	Other	11427	4620	6807
	Residential units	27 No.of u floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1680 No.of a floors	aboveground	7

	ů.	0 0	ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	,		
Uploaded materials		•	
B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
- proposed graphic material de plan for all characteristic floors	bes not exist, except cr s only schematic, no fa	acades)	photomontages (floor
Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
GBA in m ²	63515	1200	62315
Residential	9073	0	9073
Commercial	5000	0	5000
Retail	5000	0	5000
Restaurants	10325	0	10325
Culture	26817	0	26817
Traffic	2200	1100	1100
Other	5100	100	5000
Residential units	floors	-	1
Parking units	175 No.of a floors	iboveground	10
Requested parking units			12,57
	votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report - - proposed graphic material do plan for all characteristic floors - - entry did not solve parking for - GBA in m ² - Residential - Commercial - Retail - Restaurants - Culture - Traffic - Other - Residential units - Parking units - Requested parking -	votes for elimination: 3 for elimination: Uploaded materials B1-MBD.jpg B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg Technical Committee report - proposed graphic material does not exist, except or plan for all characteristic floors only schematic, no fator and not solve parking for proposed program J Computational table All floors GBA in m ² 63515 Residential 9073 Commercial 5000 Retail 5000 Restaurants 10325 Culture 26817 Traffic 2200 Other 5100 Residential units 125 No.of ufloors Parking units 175 No.of afloors Requested parking 1465 Traffic	votes for elimination: 3 for elimination: 7 Bin Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT. Technical Committee report - proposed graphic material does not exist, except cross-section and plan for all characteristic floors only schematic, no facades) - entry did not solve parking for proposed program Jury Report Computational table Under GBA in m ² 63515 1200 Residential 9073 0 Commercial 5000 0 Restaurants 10325 0 Culture 26817 0 Traffic 2200 1100 Other 5100 100 Residential units 125 No.of underground floors Parking units 175 No.of aboveground floors Requested parking 1465 Traffic area per

Entry code 0007804562	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7	ROUND Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
olehov, ilya , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry doesn't give a parkin in the computational table -the entry doesn't show all of th hotel and cultural-business con -the executive summary descri	ne above ground flo nplex	or plans ne	either, e.g.	higher storeys of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		Jnder	Above
Culture Traffic	GBA in m ²	75275		2400	52875
Restaurants	Residential	22105		0	22105
Retail	Commercial	13200		0	13200
Commercial	Retail	4000		0	4000
Residential	Restaurants	2050		0	2050
	Culture	11520		0	11520
	Traffic	22400	2	2400	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	∠ floor			2
	Parking units	3 No.c floor	of abovegro s	ound	17
	Requested parking units		fic area pe sing place	r	7466,67

		organizor and manager	. The Eagles Cooler	
Entry code 0007804418	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials			
Kostadinov, Vladislav Ivanov, Author, Bulgaria	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pd	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry presents just the bas and vertical communication or s - it lacks the required photomor - no notion of form - the somewhat more elaborated - the spatial indicators are com	structure (not adequate f ntages or any other visua d sections do not make tl	or 1:500 scale) Is he entry legible e	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	5184	600	4584
	Residential	0	0	0
Residentia Commerci Restaura	Commercial	179	0	179
Culture	Retail	0	0	0
Traffic	Restaurants	181	0	181
	Culture	324	0	324
	Traffic	2000	0	2000
	Other	2500	600	1900
	Residential units	150 No.of under floors 1110 No.of abov	-	3
	Parking units	1110 floors		10
	Requested parking units	218 Traffic are parking pla		1,80

Г

Entry code 0007804356 Authors Vildanova, Lilya , Author, Russia Ganina, Tatiana , Coauthor, Russia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf Technical Committee report - insufficient graphic material (section) - mistake in comp. table regard - 33000m2 in restaurants???	ding park. units	por plans or pho	ROUND Bin A3-TXT.p	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	rs U	Inder	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	107791	1	2220	95571
Restaurants Other	Residential	11000		0	11000
Residential	Commercial	12000		0	12000
restema	Retail	20000		0	20000
Retail — Commercial	Restaurants	33000		0	33000
	Culture	8700		0	8700
	Traffic	13441	1	2220	1221
	Other	9650		0	9650
	Residential units	6 No.of undergroun floors			0
	Parking units		No.of abovegro floors		3
	Requested parking units		Traffic area per parking place	r	2688,20

Г

Estas as de				
Entry code 0007804335	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Authors	Uploaded materials			
St-Jean, Sebastien , Author, Hong Kong	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry lacks all textual mate the parking, vehicle or pedestr (also undercapacitated parking - the entry keeps existing protecn how to solve functions and usa	ian access cannot be sol g units) ected buildings but doesn	lved according t	o the proposition
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	69600	4200	65400
Retail	Residential	16700	0	16700
	Commercial	13800	0	13800
Residential	Retail	17200	0	17200
Commercial	Restaurants	1400	0	1400
	Culture	6500	0	6500
	Traffic	4200	4200	0
	Other	9800	0	9800
	Residential units	100 No.of und floors	-	3
	Parking units	1100 No.of abo floors	veground	31
	Requested parking units	1522 Traffic are parking pl		3,82

Г

Entry code 0007804287 Authors Balkansky, Maxim Alexandrov, Author, Bulgaria slavov, nikolai sakkii, Consultant, Bulgaria	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials BI_PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	7 ROUND Bin A3-TXT.	
Entry thumb image 8.1. Competition entrants should fill in and submit a table with spatial indi- caros ape cifying the purpose, type of use, and design of open sufface aros and participation table - supplic presentation is drawing as evidence of measures shown in the numerical tables. 8.3.1. Cmc putation table - summary of realized surface areas: 1.4.1 the required realized surface areas in m2 GBP, parking places and other data as required by the temphate form_A3-SUM.xis, to be delivered to com- pille format: us - Microsoft Excel 87/2000/XP file File name: A3-SUM.xis	Technical Committee report -the entry lacks block cross-se pavilions) -no textual material in the A3-T -spatial indicators in the filled f only 4550m2) -extremely low GBA, mostly in	XT.pdf, except for insuff orm table completely inc	icient spatial ind orrect (total abo	dicators ove ground GBA cca
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	18500	0	18500
Culture — Other	Residential	5000	0	5000
	Commercial	2000	0	2000
Restaurants Retail	Retail	1000	0	1000
Commercial Residential	Restaurants	500	0	500
	Culture	3000	0	3000
	Traffic	5000	0	5000
	Other	2000	0	2000
	Residential units	0 floors	derground	0
	Parking units	300 No.of abo floors	oveground	0
	Requested parking units	268 Traffic ar parking p		16,67

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

Entry code				agred Society of Architects (ZSA/DA
0007804194 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:		round Bin
Guimond, Andre, Author, United States	Uploaded materials			
Erlebacher, Evan , Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plans for only two chara - no floor plans for ground floo - insufficient graphic material	r or underground flo		g units arbitrary
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Un	ider Above
	GBA in m ²	111088		000 66088
Culture	Residential	30435		0 30435
Other	Commercial	13673		0 13673
	Retail	13080		0 13080
Commercial	Restaurants	4500		0 4500
	Culture	4400		0 4400
	Traffic	45000	45	000 0
	Other	0		0 0
	Residential units	floors		3
	Parking units	1500 No.of floors	f abovegrou S	nd 13
	Requested parking units	1483 Traffic area per parking place		30,00

				ety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ	
Entry code 0007804146	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND		
Authors	Uploaded materials		-		
Erickson, Kevin , Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.	pdf	
<image/>	 Technical Committee report non existent plans for underground floors, parking units arbitrary no facades textual material insufficient proposes a link to Kvaternikov trg by demolishing existing buildings and building the entry has fulfilled all other technical requirements, Jury Report 				
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above	
Restaurants	GBA in m ²	26080	5000	21080	
Retail	Residential	6350	0	6350	
Other	Commercial	5750	0	5750	
Residential	Retail	4530	0	4530	
Commercial	Restaurants	1290	0	1290	
	Culture	2790	0	2790	
	Traffic	5180	5000	180	
	Other	190	0	190	
	Residential units	floors	nderground	2	
	Parking units	512 No.of at floors	oveground	5	
	Requested parking units	485 Traffic a parking		10,12	

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)

		Organizer and Mar	nager: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/D
Entry code 0007804135	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND 7 Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials	-	-	
hui, sam sam , Author of the architectural project, United Kingdom	page_02_03.pdf	page01.pdf	A3.pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - very low GBA - hotel areas calculated as "R - lacks 3rd floor plans, photor - at least 1 other panel was ne	esidential", actually no nontages and elevation	S	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	13085	3250	9835
	Residential	2160	0	2160
Other	Commercial	1400	0	1400
Residentia	Retail	1900	0	1900
Retail	Restaurants	1000	0	1000
	Culture	3825	450	3375
	Traffic	2800	2800	0
	Other	0	0	0
			floors	
	Residential units	floors		
	Residential units Parking units	floors	aboveground	0

		Organizer and Mar	lager. The Zagreb 500	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804097 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
Garcia, Jose Carlos, Author, Mexico	Uploaded materials			
Garcia Montano, Jose Carlos, Modeling, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -floor plans not in scale, elevat -photomontages non existent -no textual material -incorrect spatial indicators Jury Report	ions, cross-sections c	only in schemes, n	o scale,
Graphic tart	Computational table		Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	All floors 130628	80000	Above 50628
	Residential	20289	0	20289
Other	Commercial	5750	0	5750
Residential	Retail	14974	0	14974
Culture Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	2117	0	2117
	Culture	7498	0	7498
	Traffic	80000	80000	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	254 No.of underground floors		2
	Parking units	2000 No.of a floors	aboveground	12
	Requested parking units		area per g place	40,00

Г

Entry code						
0007804092 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes for eliminati	on: 7	round Bin		
Whitney Schwarze, Samantha J,	Uploaded materials					
Author, United States Vogl, Lauren , Author, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pd	f	A3-TXT.pc	f	
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry has not fulfilled the technical requirements of the competition graphic material without floor plans basic textual material parking units calculated arbitrarily, as no underground floor are presented. format of the entry panel is inadequate (one long horizontal sheet present all 4 panels) Jury Report 					
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flo	ors U	Inder	Above	
Restaurants Traffic	GBA in m ²	524 ⁻	14 1	6000	36414	
	Residential	1714	15	0	17145	
Other	Commercial	113	58	0	11358	
Commercial	Retail	444	6	0	4446	
Residentia	Restaurants	155	7	0	1557	
	Culture	190	8	0	1908	
	Traffic	1600	00 1	6000	0	
	Other	0		0	0	
	Residential units	150	No.of undergro		2	
	Parking units	740	No.of abovegro floors	bund	8	
	Requested parking units	749	Traffic area pe parking place	r	21,62	

Entry code 0007804050	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin		
Authors	☐ Uploaded materials				
Chen, Xiwei , Author, United States	BI-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.	pdf	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry has basically fulfilled the technical requirements of the competition, but if format of the entry panels and B1-MDB.jpg is inadequate (one long horizontal sheer complicates evaluation - two above ground floor plans (only +9 and +18m) are shown out of scale (cca 1:1 and are not legible - ground floor plan and underground floor plans are not shown - the number of parking spaces is arbitrary, as no underground floors are shown - the photomontages have been substituted by aerial views with city model (OK) - the textual material is insufficient Jury Report				
Graphic tart	Computational table				
Culture		All floors	Under	Above	
Retail	GBA in m ²	90620	24190	66430	
	Residential	30690	0	30690	
Other	Commercial	20150	0	20150	
Commercial	Retail	9480	540	8940	
Residential	Restaurants	1480	0	1480	
	Culture	5170	0	5170	
	Traffic	23650	23650	0	
	Other	0	0	0	
	Residential units	290 No.of u floors	underground	3	
	Parking units	1500 No.of a floors	aboveground	17	
	Requested parking units		area per g place	15,77	

		Organizer and war	ayer. The Zayreb Soch	ely of Archilects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007804017				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 Bin	
Zidar, Hrvoje, Author, Croatia	Uploaded materials			
Mutnjaković, Andrija , Author, Croatia Zidar, Ivan , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry has visualizations but n - the parking area is under cap - 38(!)pages of text material bu - the entry has fulfilled other te	pacitated for the propo at only 3 with text	osed programe	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	108366	57710	50656
Other	Residential	29546	0	29546
Culture	Commercial	4473	0	4473
Retail	Retail	13137	0	13137
Residential	Restaurants	2990	2590	400
	Culture	1670	0	1670
	Traffic	45655	45655	0
	Other	10895	9465	1430
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	1191 No.of a floors	aboveground	23
	Requested parking	1388 Traffic	area per	38,33

		Organizei	anu manayer. The a	Lagred Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007804003	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for eliminatio	n: 7	round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
gevorgyan, arpine , Author, Armenia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pc	lf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - special note: spatial indicato useless) - probable cause is of - total above ground GBA estin - only one floor of undergroun - vehicle entrances from Vlask Derencinova and Subiceva). p - traffic not explained in textua	rs completely i limensions in n nated by TC ai d garage heav a street (can b private traffic th	nillimeters (as se t cca 33000m2 ily undercapacita be severed as en prough the block.	en on floor ates parking trances exis	plans) requirements
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	rs Un	der	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	232903		134	181469
Other	Residential	12830	()	12830
Restaurants	Commercial	47184	()	47184
	Retail	48236	()	48236
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	10221	()	10221
	Culture	42398	135	580	28818
	Traffic	41554	378	354	3700
	Other	30480	()	30480
	Residential units	16	No.of undergro floors		1
	Parking units	41550	No.of abovegro floors	und	8
	Requested parking units	4705	Traffic area per parking place	ſ	1,00

		Organizer	anu manayer. The z	Lagred Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803994 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for eliminatior	n: 7	round Bin	
Rajković, Ivana , Author, Montenegro	Uploaded materials				
Rajkovic, Nevenka , Coauthor, Montenegro	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pdf	:
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry hasn't fulfilled the te - floor plan for all characteristi - there are no underground flo	chnical require c floors are def	ined as progran	nme zones	rary Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	rs U	nder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	92088		9891	52197
Cuiture	Residential	15270		0	15270
Restaurants	Commercial	19000		0	19000
Retail — Residential	Retail	8043	1	340	6703
Commercial	Restaurants	6420	1	265	5155
	Culture	9618	3	3549	6069
	Traffic	33737	33	3737	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	100	No.of undergro floors		3
	11	1915 No.of abovegro		round 14	
	Parking units		floors		14

		Organiz	er and Manager: The 2	Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803872					
Authors Phillips, Brian , Author, United States	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for eliminati	on: 7	ROUND Bin	
Freese, Joshua , Coauthor, United States	Uploaded materials				
Johnston, John , Visualisation, Wall, Ryan Rebecca, Visualisation, Medow, Kara , Visualisation, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pd	lf	A3-TXT.pd	f
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - basic textual material, - underground floor plans are - no presentation of traffic and - the number of parking units i - the entry has fulfilled other te	road networl s 3 times sma	k or concept in 1: aller than necessa	1000 scale, ary for the pr	
Graphic tart Retail	Computational table	All flo	ors U	nder	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	8660	00 1	0100	76500
Traffic	Residential	2980	00	0	29800
Commercial	Commercial	242	50	0	24250
	Retail	1270	00 2	2400	10300
Residential	Restaurants	165	i0	0	1650
	Culture	1100	00	500	10500
	Traffic	720	0 7	/200	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	281	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	510	No.of abovegro floors	und	10
	Requested parking units	1661 Traffic area per parking place		r	14,12

Г

Entry code 0007803850				
Authors Bakalova, Christina , Coauthor, Russia	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Zusik, Stanislav, Coauthor, Russia	Uploaded materials			
Maltsev, Sergey, Coauthor, Russia Goryachev, Mikhail, Coauthor, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-txt.pd	f
Joudro, Elizabeth , Translation, Russia Zusik, Alexander , Team leader, Russia			·	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plan for all characteristic - roofed market square outside - under capacitated number of - the entry has fulfilled other te	e of competition site park units (almost 3x)		Jury Report
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	118045	39450	78595
Restaurants	Residential	20480	0	20480
Other	Commercial	21415	0	21415
Retail — Residential	Retail	19790	0	19790
Commercial	Restaurants	3360	0	3360
	Culture	9590	0	9590
	Traffic	43410	39450	3960
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	227 No.of underground floors		3
	Parking units	650 No. of ab	oveground	11
	Requested parking units	1772 Traffic a parking		66,78

		Organizer and ly	ialiayer. The Zayreb Sc	clety of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803772	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	3 Jury votes ROUN 3 for elimination: 7 Bin		D
Authors	Uploaded materials		-	
AGHA, SYED IMRAN, Author, India	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TX	T.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plans are defined as pri - underground floors show no - insufficient number of park u - site plan shows no dispositio	ogram zones traffic floor, just are ınits		
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	102294	42000	60294
Culture — Other	Residential	18804	0	18804
Restaurants	Commercial	18000	0	18000
Retail — Resident	Retail	11150	0	11150
Commercial	Restaurants	3900	0	3900
	Culture	4000	0	4000
	Traffic	42000	42000	0
	Other	4440	0	4440
	Residential units	floor		3
	Parking units	1200 No.c floor	of aboveground s	22
	Requested parking		fic area per ing place	35,00

		Organizer and Mar	lager. The Zagreb Soci	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803771 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Musta, Marsida , Author, Albania	Uploaded materials			
chiacchiarini, Chiara , Coauthor, Italy Ingegneri, Giuseppe , Coauthor, Italy	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - only 2 floor plans (ground an proposal - graphic material derived mos - unresolved underground park - low GBA Jury Report	tly from inconclusive	-	understand the
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	43848	17000	26848
Culture	Residential	15251	0	15251
Retail	Commercial	5775	0	5775
Commercial	Retail	1000	0	1000
Residential	Restaurants	600	0	600
	Culture	4222	0	4222
	Traffic	17000	17000	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	60 floors	underground	2
	Parking units	800 No.of a floors	aboveground	8
	Requested parking units		area per g place	21,25

		organizor and mar	agon the Eagles cool	
Entry code 0007803728	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Authors	Uploaded materials	-		
Boričević Severinac, Iva , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry panels are in lands - floor plans presented separa - most of the site is covered w - access to underground parki - parking units still grossly und - no photomontages or visualiz - UN commemorative days we	cape format rather tha tely out of context ith outdoor parking ing is non-existent (no lercapacitated, and irr rations of any kind, oth	ramp) ational her than volume pla	acement
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	32072	2946	29126
Commercial	Residential	11524	0	11524
	Commercial	12916	0	12916
	Retail	0	0	0
Residential	Restaurants	1373	0	1373
	Culture	1474	0	1474
	Traffic	2946	2946	0
	Other	1839	0	1839
	Residential units	73 floors	underground	1
	Parking units	139 No.of a floors	aboveground	12
	Requested parking units		area per g place	21,19

			ger. The Zugreb Ooole	
Entry code 0007803603				
Authors Ramírez Izquierdo, José María ,	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Author, Spain	Uploaded materials			
NAVARRO AMOR, CECILIA , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	odf
BLASCO GONGORA, MARIA DOLORES , Coauthor, Spain				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plan for above ground c - insufficient number of park u - flow and filling of different pa - no photomontages or visualiz	nits rking zones unclear (i.	e1 floor of hotel	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	103371	44955	58416
Other	Residential	13906	0	13906
Culture	Commercial	13258	0	13258
Restaurants	Retail	14907	2380	12527
Retail	Restaurants	3363	0	3363
	Culture	7572	0	7572
	Traffic	41992	41992	0
	Other	8373	583	7790
	Residential units	174 No.of u floors	nderground	3
	Parking units		boveground	18
		floors		10

Г

Entry code 0007803596 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 Bin)
Damit, zainal abidin Awang, Author,	Uploaded materials			
Malaysia Kwang, Dr Tan Jun , Consultant, Malaysia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT	.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - entry is out of scale on grap there is no legend or even nur - site plan is not explained as - the parking area is under ca - textual material insufficient (t - the last panel is empty Jury	hic material (inadeque nbering of floors well as the model sec pacitated for the pro wo sentences)	ctions	d illegible floor plans
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	90623	27309	63314
Retail	Residential	15100	0	15100
	Commercial	30276	0	30276
Reside	Retail	8141	1403	6738
Commercial	Restaurants	2482	0	2482
	Culture	3200	0	3200
	Traffic	27610	24211	3399
	Other	3814	1695	2119
	Residential units	124 No.o floors	f underground	3
	Parking units	1055 No.o floors	f aboveground	15
	Requested parking units		ic area per ng place	26,17

		org	unizer und munage		
Entry code 0007803585	Technical Committee votes for elimination:Jury votes for elimination:ROUND Bin		D		
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Hanekom, Leigh , Author, South Africa Zelaya, Lorena , Author of urban plan,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	B1	-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee rep -the entry doesn't give a p (which is otherwise well-el lined with car parking and in an access analysis -under-designed floor plar -perspective sections are -aerial views instead of red -two (exactly the same) B1 -the chosen graphic prese	arking solution aborated): Ma proposing veh is used instead c quested photor I-MDB boards	rticeva, Derenc nicular entrance of separated see montages uploaded (Nov	inova and S es ctions and fa ena?)	ubiceva Streets are
Graphic tart	Computational table		All floors	Under	Above
Restaurants	GBA in m ²		38615	0	38615
Retail	Residential		9898	0	9898
Cother	Commercial		12528	0	12528
Commercial	Retail		0	0	0
Presidentia	Restaurants		486	0	486
	Culture		15703	0	15703
	Traffic		0	0	0
	Other		0	0	0
	Residential units	105	No.of und floors	-	0
	Parking units	0	No.of abo floors	veground	7
	Requested parking units	704	Traffic are		0,00

	Organizer and Manager: The Zagreb Society of Architects (ZSA/DAZ				
Entry code 0007803577	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7	Round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				L
Gonçalves, Alexandre Luiz, Author, Brazil	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf		A3-TXT.p	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - in computation table wrong n underground parking 649 park - insufficient graphic material(Jury Report	umber of park. units (units)			entation of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors		nder	Above
Traffic Other	GBA in m ²	42035	1	3718	28317
	Residential	6640		0	6640
	Commercial	1013		0	1013
Culture	Retail	3531		0	3531
Restaurants — Commercial Retail	Restaurants	1534		0	1534
	Culture	6750		0	6750
	Traffic	13718	1	3718	0
	Other	8849		0	8849
	Residential units	16 No.of underground floors			3
	Parking units	5 No.of a floors	abovegro	bund	6
	Requested parking units		area pe g place	r	2743,60

		organizor	and Manager. The I		of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)
Entry code 0007803549	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination	n: 7	round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Shuvanov, Vadim , Author, Russia	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.pd	df
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -there is no executive summar -floor plans, displayed as hand presented sections are comple -incorrectness of spatial indica requested for the proposed pro-	y description, d-drawings, are etely programma ators: the parkir	atically undefine	ed	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floor	rs U	Inder	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	115200) 5	2500	62700
Traffic	Residential	22740		0	22740
	Commercial	10400		0	10400
Residential	Retail	6120		0	6120
Culture Restaurants Retail Commercial	Restaurants	900		0	900
	Culture	2550		0	2550
	Traffic	43850	4	1550	2300
	Other	28640	1	0950	17690
	Residential units	floors			3
	Parking units		No.of abovegro floors	ound	22
	Requested parking units		Traffic area per parking place	r	54,14

Entry code 0007803525 Authors Chirvasie, Cosmin , Author, Romania Sbirnac, Ioan Mitu, Coauthor, Multescu, Andrei , Coauthor, Romania Sandu, Alexandru , Consultant, Romania	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials B1-PAN.pdf	Jury votes for elimination: B1-MBD.jpg	7 ROUND Bin A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - floor plans are defined as pr - non rational plan (number of - the entry emphasizes on the neighborhood elementary sch - building the "jellyfish" structu - very low GBA Jury Report	ogram zones, not adec escalators, pedestrian western pedestrian ap ool, not a walk-through	cul de sacs etc) proach which doe)	sn't exist (lobby of
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Other	GBA in m ²	45672	18000	27672
	Residential	0	0	0
- Residentia	Commercial	5800	0	5800
Commercia	Retail	500	0	500
Retail Restaurant Culture	Restaurants	200	0	200
	Culture	4900	0	4900
	Traffic	18000	18000	0
	Other	16272	0	16272
	Residential units Parking units	0 floors 550 No.of a	inderground aboveground	2 8
	Requested parking units	TIOOLS	area per j place	32,73

		Organizer and Manage	er: The Zagreb Socie	ety of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803477				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
Boto, Hélio , Author, Portugal NASCIMENTO, RICARDO JORGE,	Uploaded materials			
Author, FRANCO, CARLOS VIEIRA, Author, Portugal	B1- Pan.pdf	B1- MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report -the entry presents diagrammat communication, exposure to na -the parking proposal is incorre	tural light/air etc.), the e	entry lacks eleva	tions
	indicators (7,08 sqm per parkin Report	ng place) accompanied v	with incorrect ve	hicle access Jury
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	25288	0	25288
Commercial — Traffic	Residential	11287	0	11287
Other	Commercial	4857	0	4857
	Retail	4308	0	4308
Residential	Restaurants	0	0	0
	Culture	2712	0	2712
	Traffic	2124	0	2124
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	100 No.of uno floors	-	0
	Parking units	300 No.of abo floors	oveground	12

		Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society	of Architects (ZSA/DAZ
Entry code 0007803459				
Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 Bin	
Jawed Shah, Nida Syed, Author of the architectural project, Pakistan	Uploaded materials			
Waseem, Madiha , Team leader, Pakistan	B1-PANpdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.pdf	:
Siddiqui, Umair , Project team, visualisations, Pakistan				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry lacks practically all t - the hand drawings do not clar - the entry is completely illegible - no spatial indicators - incoherent textual material, me	ify the proposal e	Jury Report	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	100	0	100
Other	Residential	0	0	0
- Residential - Commercial - Retail	Commercial	3	0	3
Restaurants Culture Traffic	Retail	3	0	3
	Restaurants	1	0	1
	Culture	2	0	2
	Traffic	1	0	1
	Other	90	0	90
	Residential units	0 No.of under floors	-	0
	Parking units	1 No.of above floors	ground	13
	Requested parking units	2 Traffic area parking place		1,00

			ager. The Zagreb Oocle	IN OF AICHILECIS (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007803411				
Authors Prati, Carlo , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
Anselmi, Cecilia , Author, Italy Carlo, Giacomo , Collaborator, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Di Felice, Emanuela, Associate author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.pdf	A3-TXT.p	odf
Italy Contì, Vincenzo , Collaborator - scale model, Italy				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - non existent plans for underg area of 20000m2 inadequate f - the entry has fulfilled all other	round floors, no indica or indicated 1500PM	-	ng solution, parking
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture	GBA in m ²	84960	20000	64960
Restaurants	Residential	15990	0	15990
Retail	Commercial	15190	0	15190
Residential	Retail	15140	0	15140
Commercial	Restaurants	3800	0	3800
	Culture	8990	0	8990
				1
	Traffic	20000	20000	0
	Traffic Other	20000 5850	20000 0	0 5850
		220 No.of u floors	0 nderground	
	Other	220 No.of u floors	0	5850

			nager. The Zagreb Ober	ety of Architects (ZSA/D/
Entry code 0007803374 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
lee, jinah , Author, United States	Uploaded materials			
Smith, Christopher Frederick, Coauthor, United States	B1-PAN.pdf	BI-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report A3 book without textual mate it lacks concept in 1:1000 no facades mistake in comp. table in nui no underground floors shown 	rial mber of park units	ary Jury Report	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Traffic	GBA in m ²	62865	24000	38865
Culture—Other	Residential	11765	0	11765
	Commercial	6600	0	6600
Resident		1000	0	1000
Restaurants Commer	Restaurants	1500	0	1500
	Culture	24000	9000	15000
	Traffic	15000	15000	0
	Other	3000	0	3000
	Residential units	floors	underground	3
	Parking units	20000 No.of floors	aboveground	14
	Requested parking units		e area per lg place	0,75

Г

Entry code 0007803357				
Authors	Technical Committeevotes for elimination:3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND	
Parroni, Filippo , Author, Italy	Uploaded materials			
Scrascia, Simona , Author, Italy Parroni, federica , Collaborator for drawing layout,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - underground floors are missi - the parking units are calcular cannot be solved in 23700m2 - the entry has fulfilled other te	ing in graphic material ted arbitrarily. no traffic of space.	c flow is shown. 19	900 parking places
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Culture — Restaurants —	GBA in m ²	93400	23700	69700
Other	Residential	20600	0	20600
Retai	Commercial	18600	0	18600
Residential	Retail	16200	0	16200
Commercial	Restaurants	2200	0	2200
	Culture	6100	0	6100
	Traffic	23700	23700	0
	Other	6000	0	6000
	Residential units	floors	inderground	3
	Parking units		boveground	49
		floors		

			- <u>-</u>	1
Entry code 0007803338	Technical Committee votes for elimination:Jury votes for elimination:ROUND Bin			
Authors	Uploaded materials			¥
Serrano Uria, Ainara , Author, Spain	B1-PAN.jpg	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.pc	lf
<image/>	Technical Committee report - the entry presents only two p - lacks most floor plans (presended) - no textual material (corrupted) - nice graphical presentation J	nts only ground and 3r pdf file) and no spatia	d floor), facades, si	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	101380	101380	0
	Residential	0	0	0
Traffic — Centrenercial - Residential - Restaurants - Culture - Cu	Commercial	0	0	0
LOther	Retail	0	0	0
	Restaurants	0	0	0
	Culture	0	0	0
	Traffic	101380	101380	0
	Other	0	0	0
	Residential units	floors	nderground	2
	Parking units	530 No. of a floors	boveground	12
	Requested parking units	198 Traffic parking	area per I place	191,28

	·	Organizer and Manage		
Entry code 0007803326	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7 ROUND 7 Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials			
yun, jihye , Author, United States		B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
<section-header></section-header>	Technical Committee report -the entry didn't met the technic the A3-TXT.pdf competition boo the design proposal in a single incorrect according to the com	oklet cannot be opened, panel that has been sub	there is not eno mitted, the spati	al indicators are
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
Commercial	GBA in m ²	89595	0	89595
	Residential	35595	0	35595
Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	Commercial	36000	0	36000
Restaurants Caluture Traffic Other		36000 18000	0	36000 18000
Residential	Commercial			
E Culture E Taffe Other	Commercial Retail	18000	0	18000
E Culture E Taffe Other	Commercial Retail Restaurants	18000 0	0	18000 0
E Culture E Taffe Other	Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture	18000 0 0	0 0 0 0	18000 0 0
E Culture E Taffe Other	Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	18000 0 0 0 0 40 No.of und floors	0 0 0 0 0 erground	18000 0 0 0
E Culture E Taffe Other	Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	18000 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 erground	18000 0 0 0 0
Cother	Commercial Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other Residential units	18000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 erground vveground ea per	18000 0 0 0 0 3

Entry code 0007803274 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3 Uploaded materials	Jury votes for elimina	tion: 7	round Bin	
Neira, Mario Pablo, Author, Chile	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jp	og	A3-TXT.pc	lf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the entry presents just the ba - it lacks park units for propose	sic zoning i	n the floor plans, (i Jury Report	not adequate	e for 1:500 scale)
Graphic tart	Computational table	All fi	oors U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	98		6138	62054
	Residential	47	22	0	4722
Residential	Commercial	14	18	0	1418
Traffic — Commercial Retail	Retail	53	13	0	5313
Restaurants	Restaurants	76	43	0	7643
	Culture	51	03	0	5103
	Traffic	314	430 3	0921	509
	Other	425	563 5	5217	37346
	Residential units	85	No.of undergro floors No.of abovegro		3
	Parking units	1116	floors	-	19
	Requested parking units	1592	Traffic area pe parking place	r	28,16

Г

Entry code 0007803218				
Authors Mazzoleni, Paolo , Author, Italy	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes 3 for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
D'Asaro, Alberto , Author of the architectural project,	Uploaded materials			
Romani, Emanuele , Author of the architectural project, Italy degli antoni, massimiliano , Author of the architectural project,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.p	odf
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee rep - no explanation whatsoeve than a fictional number of p - floorplans for upper floor - all other technical require	er (graphic or textual) for to parking units and traffic and shown in orthographic vie	ea in computation	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	86542	46137	40405
	Residential	17537	0	17537
Other				
	Commercial	10848	0	10848
Culture Restaurants Reside	Potoil	10848 5738	0	10848 5738
	Potoil			
Restaurants Reside	Retail	5738	0	5738
Restaurants Reside	Retail Restaurants	5738 2068	0	5738 2068
Restaurants Reside	Retail Restaurants Culture	5738 2068 4214	0 0 0	5738 2068 4214
Restaurants Reside	Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic	5738 2068 4214 46137 0	0 0 0 46137	5738 2068 4214 0
Restaurants Reside	Retail Restaurants Culture Traffic Other	5738 2068 4214 46137 0 143 No.of u floors	0 0 0 46137 0	5738 2068 4214 0 0

		Organizer and Ma	anager: The .	Zagreb Societ	y of Architects (ZSA/DAZ)
Entry code 0007802865	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	7	ROUND Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Miller, Romain Raymond, Project leader, Austria	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.jpg A3-TXT.pdf			df	
<image/>	Technical Committee report - floor plans and sections show - purposes more or less rando - access to underground parki - two schematic underground parki Jury Report	wn in very schematic mly distributed throu ng undefined	gh 3 build	•	ed parking units
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	U	nder	Above
Presauranis - Traffic	GBA in m ²	98050	- i	2992	65058
Reta	Residential	25880		0	25880
Other	Commercial	22183		0	22183
	Retail	18486	7	7992	10494
Commercial Residential	Restaurants	0		0	0
	Culture	6501		0	6501
	Traffic	25000	2	5000	0
	Other	0		0	0
	Residential units	400 No.ot floors	undergro	und	3
	Parking units		abovegro	ound	30
	Requested parking units		c area pe ng place	r	13,89

Entry code					
0007802806 Authors	Technical Committee votes for elimination:	Jury votes for elimination:	7	Round Bin	
Roupas, Panagiotis Nikolaos, Author,	Uploaded materials				
Greece Passia, Giota Dimitrios, Consultant, Greece	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg		A3-TXT.po	df
Entry thumb image	 Technical Committee report the entry notes underground parking floors. Thus the proverse other requirements are meters 	d parking in the te ision for parkings	extual material, cannot be esta	but no plan ablished in s	s at all of the spatial indicators
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	s U	Inder	Above
	GBA in m ²	122821	5	9731	63090
Other	Residential	20086		0	20086
Culture	Commercial	18821		0	18821
Restaurants	Retail	13039		0	13039
Retail Commen	Restaurants	2451		0	2451
	Culture	6625	1	1420	5205
	Traffic	57826	5	7134	692
	Other	3973	1	1177	2796
			le of undergrad		
	Residential units	155 N	lo.of undergro	und	3
	Residential units Parking units	fi 1836 N	loors loors loors loors		3 8

		Organizer and Man	ager: The Zagreb Socie	
Entry code 0007802598				
Authors	Technical Committee	lununatas		
Konstantinovski, Valentino , Author, Other	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3	Jury votes for elimination:	ROUND 7 Bin	
Koceva, Jordanka , Author, Macedonia Stojmanovska, Natasha , Author,	Uploaded materials			
Macedonia Serafimovska, Simona , Author,	B1-PAN.pdf	B1-MBD.jpg	A3-TXT.	pdf
Macedonia Simeonova, Jasmina , Author, Macedonia				
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - double number of park units - 8 panels - floor plans except distillery p - no underground floor plans,	for proposed program resented as program z	ones	
Graphic tart	Computational table	All floors	Under	Above
	GBA in m ²	86402	41120	45282
Other	Residential	13560	0	13560
	Commercial	14762	2100	12662
Culture Residential	Retail	4070	0	4070
Retail Commercial	Restaurants	1870	0	1870
	Culture	7320	0	7320
	Traffic	39020	39020	0
	Other	5800	0	5800
	Residential units	floors	Inderground	3
	Parking units	2000 No.of a floors	boveground	12
	Requested parking units	977 Traffic parking	area per g place	19,51

		Organiz	or and Manager. The I		of Architects (ZSA/DA
Entry code 0007800256	Technical Committee votes for elimination: 3			round Bin	
Authors	Uploaded materials				
Ivić, Tajana , Author, Croatia	B1-PAN.pdf B1-MBD.pdf A3-TXT.pdf			df	
Entry thumb image	Technical Committee report - the floor plans of the buildings on Derencinova street (hotel and residential) are not shown - undercapacitated parking Jury Report				ntial) are not
Graphic tart	Computational table	All flo	oors U	nder	Above
	GBA in m ²	480	00 1	2300	35700
Taffe	Residential	520	00	0	5200
	Commercial	320	00	0	3200
Residential	Retail	360	00 1	200	2400
Culture Commercial Restaurants Retail	Restaurants	230	00	100	2200
	Culture	460	00 1	1200	3400
	Traffic	121	00 9	9800	2300
	Other	170	00	0	17000
	Residential units	60	No.of undergro floors		3
	Parking units	420	No.of abovegro floors	und	7
	Requested parking units	798	Traffic area per parking place	r	28,81

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

APPENDIX 4. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE SEMINAR AND SITE VISIT/ FEBRUARY,2012:

Programme

Zagreb, Tuesday, 7 February, 2012 at 9:30hrs DAZ, Badel Site

09.30-10.00	Coffee and gathering of the participants (at DAZ premises)
	DAZ - Trg bana J. Jelacica 3/1 (main city square)
10.00-10.10	Opening and Welcome
	(President of DAZ - Organizer of the Competition)
10.10-10.40	Introduction about the Badel project, previous interventions (contests),
	programme and expectations of the results of the competitions
	(Representative of the City of Zagreb, Office for Planning and
	Strategic Development - Promoter of the Competition)
10.40-11.10	Industrial heritage conversions - General guidelines
	(presentation by Ms. Tamara Rogić, PhD)
11.10-11.40	Examples and Best Practices of the Industrial Heritage Revitalization
	(presentation by Mr. Goran Arčabić)
11.40-12.10	Overview and presentation of the Badel Block and Existing Buildings
	(presentation by Ms.Marina Bagarić, PhD)
12.10-12.40	Questions and Answers Session
12.40-13.10	Coffee break and Refreshments
13.40	Meeting of the participants at the Badel site
13.40-15.30	Guided tour of the site and presentation of the existing buildings

Note: During the guided tour it will not be possible to enter inside of the distilleryas it was initially planned due to security reasons.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

Summary of the presentations and CVs of the lecturers:

Irena Matković: BADEL BLOCK COMPETITION: PURPOSE, EXPECTATIONS, OUTCOMES

The presentation will give a brief overview of:

- Challenges and objectives in urban development of City of Zagreb
- Spatial/development resource on the edges of the historical nucleus: 3 locations of the abandoned old industry
- Zagreb Master plan guidelines: definition and objectives of city project
- Procedures required: what follows the competition?
- Position of Badel Block in the wider city area
- Latest developments in the immediate surroundings

Irena Matković – CV

Head of the Department for Strategic Planning at the Office for Strategic Planning and Development of the City of Zagreb. Graduated architecture in 1995 at the University of Zagreb, and earned her MSc degree in the field of urban planning in 2011, at the post graduate studies at the University of Zagreb - Faculty of Architecture. Professional experience covers the topics and tasks related to the regional and urban planning for City of Zagreb, including coordination of Development plans and Master plan design process. She has been the author of several articles, as well as a lecturer and a participant in various conferences and panel discussions. Recently she is coordinating the design process of Zagreb Plan (Development strategy for the City of Zagreb).

Tamara Rogić: Beautiful "Buildings Called Factories"

When OMA propose walls to be moved in order to retain visible the building structure of the protected Zollverrein Kohlenwasche (Germany), the walls are moved. OMA's points of negotiation with the conservation officers are a perfect example of the inability of the existing guidelines for reuse of industrial buildings to guide the conversion design. Alteration of the guidelines is particularly needed in the case when the existing industrial building and related site need to be converted into a use other than a museum of the industrial branch to which the building and the site originally belonged.

In general, architects do not like conservation guidelines. However, it is important to be familiar with them there where they cannot be avoided. Conversion of protected industrial buildings is such a case. The challenge will be how to reinterpreted and altered the conversion guidelines in order to be useful for architects. We all know how Hertzog&deMeuron achieved this in the Tate Modern. Would the other five offices participating to the Tate Modern competition manage the same? Let us find out!

Tamara Rogić – CV

Tamara Rogic, architect, graduated from Faculty of Architecture in Zagreb works as a free lance researcher and consultant on the matters of urban renewal since 2009. Tamara developed an interest for the management of the urban environment through her work in the Department for Protection of Cultural Heritage, Ministry of Culture of Croatia where she preformed research and managerial tasks for conducted conservation studies. These studies result in recommendations for protection of the given area and/or town and are used as strategies for possible future developments within the master plan of the area or town in question. Tamara deepens further her involvement with the management of the urban environment by specializing in the care for abandoned industrial sites in particular, focusing her interest on matters related to redevelopment and refurbishment of these properties. Tamara combines her research work with lecturing at universities across Europe.

Goran Arčabić: Industrial Heritage - Cultural Capital and Development Potential

Finding different uses for industrial buildings has become the backbone for the development of numerous cities and regions throughout the last three decades. The potential of abandoned factories and their associated infrastructure has been unlocked to provide a basis for urban, economic, demographic and environmental regeneration of once productive areas. In accordance with the needs of a post-industrial society, traditional areas of industrial production

Investor and Promoter:The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, ZagrebOrganizer and Manager:The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

have continued as a nexus for the development of the service sector or have been transformed into incubators of new technologies. It is precisely the functionality of these industrial facilities that allows them to be redeveloped.

This presentation shows three examples of the reuse of industrial complexes from across Europe. One was the comprehensive **IBA Emscher Park Project** which, at the beginning of the 21st Century, provided the preconditions for the sustainable development of a previously contaminated, economically exhausted and depopulated area of the German Ruhr Valley. Another project, **Elektropolis Berlin**, showed the possibilities of harmonizing public and private sector interests. This innovative approach to managing Berlin's architectural heritage sites, owned by the then Berliner Städtische Elektrizitätswerke Akt.-Ges. – BEWAG, provided 'reinvigoration' of these formerly technologically obsolete buildings, which in turn helped to promote a positive corporate image. The Finnish **City of Tampere** is the final example, which demonstrates the transformation of a Scandinavian industrial centre into a dynamic 'City of Museums' and new technologies.

The projects presented show the balance between the protection of monuments, public needs and the interests of investors. These applied models of industrial heritage management are typified by the sustainable, thoughtful 'reuse' of industrial buildings and landscapes, maintaining characteristics that will become synonymous with the local community. The choice of Essen as the 2010 European Capital of Culture shows that the revitalization of the Ruhr Valley surpassed the regional level, confirming the importance of industrial heritage in building a common European identity.

Goran Arčabić – CV

The Senior Curator of the Zagreb City Museum, Head of the 'Zagreb Industrial Heritage: History, State-of-Affairs, Outlook' Project. Since 2004, he has been working as a curator of the Zagreb City Museum and has been the Head of the 'Zagreb Industrial Heritage: History, State-of-Affairs, Outlook' Project since 2009. His professional interests include: the economic and social history of the 19th and 20th Centuries and the history of labour and industrial heritage. He is a member of the International Committee for the Conservation of Industrial Heritage (TICCIH) and the International Council of Museums (ICOM), and an author of several study exhibitions. His papers have been published in scientific and professional periodicals. He graduated in History from the University of Zagreb and is currently a postgraduate student.

Marina Bagarić: Badel Site Before Badel - Building History of an Industrial Complex (1915–1945)

Building of the factories at the edge of commercial and residential eastern part of Zagreb was officially allowed up to 1936. In reality, system of city blocks based on Lenuci's 1905 urban design for eastern part of the town strongly determined dimensions and the mode of development of the industrial complexes.

At the very beginning of the World War I, wine merchant and manufacturer Mijo Arko decided to move his facilities from the old town of Zagreb to the eastern part of the city. He bought an old house in Vlaška street and rebuilt it for his new office and family residence. In 1917 he built a small building for the cognac factory behind the house. At the same time Mijo's son Vladimir purchased adjacent parts of the site and, between 1918 and 1921, built there a new factory building for manufacturing "liqueur, cognac, brandy, spirits, champagne, yeast, soft drinks and barrels".

At the same time Vladimir Arko again rebuilt his father's house in Vlaška street. For both projects – plant and office/residential building, Arko engaged Ignjat Fischer - architect, famous for his architectural versatility and ability to adapt to the specific micro-location. In the factory building projects Fischer connected suspended reinforced concrete support structure to the facade with multitude of windows, typical for modern industrial architecture. He designed the decorative details of the facade in the spirit of Art Nouveau era. On the contrary, the family residence was redesigned as a sumptuous neo-historical palace. There was a romantic private park between the residence and Kvaternik square.

But Vladimir Arko's successful business and the continual introduction of new type of products during the 1920srequired the erection of newf actory facilities in the limited site. In the 1920s and early 1930s in the private park and around Fischer's factory buildings industrial complex grew chaotic: ether factory, steel barrels factory, aluminium barrels factory, laboratory, workers' residential buildings and warehouses were built mostly without any architectural quality. In 1938 Arko moved his production to the neighbouring village of Leskovac.After WW II Arko's factory was

confiscated and named after Marijan Badel, communist and war hero whose father was wine merchant and manufacturer as well. During the decades after the war now *Badel site* remained in almost unchanged condition.

Marina Bagarić – CV

Marina Bagarić is Curator and Head of the Architectural Department at the Museum of Arts and Crafts in Zagreb. Collaborates on research projects of the Institute of Art History Zagreb and of Croatian Academy of Science and Art. She is the author of numerous scientific papers and essays on architecture and ceramics art in journals and museum publications. Member of the editorial board and expert committee of the exhibitions Art Nouveau in Croatia (2003) and Art Déco and Art in Croatia between the two wars (2011). In 2011 she published book Architect Ignjat Fischer, awarded by Croatian Architects' Association. She completed studies of Art History and Russian language and literature at Faculty of Philosophy – Zagreb University. PhD on history of architecture in 2010.

APPENDIX 5. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. I am urban designer working Can I take part into with my team, included architects, engineer and landscape expert?

One of the members if the team should hold a master's degree in architecture, or have completed a five-year course of study and are graduate engineers in the field of architecture and urban planning.

2. I'd like to have clarification about this sentence " ...a possibility should be researched of this space accommodating a new building with gross area of 65000 sqm." because the amount of the surface seems to be considerable.

I would also like to know if it's possible to have the floor plans of the other buildings that are retained.

The competitors should research could the total above ground gross floor area achieve the proposed 65.000 sqm. The proposed GBA as well as the percentages per space purpose in the Competition Program Brief are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author.

- 3. Is there a maximum number of A3 page for the A3 Booklet? No, there is a maximum of 9000 characters, including spaces
- 4. What is "power attorney for the purpose of OIB takeover"? Is OIB like a National Identification Number? OIB is a National Identification Number. Winning non-Croatian based competitors are required by Croatian financial law to have an OIB issued in order for the payment to be fulfilled.
- 5. Could we send a photocopy of the university degree/master in architecture for "The certificate of professional capability" ?

NO. In this phase it's not necessary.

- Geodetic survey is scaled 2:1 I guess? Please correct the file. Units in 3d files are set to Feet, which is wrong I guess, should be meters? The geodetic survey has been scaled by mistake, and it is corrected. The units in 3d files should be in meters, not in feet.
- 7. I'm a 5th grade student, and will achieve my master degree in June. I wonder if I can participate. If I'll do this project in team with my teacher? The competition is open to all professionals, who hold a master's degree in architecture or have completed a five-year course of study and are graduate engineers in the field of architecture and urban planning. The collaborative participation is possible with the leading professional described above.
- 8. Would it be possible to provide the individual photos in the 08_Photographic_documentation.pdf in high quality? Yes. You can find the requested material now on web
- Can you provide a hi-res aerial of the site and surrounding context? i.e. a hi-res version of the photo used in the 03_Lower_Town.pdf? Yes. You can find the requested material now on web
- 10. is there any competition fee like other competitions do have? No, there is no competition fee.
- 11. I just want to clarify that there is no registration fee and that entrants will not receive a registration number? We can submit our documents directly to the website without any of that information? There is no registration number. Competitors should submit documents directly through the website forms.
- 12. Are there any restrictions for participants that are : authors of some of referential material or have been involved in work on the subject(urban planning documentation, studies, publishing projects) or have been commissioned by Strategic planning Department to give consultancy on the subject in the past.

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ) Organizer and Manager:

The authors of the referenced material or authors previously commissioned by Office for Strategic Planning and Development may submit their entries unless they have been involved in the organisation of this competition as members of the Competition jury, their deputies, members of the Technical committee, members of the Competition Secretariat, author(s) of the competition brief, their close relatives and collaborators. Employees of Office for Strategic Planning and development are not allowed to participate.

13. I made the mistake of sending the access project and ended up submitting the project without any attached document, and proceed to reverse the situation.

Your application has been reset.

14. FAQ - In point 3C-2 you said "A possibility should be researched of this space accommodating a new building with gross (above ground) area of 65,000 sgm;. The research result could help confirm or reject such an idea, by determining that carried out urban structure valorisation and conservational guidelines indicate that there is a possibility of development on a larger or smaller surface area."

Can you please clarify? Does this mean, you can build Skyscraper on this site? 65000 sqm. is a very big number.

There is no restriction concerning the height of the building. Zagreb Master plan allows the introduction of a highrise building on the site. The competitors should research could the total above ground gross floor area achieve the proposed 65.000 sqm. The proposed GBA as well as the percentages per space purpose in the Competition Program Brief are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author.

15. I would like to have your directions on the following information

1- Can you please provide me weather or climate condition of the site, how can I have these information

2- Can you please provide me all layout of existing building in boundary site, also the underground parking behind the square

3- About the interaction between site and market, square, can you please clarify if I can have some solution to connect and or touch into some place out of boundary, that is very important to see big picture rather than focusing on the site only

4- Can you please help to clarify the value of heritage building of site, I have read information in brief but not detail and general

5- Can you please provide information related to local activities surrounding, human behaviours are welcome

6- Any detail of land use, building coverage and limited height of the site

7- How to calculate parking demand when not sure about detail plan for each type of use

8- What type of investor that you are looking for this site, one or two or any directions for this

1. The climate conditions can be obtained on the official Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service site: http://meteo.hr/index en.php

Other relevant information is available in the brief of the competition;

2. No. It's not relevant for this competition; The available layouts of the protected buildings and parts of the buildings will be provided. The layout of underground parking garage beneath Kvaternikov trg cannot be provided.

3. Please see filmed presentations and materials of site visit and seminar are provided on web http://www.d-az.hr/en/competition/badel/video/

4. The information about buildings characterized as cultural assets is presented in the competition brief. Filmed presentations and materials of site visit & seminar (http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/video/) as well as the additional photographs are now available on web.

5. Kvaternik square surrounding area is mostly residential, with strong tradition of commercial activities. Zagreb is a continental city, with tradition and urban heritage belonging to Middle-European cultural circle. The social habits of citizens of Zagreb are largely influenced by the Mediterranean way of life, which is evident in way of using open public spaces.

6. Zagreb Master plan does not present any limitation of building height and building coverage for Badel Block site. They will be provided in detailed development plan that needs to be designed. The results of the competition will help City of Zagreb to conduct the public debate, to make the final decision of the site programme and capacity, and to design the detailed development plan.

7. The parking demand should be calculated according to the Competitors proposal in terms of GBA used for different purposes, according to the table on page 16 of the Competition Program Brief

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

8. At this moment, City of Zagreb is looking for one strategic partner. The competition entry can propose different ways of implementation.

16. We are a team of architects from India & Italy and we were trying to register for the Badel competition. But somehow there has been continuously a problem to complete the registration and we are not being able to do it, despite repeated at

Please check the competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/faq/

- 17. What is the scope of protection concerning the facades of old buildings? Are they completely protected (meant to literally remain the same) or just characteristic elements like general division, Gorica sign etc? Yes, they are protected and should be retained according to the original situation-Old buildings or their elements marked on the map on p.16/17 as blue should be retained as much as possible true to the original/present situation: this means that their original character of the facades should be readable in the new proposal. And with the original character it is meant general division of the facades but also the original decoration, that is, stylistic characteristics and its materialization. So interpretation of the old is welcome but the old character must remain dominant. Plus, the intervention to the elevation should always be regarded as a part of the overall idea of the spatial intervention to the old building rather than an independent intervention idea. To be consequent in the approach to the old in spatial and overall architectural terms is of the utmost importance when dealing with the old.
- 18. Can I have more detail of the big building at the centre of the zone(the one that is involved in a series of 2D documents)? Was it built in 1967? and what was it used for ? Who owned it/How many years was it in use for? Please see the Badel block site visit and seminar videos at: http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/video/
- Could we see floor plans, sections and elevations of this industrial monument? And what was the function of this building?
 The scanned documents in the folder 09_archive_documentation_tif refer to the protected building. Also please see the Badel block site visit and seminar videos at: http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/video/
- 20. Is it possible to get the map of competition area from the past, included demolished buildings? As it was before these modern buildings? Perhaps included some pictures? All existing info is already on web including Ms Bagaric's presentation
- 21. Would you be kind enough to translate the information given in Geodetic survey drawing? All the relevant information in the Geodetic survey is translated in English
- 22. is it possible to videotape the Badel Block visit and seminar to be held on Feb. 7th and upload it on the internet? It is available on web, see http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/video/
- 23. The size for the files doesn't seem very realistic for high-quality pdf's. Is it possible to send final works by wetransfer or similar sites? This was already done in other ideas competitions and it worked great. No, Competitors should adjust the quality of their material to the required file-size.
- 24. What is the level of underground water in this place? According to available data, groundwater has been registered at a maximum height 107 mASL and at least 106 mASL.
- 25. Could you please clarify what is meant with "purpose", "type of use", and "design of open surface areas", when referring to spatial indicators to be included in table? When we receive the table, will we be able to make queries if there is something we don't understand? Competitors should fill the table of GBA per floors and per basic type of use (Residential, Commercial, Retail Shopping, Restaurants & Coffee Shops, Culture & Leisure, Traffic & Parking, and Other).
- 26. what is IBAN?

IBAN is an International Bank Account Number. You can obtain it from your bank of choice.

- 27. 1.Какие основные технико-экономические показатели необходимо представить?
 - 2. Площадь земельного участка проектирования?
 - 3. Площадь застройки существующих жилых и общественных зданий?
 - 4. Снос существующих зданий определяет конкурсант?

Please look at the competition brief. <u>The official language of this competition is English</u> - queries in other languages will not be considered by the jury.

28. in paragraph 3c-1 you say "... this is a building with more than 9 floor above ground floor" How many floors can I do over 9 ?
There is no restriction considering the height of the building. As many as you think is appropriate.

There is no restriction considering the height of the building. As many as you think is appropriate.

- 29. As the requirement for "retail" is very high (25%),please tell us what qualifies as retail. Is it only shops, or does it also include restaurants, cinemas, market space etc. Retail includes restaurants, market spaces etc. Still, Restaurants and Coffee shops should be separated from Retail in the Table of Areas. Cinemas etc. are a part of Culture & Leisure.
- 30. Will more site photos be provided? In particular, we are interested in interior photos of the distillery building. Yes. You can find the requested material now on web
- 31. Does "25% of the above ground area" for retail imply 25% of the ground floor, 25% of commercial, or 25% of the total m2 above ground level (i.e. the same proportion as residential and commercial)? It implies 25% of total above ground levels GBA (approx. the same proportion as residential and commercial). The percentages per space purpose in the Competition Program Brief are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author.
- 32. Is it aloud to collaborate with and consult architectural offices and institutions that have conducted studies and projects listed under reference/sources (page 16/17) of Competition Brief? Are offices that conducted those studies allowed to participate in the competition? The authors of the referenced material or authors previously commissioned by the Office for Strategic Planning and Development may submit their entries unless they have been involved in the organisation of this competition as members of the Competition jury, their deputies, members of the Technical committee, members of the

Competition Secretariat, author(s) of the competition brief, their close relatives and collaborators. Employees of Office for Strategic Planning and development are not allowed to participate.

33. 1. Is it possible to make entrance to the main facade of Gorica Factory facing the Subiceva street?

2. What should we retain on the corner part of the facade of Gorica Factory on the cross of Marticeva and Subiceva streets, is it a historical facade?

3. How does the retained facade in the file 01_Competition_brief_Program_EN.pdf, Figure 09, Badel Block - Valuable Buildings №4 look like?

4. How many designed buildings should we work out in details: just one or all of them? Thank you.

1. YES. Depends on the Competitors proposal.

2. Only the facade of Gorica factory (building 3) aligned with Šubićeva street must be preserved. All the additions, including the lateral wall can be removed.

3. all disposable documents are on line

4. THERE IS NO NEED TO DESIGN BUILDINGS IN DETAIL. PLEASE LOOK GENERAL TERMS POINT 6.1. ALL BUILDINGS ARE TO BE PRESENTED IN 1:500 SCALE.

34. We are a team of architects from India & Italy and we were trying to register for the Badel competition. But somehow there has been continuously a problem to complete the registration and we are not being able to do it, despite repeated attempts. Every time we fill the data and click to save, it does not save and goes back to filling the form again. I wanted to ask you if there is any alternative way to register for the competition or may be if you can help in this regard.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

There is no alternative way to register. Please check the competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/faq/

35. I'm an architect participating the competition of Badel Block. I checked the scale of the file 'geodetic survey' however it seems wrong in scale. I check the distance of the heritage building's north wider facade in the middle of the block, and number 50 came out. I checked the unit of the file and it says 'mm'. That means in the file, the distance of the facade is 50mm. It totally does not make sense. It is crucial to know the dimension of the buildings in the site so can you let me know how to do for next.

The geodetic survey has been scaled by mistake, and it is corrected. The units in 3d files should be in meters, not in feet.

36. 1. The facade to be kept most nearest to Vlaska on the north part of the Badel Block: what does it look like? There is no photo of this elevation.

2. The facade of the Gorica factory is shown as needing to be preserved as it wraps around the corner, yet its facade ends before the corner. May we not consider the low wall of the parking?

1. all the available information and documents are on line

2. Only the facade of Gorica factory (building 3) aligned with Šubićeva street must be preserved. You do not have to consider the low wall.

- 37. I have scaled up the given drawings (.dwg and pdf's) however your measurements are misleading. You have said in the design brief that the total block surface areas is about 3 hectares with the competition area boundary encompassing about 2 hectares. From my measured drawings, I have the total block surfaces and the competition area boundary is approximately 11.8 hectares and 7.7 hectares respectively. Please clarify. The geodetic survey has been scaled by mistake, and it is corrected.
- 38. I found that there is the public school on the left of the site. Is it for whom (what age)? Is it an elementary school or a job training centre for adults? It is an elementary school
- 39. Is there any more detail on the retained existing buildings, particularly elevation information for the two retained facades (Gorica factory and the front of the process buildings). All the available documents are now on line
- 40. Is an English translation available for the Key/Legend on the geodetic survey? It is translated, and available on line.
- 41. Is the 65000sqm surface, that we are supposed to build within the site, splitable in different volumes or it must be conceived on a unique building? Both options are acceptable.
- 42. is it possible to consider the surface of the distillery building as part of the 10% of 65000 sqm (namely 6500 sqm) to be dedicated to cultural and leisure facilities? yes
- 43. is it possible to connect any structure to the facades of the buildings (distillery/Gorica) we are supposed to retain? YES
- 44. Why is it marked as industrial heritage the 1floor blank wall attached to GORICA factory and facing Marticeva street? Only the facade of Gorica factory (building 3) aligned with Šubićeva street must be preserved.
- 45. Is it possible to create a pedestrian public connection in between Vlaska street and the plot passing through the preserved factories complex gate?

Pedestrian connection with Vlaška street may be considered as the additional value.

46. We would like to know if there is any information (photos, drawings etc. of the preserved facade of the building N.4

All the available documents are now on line

47. We would like to know if we have to preserve the facade of the short part of the Gorica building and if so which is the height of those buildings. Only the facade of Gorica factory (building 3) aligned with Šubićeva street must be preserved.

48. Do you have Auto CAD drawings of existing listed industrial buildings, particularly elevations, possible plans and

- 48. Do you have Auto CAD drawings of existing listed industrial buildings, particularly elevations, possible plans and sections as well. It is very important to correctly present those buildings in the proposal. No.
- 49. Will dwgs of the existing buildings to be protected be given to competitors? No
- 50. 1-There is a building under construction that can be seen in aerial photography with name ZG0800646.jpg situated at Derencinova street. The question is: Are there windows in the building facing the side of intervention? Can we build our building attached to existing building?

2-what kind of detail is required at the architectural intervention drawings?

Is it only at the level of colour zoning (housing, hotel, offices, etc) or does it require additional detail of the interior of the building (like walls inside of the flats, staircases, etc)

3-In the Marticeva street there is a wall with graffiti that seems to have no interest, but is marked as heritage to preserve, isn't it a mistake in the PDF file? Can we remove this wall?

1. There are no windows. You can attach new buildings to it.

2. Detail according to General terms (scale 1:500).

Colour zoning with communications and basic division (flats, offices, shops)? Usual type of presentation in scale 1:500

3. YES, you can remove this wall.

- 51. There is a limit of members per team? NO
- 52. 1.only computer's Files should be sent(such as pdf,jpg ...) or one series of printed B1 size sheets must be sent too? (like some Arc competition)

2.I cant download the " Computation template (A3)", when you active its link?

3.in Zagreb Badel Site Competition brief I couldn't find how much percent of the competition area must be earmarked to building and how much to open area (occupation area)in accordance with Zagreb Master Plan?

4.finally, all documents how should be sent? (foreigner competitors can send files from internet, if yes, please name email add.)

1. and 4. Only digital (computer) files are to be sent through the upload form available on the Competition web site. No printed sheets need to be sent

2. computation template is already on line

3. Zagreb Master plan offers no parameters. The purpose of the competition is to find an optimal solution.

53. Once we have completed our proposed entry how do we send our submission? Do we have to email all the files to a specific email address, or are you going to create un upload link in this web site that will allow us to send the completed files?

Only digital (computer) files are to be sent through the upload form on the Competition web site. No printed sheets are to be sent

54. I am a landscape designer with a Bachelor degree in Architecture and a master degree in Landscape architecture in my home country (total 5 years of study). I am very keen on your city and your competition. Am I eligible to join your competition ?

One of the members if the team should hold a master's degree in architecture, or have completed a five-year course of study and are graduate engineers in the field of architecture and urban planning.

- 55. Is it able to participate a team of architects without licensed architect? One of the members if the team should hold a master's degree in architecture, or have completed a five-year course of study and are graduate engineers in the field of architecture and urban planning.
- 56. I have a question concerning the Badel block basic requirements;. It shows, among others, a blue line along the facade of the building of Gorica factory and continues along the facade of the attached building along Marticeva street. Does that mean that not only the facade of the factory has to be maintained, but also the facade of the other building along Marticeva street? Only the facade of Gorica factory has to be maintained
- 57. WHAT IS THE DISTANCE OF STATION AND BUS STAND? IS THERE AN AIRPORT? AT WHAT DISTANCE? WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF BEN JALACIC SQUARE? HOW WIDE ARE THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF STREETS? Tram stations are located on Kvaternik square and in Šubićeva street (between Badel block and green market). Bus stations are located one block to the east, in Heinzelova street. Zagreb airport is 17 km from city centre. Ban Jelačić square is city meeting point, the real heart of the city. Peripheral streets are visible in competition documentation.
- 58. WHAT SHOULD BE THE LEVEL OF DETAIL OF PLANS IN CASE RESIDENTIAL USE IS PROPOSED? As required in general terms
- 59. 1. There is a thin strip in south that has been marked as heritage protected...what is that area?
 - 2. Is the building named Gorica part of it?
 - 3. What's the use of this building?
 - 1. Wall in Martićeva street is not heritage protected
 - 2. Yes facade of Gorica factory has to be maintained.
 - 3. Building is temporarily used by tenants small businesses, associations.
- 60. 1. What is the building in the centre of site that has to be protected?
 - 2. What's its current use?
 - 3. Can we propose a new use?
 - 1. Former yeast factory.
 - 2. It is not used at the moment
 - 3. Yes.
- 61. Is there any information on historical development of site?? Video documentation from Site visit and seminar is available on web http://www.d-az.hr/en/competition/badel/video/
- 62. What is the ground coverage, far and other bye laws that may be needed? are there any setback norms from road edges and existing buildings? is there any height restriction or restriction on digging basements? The purpose of the competition is finding optimal solution, to be followed by detailed plan design process. Max 3 underground parking garage levels are recommended.
- 63. What is the cost of project? Depends on the results of the competition.
- 64. It would be possible to send us the file area (3D) format. IFC? Once the pattern is a more universal than the. Dwg. I, for example, by not working with AutoCAD, I could not open the 3D file sent. Tekla BIMsight can directly import DWG files without missing any information.

65. the portion of the facade of the Spirits refinery building along the Marticheva street that is protected industrial heritage seems to be represented as a simple wall. Can it be removed to the point where actual building facade along the Subiceva street starts?

YES, the wall can be removed to the part where actual building facade along the Šubićeva street starts.

- 66. 1. Could all parking spaces be placed below ground?
 2. What is the amount of the net site area that can be allocated to the high-rise configurations. What are the limits?
 1. It is recommended.
 2. The goal of the competition is finding an optimal solution.
- 67. It is possible to translate to English the Virtual guided tour through Badel block presentation? It is translated, and available on line.
- 68. Will be available the original drawings of façade of the Spirits refinery and distillery on corner of Martićeva and Šubićeva streets and the northern façade of the process buildings? Will there be the drawings of the Yeast production factory building in better quality? We can't read most of the dimensions. All the available documents are on line.
- 69. during the registration there were a series of problems, how can we know what has been received as far as names and data on our side? On Step 4 you can review all of the files and data you have submitted for the competition.

On Step 4 you can review all of the files and data you have submitted for the competition.

70. Question 1: Regarding what is described in point 6.1.1., we would like to be clarified on the concept of "surrounding area arrangements". Does it refers to designing public areas outside the site boundary? If that is the case, what is the correct limit to our intervention in terms of area and purpose?

Question 2: Regarding what is described in point 6.1.2., must we format all drawings to include all the surface within the area boundary? For instance, in a case of the proposal has twenty floors, must we send all plans in the same format of the geodetic survey? Given the limitation of 7 B1 sheets to include all graphic material, can we crop the plan layout of the upper floors to the specific areas?

Question 3: Regarding what is described in point 6.1.2., and keeping in mind that it is an ideas competition, should the plans contain only hatched gross areas differing in type of use? What we would like to be clarified is about the depth of the design layout information required. For instance, is it mandatory to include in the design all special partitions inherent to all the purposed functions?

Question 4: Regarding what is described in point 8.1.1., is there any specific order to place all graphic elements on the B1 sheets?

Question 5: Regarding what is described in point 8.1.1., is there any specific dimension relative to the photomontages placement on the B1 sheets?

Question 6: Regarding what is described in point 8.1.2. – "Key Sheet", given that the sheet is intended to be "representative", can the drawings be presented in smaller scale than referred in point 6.1?

Question 7: Given the high density of the provisional gross area, should outdoor publics (such as parks, or green áreas, or even pathways) be included on the overall area?

Question 8: Is it possible to consider opening a pathway between the existing buildings outside the area boundary?

1: The limit of intervention is Badel block competition area. The intervention has to correspond with surroundings.

2. Yes, you can crop the site layout to the actual floor size needed. The plans of the different floors should stay in 1:500. You can also show characteristic floors as only one drawing.

3: Hatched gross areas with communications and basic division visible

- 4: No.
- 5: No.
- 6: Yes

7: Reconsideration of the proposed density is one of the competition goals. The outdoor area is not included in the gba

8: YES. It is possible.

- 71. can you publish more pictures especially from de "backside". (from the "vlaska"street) All the available photos are now on line.
- 72. can you deliver a CAD-drawing in the size of the 1:2000 pdf-file (context is larger than the competition-border) You can find on line the cadastral map as well as orthophoto.
- 73. 1. Is the area of the retaining distillery included in the total gross area?

2. what is the function of the building at the adjacent market-place?

3. do we need a entry code to deliver the documents? must the documents, drawings, have a specific label name on it?

4. the competition border goes along a residential flat; does this mean that it is a blind wall (20 metres high)

5. can you deliver some, scanned drawings/pictures of the facades (2) that must be preserved.

1. Yes.

2. Indoor market (fish and meat), shops and offices.

- 3. NO
- 4. Yes. (Šubićeva, Derenčinova)

5. All the available documents are on line. Building number 2 is the yeast production factory building

- 74. At paragraph 3A 3 of the Competition Brief is stated : "... possibility of partial removal provided preservation and rehabilitation is done based on the original drawings ..." . How can we propose to keep the entire spirits refinery and distillery building since the original drawings have not been provided by the competition organizer? Only the drawings for the Yeast production factory building have been provided! all the available documents are on line.
- 75. Regarding the presence of the market on the site adjacent to Badel Block : is the presence of the market there tolerated, accepted, and desired? Are there any plans of moving it back to Kvaternikov Trg Square ?May we relate to it as to a permanent function that will remain there? Yes. There are no plans to move the market at the moment. You can relate to it as such. As it does not belong to the competition area, therefore we should not reflect on it in detail.
- 76. At paragraph 3A 1 of the Competition Brief is stated : "Today's administrative building , 116 Vlaska St. (Karl Grenier 1898, Ignjat Fischer 1915, 1918 and 1926), complete: ..." At the same time, on the adjacent photo the existing tower is also noted with the cipher 1 to which the text up above seem to refer. Please mention construction date of the tower, actual use and any other important facts about it.

Residential tower is from 70s, currently it hosts bank office on the ground level.

- 77. It looks like the part of the site which is subject of the competition isn't accessible neither by foot nor by car from the Vlaska street due to existing private properties along it. Please confirm! Confirmed.
- 78. Since the Gorica factory facade has to be maintained can you upload the digital drawings of the building? No. All the available documentation is now on line.
- 79. Could we make same changes in the plans of the Yeast production factory buildings? ves
- 80. There are not any drawings of buildings (Gorica Factory and process building), which are due to be partially demolished. How can we use facades of this buildings in our conception? All the available documents are on line.
- 81. Are we allowed to make reconstruction of the Yeast production factory building? ves

82. Is it allowed to assume pedestrian routes from Vlaska str. through lots on the north frontage of competition's scope (lots 6341/4, 6341/5) or is they supposed to be treated as completely separated from the competition area?

Pedestrian connection with Vlaška street might be an additional value. The buildings and plots are not owned by the City, so we cannot speculate on possibility of realisation at the moment.

- 83. On lot 6339 (N-W of competition scope area) there is a new residential building. It seems that the building is standing on the lot's border, thus it doesn't have any window in it's south wall. Can you confirm that? (that side of the building cannot be seen on any of provided photographs). Confirmed.
- 84. I am a 2nd year graduate student on architecture in Japan, am I eligible for this competition? looking forward for your answer. No
- 85. How many people or companies are taking part in the competition Currently there are approximately 1200 registered competitors.
- 86. 1. The provided archive documentation contains only the yeast production factory building's drawings (building 2). Is there any documentation regarding the other buildings that have to be kept: the spirits refinery (building 3) and the northern facade of the business and process section (building 4)? Could you provide DWG drawings of these buildings?

2. How can the "representative entrance" of building 4 (its northern façade) be valorised if it is located on the border line of the site and facing the Badel administrative building; which, in turn, is not part of the competition area boundary?

3. Why is the south-west corner of the building number 3 included in the protected industrial heritage (the part on Marticeva street)? It appears to be a simple one story storage?

4. Could you include in the geodetic survey file the buildings across the street from the Badel block? (like the INA building...)

5. Could you provide the 3d model in 3DS file format, please?

6. The program mentions a 65.000m2 building, could you provide more information on what sorts of functions it should include (office, administration, housing...)?

1. Unfortunately we have no dwg files. All the available documents are now on line.

2. we believe that through quality design proposals competitors will address this issue

3. South west corner of the building number 3 (Gorica factory) is not protected industrial heritage so it could be removed.

4. all the available documents are on line

5. NO

- 6. More information provided in previous questions. See the competition brief
- 87. What are the height of the existing two fronts that are protected, and the height of the building which is under the overall protection? Is it permissible construction of the underground garage on the plot? Construction of underground garage is inevitable.
- 88. Could please inform if the mentioned in the competition brief /3c-2 expected new construction purposes and capacities/:

"...new building with gross (above ground) area of 65,000 m2"

has direct relation with the next paragraph:

"A part of space within the competition area boundary (25 - 30 %) should be earmarked for residential use"? As the competition area is around 20 000 m2 is it supposed that residential may take between: 5-6 000 m2 area or the percentage is related to the 65 000 m2 i.e. – 16 000 – 19 500 m2?

It implies 25% of total above ground GBA (approx. the same proportion as residential and commercial). The percentages per space purpose in the Competition Program Brief are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

89. 1. We would appreciate some facts/data about the level of underground waters for this site

2. Is it possible to obtain layouts of the industrial complex as it was in 1900"s(1916-1940)

3. Are there still on the site(it's underground)various underground structures/void spaces-rooms, such as cellars(borsari system, etc), underground storage space, which are shown on the drawings from 1916 1. According to available data, groundwater has been registered at a maximum height 107 mASL and at least

1. According to available data, groundwater has been registered at a maximum height 107 mASL and at least 106 mASL.

- 2. all the available documents are on line
- 3. Underground structures are still on the site , but it is not obligatory to preserve them
- 90. Is it possible to get plans of levels and jpg pictures of the existing buildings ? all the available documents are on web
- 91. is a master degree certificate in architecture enough to enter the competition? in case of a team, do all members have to bear such degree? One of the members of the team should hold a master's degree in architecture, or have completed a five-year course of study and are graduate engineers in the field of architecture and urban planning.
- 92. Bilo bi poželjno da nam se dostave snimke postojećeg stanja zaštićenih objekata(Gorica, destilerija, sjeverni objekt).Tlocrti, presjeci... u mjerilu, radi kvalitetnije izrade projekta. Pozdrav The official language of the competition is English
- 93. 1. what is the total amount of panels, which we can submit: 8 including the key sheet, or 8 plus another one for the key sheet?

2. what does "the right to use the material submitted for the competition" mean? (9.5 of the General terms)

3. are the underground barrels built by Arko still present? is there any other relevant underground infrastructure? 4. is the demolition of the old industrial buildings within the block to be considered as compulsory (07-badel block.pdf, grey hatch)? are there more information regarding the structures and plans of those buildings?

5. is it possible to have more information about the typology of apartments and office spaces of the buildings that are now present in the block and should be retained?

1. Max 7 sheets. + 1 key sheet

2. A competition entrant is the author of the competition entry and has copyrights of the entry. The client will by awarding competition prizes acquire the right to use the material submitted for the competition for publishing (see the seminar lecture by Irena Matkovic).

- 3. Yes they are still present, but they do not have to be preserved
- 4. They can be removed, all documents are on line
- 5. Housing tower: flats 60-80 m2, other buildings: 70-110m2. It is not relevant for the competition.
- 94. I wonder if it's possible to clarify the functions and their area in m2, because I didn't understand this part of the general pdf:

"A possibility should be researched of this space accommodating a new building with gross (above ground) area of 65,000 m2. The research result could help confirm or reject such an idea, by determining that carried out urban structure valorisation and conservational guidelines indicate that there is a possibility of development on a larger or smaller surface area. A part of space within the competition area boundary (25 - 30 %) should be earmarked for residential use. The commercial premises (offices, banks, and other) could participate with the same percent. This site is also suitable for a good city hotel. Retail shops could occupy about 25 % of the above ground area. Cultural and leisure amenities could participate with about 10%, and they would be a real contribution compared to the present offer in the greater area.. The above percentages are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author. "What I've understood is:

Construction area: 65.000m2	> residen	tial ~19.500m2
commercial	~ 19.500m2	(offices, banks, hotel, etc.)
other commercial function ~	- 19.500m2	(retail shops, restaurants, bars, clubs, etc.)
other functions	~ 6.500m2 (cultu	ral and leisure amenities)

Investor and Promoter: The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ) Organizer and Manager:

Correct. The numbers are put in approximate purpose (provisional), they may vary depending on the final project submitted by competitors. See 3 c) - 2 Competition brief

95. could you tell us approximately how many offices already registered for the competition, before we invest our work.

At the moment there have been approximately 1200 registrations.

96. Recently I registered as a participant of the Badel Block competition, but I can't seem to sign in. Could there be a problem with my registration? I received the invitation for the seminar on January the 25th and around that time I was still able to log in.

Initial problems with registration have been resolved. For additional instructions please check competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/fag/

- 97. What high-rise restrictions in competitive quarter? There are no restrictions.
- 98. kakovv visina ograničenja naiuchastke koje dizajnom? There are no restrictions regarding the height.
- 99. Pokušao sam da napravim registraciju za konkurs za Blok Badel ali sam imao problema. Sistem mi je uvek govorio kako treba da popunim sva polja prilikom registracije iako sam ih redovno sva popunjavao. Jedino je polje za faks ostajalo prazno ili sa natspisom "no" obzirom da ga nemamo. Iskreno vas molimo za pomoć u ovom problemu jer nas konkurs jako zanima.

The official language of the competition is English. Initial problems with registering have been resolved. For additional instructions please check competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/faq/

100.Upon registration, I accidentally clicked "Submit Project" after the Applications projects ceased to function simultaneously and was assigned registration number (0007803237)! How, then, you can send a project and add the second author?

Your application has been reset.

101.Zanima me da li postoji mogućnost dobijanja bilo kakve tehničke dokumentacije vezane za objekte zaštićene kao kulturološko - industrijsko nasleđe? Bilo kakva dokumentacija bi bila od pomoći, bilo da su skenovi starih planova ili dwg fajlovi, odnosno bilo šta u razmeri na osnovu čega bi se postojeći objekti rekreirali u digitalnom formatu.

The official language of the competition is English. All available material is on line.

- 102. I'm an architect participating the competition of Badel Block. I checked the scale of the file 'geodetic survey' however it seems wrong in scale. I check the distance of the heritage building's north wider facade in the middle of the block, and number 50 came out. I checked the unit of the file and it says 'mm'. That means in the file, the distance of the facade is 50mm. It totally does not make sense. It is crucial to know the dimension of the buildings in the site so can you let me know how to do for next. See the new updated/ corrected file available on web
- 103.1. The facade to be kept most nearest to Vlaska on the north part of the Badel Block: what does it look like? There is no photo of this elevation.

2. The facade of the Gorica factory is shown as needing to be preserved as

it wraps around the corner, yet its facade ends before the corner. May we

not consider the low wall of the parking?

1. Only the facade of Gorica factory has to be maintained. All available material is on line.

2. You do not have to consider the low wall

104.I have scaled up the given drawings (.dwg and pdf's) however your measurements are misleading.

You have said in the design brief that the total block surface areas is about 3 hectares with the competition area boundary encompassing about 2 hectares. From my measured drawings, I have the total block surfaces and the competition area boundary is approximately 11.8 hectares and 7.7 hectares respectively.

See the new updated/ corrected file available on web

105.A) The brief suggests about 65.000 sqm. to be built plus approx. 28.000 sqm (depending on the uses) of parking areas. About this fact:

1) Do the suggested 65.000 sqm. include the area already built on Badel block?

2) According to the brief and the press related to the competition the

historic values of the site are intended to be respected and even enhanced.

Is this compatible to the area expected to be built?

3) Besides the parking, Might part of the new buildings be included underground?

B) Is there any schedule for the demolition of the warehouses on the centre of the block?

C) Should the existing trees on the block be kept?

D) Could you please provide the Building Code for the area (maximum

heights, setbacks, etc.)?

E) May this competition be understood as a Master Plan for Badel Block instead of an Ideas Competition?

F) In this type of competition, is it compatible to ask for a detailed

computational table of areas? If yes, Which stage of the development should be included? The last one?

1. yes, the area of existing historical buildings is included in the proposed 65.000 sqm 2. YES

3. A) Depends on the concept

B) NO

C Depends on the concept

D) There are no building codes. They will be elaborated upon the results of the ideas competition

E) No

F) The computational table shall be replaced by a web form to be filled with usable areas information. The areas will be differentiated by floors and usage. The form will be online as soon as possible.

106.1 have registered as a competitor and got an account !! then any time I am trying to sign in, it says, "User with this username is not registered" once I tried to exchange my password, but it didn't start working !! so please, if it is possible, could you tell me what to do with this problem

Initial problems with registration have been resolved. For additional instructions please check competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/faq/

107.1_According to the competition documents, the one story warehouse building annexed to the Spirits Refinery and Distillery Building on the S-W side of the block is considered to be an historical building. Is this correct, considering the warehouse looks like a later and minor extension of the Spirits Refinery and Distillery Building? Is it to be considered an important and to-be-conserved side of the main building?

2_Does the above mentioned warehouse has to be conserved in order to preserve and clear the block boundary and figure? Is the southern wall to be kept in order to well define the shape of the block? If so, is it potentially possible to remove the whole warehouse, together with the wall, and to keep the block limit by filling it with a volume to be proposed in the entry?

3_At which extent are the private courtyards of the housing buildings, the ones defining the shape of the block on the N-E side, to be considered in the proposal entries? Given that the extension of the public space of the streets into the courtyards of the building is largely diffused over the urban fabric of Zagreb Donji Grad, is it possible to include the courtyards' area into the competition entry? If it is possible, to which extent is it to be considered and designed?

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

4_What kind of facilities are missing in the Zagreb city centre? Beside the proposed amenities (cultural, lifelong education, youth centres, gathering areas, etc) which, as said in the competition brief, are missing in the area, is there any important public/collective facility missing? According

to the services and public/institutional equipment featuring the area (the hospital, the ministry of relationship with European union, etc) is there any public facility that is likely to be placed in the area?

5_According to the brief, the project proposed should be planned to be built in different development stages. What is considered to be a factor that could determinate whether the success or the fail of the project in its stages? Are the stages to be planned in order to accomplish particular

conditions (i.e. is the next stage to be eventually started as the previous stage can pay for its development) or are rather to be based on the economical capability of the developer?

6_Is there any proposed program for the quantity and extension of the functions indicated in the brief? As the program foresees about 25-30% of housing, 25-30% of offices and commercial premises, about 25% of retail and 10% of cultural and leisure areas, is there any dimensional fact advised for the above quantities, also according to the volumes and density allowed by the city building law?

7_What is the ideal proportion of profit private-developed areas and public investment area for the block, also considering the public outdoor surface as a loss area, in terms of profitability? Is there any proposed or advised administrative tool for the public areas to be paid back by the investments and left, as much as it is possible, as a free public area?

8_In what terms do designers have to consider the yeast production factory (distillery) as a building that need to be preserved and repaired? Is it required to have considered a prior analysis of the current state to be able to propose a new function and a new use?

9_With respect to the above mentioned building, is it allowed to tear down the addition on the east side? Is it necessary to maintain the shelter on the south side?

1. It does not have to be conserved

2. Wall does not have to be preserved. Only the façade has to be maintained.

3. the private courtyards are outside the competition area, and therefore can be additionally articulated

4. see the competition brief 3c-2

- 5. The articulation of project stages is not the dominant factor for the evaluation of the competition entry.
- 6. The percentages are indicative. The author is free to propose the best solution.

7. The proportion depends on the proposed solution.

8. the present distillery is to be preserved according to the guidelines given in the brief. It is possible to change its structure. New functions can be freely suggested by the authors.

9. the addition may be torn down. It is not necessary to maintain the shelter.

108.Question no.1. We have received the blueprints only for the Yeast production factory building (distillery). We would like to know what other buildings, if there are any, of the ones which are being removed , have a basement or a semi-basement. Are there any underground floors in the protected industrial heritage buildings , for example under the Spirits refinery and distillery construction?

Question no.2. Outside the Competition area boundary, inside Badel Block, can we make small changes regarding the texture of the pavement or other interventions that affect the quality of the public space and natural spaces?

1 there is one basement it is not a condition to preserve it

2.yes you can propose small changes.

- 109.can you send me the original drawings or photos of the Badel Block buildings? There are links where find this information? In the web only there are the original drawings of the factory building (distillery). all available material is on line
- 110. Could you define which parts of the entry submission are to be sent by post(for example the 7 B1 sheets printed out and sent by post and Cd`s) or will everything be sent by e-mail? How could this be anonym with the e-mail address?

Only digital (computer) files are to be sent through the upload form on the Competition web site. No printed sheets are to be sent

111.1'm interested in entering the architecture competition but I do not have any materials to work with. Can you send me some materials - photos of the facade of the buildings, or whatever else that is available. Or, if there is a link that would connect me to where I can download material with which I can work. I have plenty of photos and drawings for the distillery but nothing for any of the other buildings surrounding it. I would greatly appreciate any help you can give.

All the available documents are on line. YOU CAN ACCESS IT BY REGISTERING.

112.Molio bih Vas bilo koji oblik snimka (nacrti sa dimenzijama) postojećeg stanja građevina (ili dijela građevina) u užem području zahvata koje je potrebno sačuvati (u materijalu za natječaj se nalaze samo nacrti proizvodne zgrade tvornice pjenice - destilerija).

The official language of the competition is English

113.Is it possible to provide some historical maps and/ or the powerpoint- file used in the presentation of Marina Bagaric?

- Map 7 shows the requirements for the different buildings in the block.

Concerning the Refinery and Distillery; is it required to preserve the complete façade including the lower construction on the corner of Subiceva and Mariceva? (see attached file, marked as nr. 1)

- The building on the corner of Vlaska and Derencinova (see attached file, marked as nr.2) is in the presentation of Marina Bagaric mentioned as a residential building. Map 6 defines the current uses of the buildings. Here it is shown that the building mentioned before is strictly commercial. Could you clarify the actual use of this building?

- The same question concerns the administrative building on Vlaska (marked as nr.3 in attached file). In the competition brief this building is mentioned as an administrative building. Map 6 shows a residential use on the higher floors. Could you clarify the actual use of this building as well?

Yes it is required to preserve the façade.

The use of the very corner building on Vlaska/Derencinova street is mainly residential; 125/3 it is only administrative.

114.1 have registered as a competitor and got an account !! then any time I am trying to sign in, it says, "User with this username is not registered" once I tried to exchange my password, but it didn't start working !! so please, if it is possible, could you tell me what to do with this problem ?? and some questions about competition.

1. where can I get some facade pictures of the hole Badel block ??

2. if it is possible, could you send me scan version of the Gorica factory

archive, because it is too difficult to understand the proportions from

the photo information

3. and please send some information (photo, or some sketch) about the

oldest wall of factory, as I can find nothing in competition info

Please follow the link below and type your e-mail in the field. Afterwards you will receive an e-mail with instructions for changing password. http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/user/request-password-reset/

- 1. Competition documents contain photo material
- 2. All the available material is now on line
- 3. All information that we have is on web
- 115.Should the key sheet mentioned in 8.1.2. contain original, exclusive materials or should it be composed of materials presented on the other 7 boards?

The key sheet is intended as material that will be used in later presentations of the project; the author should place there key features of project proposal.

116.1 Is it possible to obtain some kind of plan of *underground structures* for the block?

2 "10_geodetic_survey_corrected.dwg" is the only 2D CAD competition document. Is it possible to obtain vector drawings *(DWG) of Master plan* for the wider location, at least the underlay used for "06_Existing_building.pdf"?

3 Is it possible to obtain quality*Orthophoto* of the area?

4 About Yeast production factory building (distillery) and its *conservation rules*. Does the "Reconstruction within the existing overall dimensions in order to tailor the space to the new use..." means the possibility to change walls and floors inside the building?

1. Not at the moment

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

2. It is now on web

3. yes it is now part of the documentation

- 4. YES
- 117.ACCORDING TO THE TERMS & CONDITION WE SHOULD PROVIDE AN A3 BOOKLET MAX 9000 CHARACTERS, WOULD YOU BE SO KIND TO LET US KNOW HOW MANY A3 PAGES IS IT? BECAUSE 9000 CHA. SEEMS TO BE ONLY ONE PAGE.

The number of pages is upon the Competitors. The total number of characters including spaces should not exceed 9000.

118.I'm trying to register to the competition for the Badel Site in Zagreb but somehow I can't register. I fill in all the fields and there always appears a message that asks me to fill all the required fields. I have tried registering on different times of the day and on different days but the message keeps appearing and I cannot register.

I would appreciate if could please let me know if there is another way of registering, maybe send the information by email or post?

Initial problems with registration have been resolved. For additional instructions please check competition FAQ at http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/faq/

119.In the 8.6 b), What is the meaning of OIB. - from Brazil and this document is not known here or may have another name

OIB is a National Identification Number. Winning non-Croatian based competitors are required to have an OIB issued in order for the payment to be fulfilled

- 120. The geodetic survey indicates that the competition site is divided into several lots. Is the proposed scheme supposed to respect present division or can it assume merging and re-parcelling of the site according to the new layout? And if so, which lots are excluded from that operation (existing residential buildings lots?) Merging and re-parcelling is required for the Competition area. Lots outside of the area but in the scope of Competition are not to be re-parcelled.
- 121.Is the scale of drawing in the "geodetic survey" .dwg file correct? When measured in model space of CAD program, it seems to be about twice bigger than it's supposed to be, judging from the existing elements/buildings dimensions. Also measurement in Google earth seem to confirm that. Is it drawn in 1:500 scale directly in model space?

The geodetic survey has been scaled by mistake, and it is corrected.

- 122. The competition materials indicate, that, according to conservational regulations, one of protected elements of the industrial past is the Facade of the Gorica building, located in SE frontage of the site. On the drawing on page 16/17 of the competition brief the part marked as "protected" seem to concern not only the main Gorica building but also a one-storey part on the very corner of Subiceva str. and Marticeva str. which doesn't seem to have any significant value. Is that part also protected or can it be removed? That part of the building is not protected as heritage, so it could be removed.
- 123.Is it possible to leave the protected facades as free standing "monuments"? Is it possible to leave the facades marked as protected while replacing the rest of the buildings which they were part of with new ones? Can the facades be also removed and then restored? Depends on the Competitor's proposal. The facades are protected and should be retained according to the original situation. For more information please see the Badel block site visit and seminar videos at: http://www.d-a-z.hr/en/competition/badel/video/
- 124.1. According to the competition documentation regarding conservation and protection, it turns out that besides the Gorica Factory facade on the Subiceva street, fence brick wall next to it is protected as well (corner of Marticeva and Subiceva). That doesn't make any sense. Could you please clarify what are the exact conservation guidelines.

2. Since there are two - obviously extremely valuable - protected facades, it would make sense that the competitors are given the facade blueprints. Right now every competitor will have to reconstruct them from photos - and in that process it's very easy to make mistakes, and not get consistent heights, openings, etc... Could you provide blueprints from the existing conservation study or some other source?

3. Is it possible to provide data regarding the underground water levels at the site? If not that, can we get the data about the underground water levels on the Kvaternikov square? Since an underground garage was recently built there, that data must exist.

4. We understand that this is a concept/idea type competition and each competitor is allowed to determine usage as he sees fit, but we would just like to confirm that we understood your competition guidelines regarding approximate usage percentages. 25-30& residential, 25-30% commercial, 25% retail, 10% culture. Is that right? 1.Only the facade of Gorica factory has to be maintained

Wall in Martićeva street is not heritage protected, so it can be removed.

2. For now we only have at disposal the material that has been scanned and made available on-line.

3.According to available data, groundwater have been registered at a maximum height 107 mASL and at least 106 mASL.

- 4. Yes
- 125.1. Regarding the spirits refinery and distillery building, the adjacent storehouse, and the process building: what does the partial removal of those buildings mean? Are the marked facades the only elements needed to be preserved and to what extent? Do the existing load-bearing structures and/or the existing footprints of those buildings also need to be retained?

2. In the description of Gorica Factory (corner of Martićeva and Šubićeva) mentioned is the "classicist design of a part of the northern façade of the storehouse", which needs to be preserved along with the SE façade of the Factory. The northern façade of the storehouse has not been shown on the plans, could you mark its exact location? Is that actually the southern façade of the one-story high storehouse adjacent to the Factory, marked on the plan named "Badel Block"?*

- 3. Does the one-story high façade on Martićeva street need to be fully kept, or just its contour?
- 1. Yes, they are protected and should be retained according to the original situation.
- 2. No. All available material and information is on line.
- 3. It does not have to be preserved

126.1. Can we consider the existing access from Vlaska str. Marked "private" on the provided documentation as a pedestrian access to the inside block area, or should we interact only at the competition area?

- 2. Is there any non-material cultural heritage at the site and nearby?
- 3. Are there any past socio-historical structures at the area that are not present now?

4. Should we consider dividing the area to different plots according to the function and ability to be built separately?

5. Are there any legal requirements for distance between buildings across the street, especially for residential use (yearly sun and shadow zone) that we should consider?

6. Can you provide some additional information – pictures and drawings for the other two buildings that are to be preserved (especially facades) – Gorica factory and the business and process section (portico on the northern facade)?

1. The competitors are invited to focus on the competition area

- 2. no
- 3. no
- 4. no

5. there are no such legal requirements applicable

6. all the documents that we have are now on web

127.1. In the competition brief, figure 12 shows elements of protected industrial heritage to be preserved by design proposal. Gorica factory façade is marked as going all the way around the Šubićeva-Martićeva corner and into Martićeva street for some 25 meters. Last 7 meters of Šubićeva street façade and the entire Martićeva façade is in fact a 3-meter high wall going along the edge of the factory courtyard. Is it possible to ignore this wall and consider it's demolition?

Is it allowed for a designer to demolish a part of yeast factory's internal structure (floor slabs, parts of partition walls) and create new openings in external walls in order to accommodate new programme?
 The wall can be removed to the part where actual building facade along the Šubićeva street starts.

2. Interventions are allowed in service of new content, but without removing inner parts.

- 128.Is the Badel Administrative Building to be considered as include in the competition area? Is it supposed to be modified and designed and consequently filled with proposed program? The Badel Administrative Building is not the object of present competition
- 129.1 just want to know from the jury that: Of course the scope of the competition is very well defined in terms of requirements and site boundary, but can we (just as an idea), also propose some sort of connections to the surrounding blocks (both visual & physical) with the Badel block? We will be working mainly within the competition boundary, but we wanted to know if we can suggest solutions that look at the connection of Badel block with the surrounding blocks.

The competitors are free to suggest solutions connecting the site, but those will be considered as an additional information.

- 130.when you mention the Plan Layout of all floors, does it include every level of the project (underground included)? YES. Keep in mind that typical floors must be adequately presented.
- 131.Is it possible to re-structure the interior of the yeast production factory building (number 2) ? YES
- 132.Is it possible to alter the Spirits refinery building, keeping its façade? YES
- 133.How can we know our registry number? It is not relevant.
- 134.Is it possible to alter any façade so it becomes according to our morphology? NO
- 135. When is the submission date? Look at the GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS of the competition
- 136. What is not to be included in the 7 sheets referred in 8.1.1? Look at the GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS of the competition
- 137.What's the difference between the Key sheet referred in 8.1.2 and the booklet referred in 8.2.1in terms of content? Look at the GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS of the competition
- 138.Is there any submission fee? NO
- 139. What does "PLGS" (in page 10 of the competition briefing), and does GBA mean "Gross Building Area"? Read page 10 of the competition brief
- 140.Is it possible in any case to go beyond the competition area boundary? For example, to create accesses to the VLASKA street. Yes but the task is to provide solutions for the assigned competition area
- 141.Is it possible to build underground? If so, how deep? Yes, up to 3 storeys

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

142.Is it mandatory to include two rooms in hotels and pensions, theatre, etc mentioned in page 10 of the competition briefing?

YES

143.Is there any condition referring to the graphic layouts?

Only the size of the overall document and landscape orientation. Look at the GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS of the competition In the file 06_existing buildings, does GF+1 stand for Ground Floor +1? YES

144.1_According to the competition documents, the one story warehouse building annexed to the Spirits Refinery and Distillery Building on the S-W side of the block is considered to be an historical building. Is this correct, considering the warehouse looks like a later and minor extension of the Spirits Refinery and Distillery Building? Is it to be considered an important and to-be-conserved side of the main building?;

2_Does the above mentioned warehouse have to be conserved in order to preserve and clear the block boundary and figure? Is the southern wall to be kept in order to well define the shape of the block? If so, is it potentially possible to remove the whole warehouse, together with the wall, and to keep the block limit by filling it with a volume to be proposed in the entry?

3_At which extent are the private courtyards of the housing buildings, the ones defining the shape of the block on the N-E side, to be considered in the proposal entries? Given that the extension of the public space of the streets into the courtyards of the building is largely diffused over the urban fabric of Zagreb Donji Grad, is it possible to include the courtyards" area into the competition entry? If it is possible, to which extent is it to be considered and designed?

4_What kind of facilities are missing in the Zagreb city centre? Beside the proposed amenities (cultural, lifelong education, youth centres, gathering areas, etc) which, as said in the competition brief, are missing in the area, is there any important public/collective facility missing? According to the services and public/institutional equipment featuring the area (the hospital, the ministry of relationship with European union, etc) is there any public facility that is likely to be placed in the area?

5_According to the brief, the project proposed should be planned to be built in different development stages. What is considered to be a factor that could determinate whether the success or the fail of the project in its stages? Are the stages to be planned in order to accomplish particular conditions (i.e. is the next stage to be eventually started as the previous stage can pay for its development) or are rather to be based on the economical capability of the developer?

6_Is there any proposed program for the quantity and extension of the functions indicated in the brief? As the program foresees about 25-30% of housing, 25-30% of offices and commercial premises, about 25% of retail and 10% of cultural and leisure areas, is there any dimensional fact advised for the above quantities, also according to the volumes and density allowed by the city building law?

7_What is the ideal proportion of profit private-developed areas and public investment area for the block, also considering the public outdoor surface as a loss area, in terms of profitability? Is there any proposed or advised administrative tool for the public areas to be paid back by the investments and left, as much as it is possible, as a free public area?

8_In what terms do designers have to consider the yeast production factory (distillery) as a building that need to be preserved and repaired? Is it required to have considered a prior analysis of the current state to be able to propose a new function and a new use?

9_With respect to the above mentioned building, is it allowed to tear down the addition on the east side? Is it necessary to maintain the shelter on the south side?

1. If the question refers to the SE extension of "Gorica" building, the answer is: That part of the building is not protected as heritage, so it could be removed.

2. There is no need to keep the southern wall.

3. It is possible to include courtyards in the project.

4. Location of hospital/ministry is not realistic/recommended. There is an existing infirmary for this part of town 50 m to the west in Marticeva street. There is a strong tendency to move existing ministries from centre of town and to group them on the northern bank of Sava river.

5. The articulation of project stages is not the dominant factor for the evaluation of the competition entry.

6. The percentages are indicative. The author is free to propose the best solution.

The Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design COMPETITION

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

- 7. The proportion depends on the proposed solution.
- 8. The present distillery is to be preserved according to the guidelines given in the brief. It is possible to change its structure. New functions can be freely suggested by the authors.
- 9. The addition may be torn down. It is not necessary to maintain the shelter.
- 145. Could you explain the actual uses of two buildings:

A- In the graphic documentation, "plane 06 Existing building stock: use of floor heights", we can see that the central building GF+12have housing use. At the same time in the "Dossier 01_Competition_brief_Program_EN" in the point 3a in the photo we can see that the same building have administration use. Which is the correct use of that building?

B- The building in the corner of Vlaska Street and Derencinova Street, in the "plane 06" we can see "use of floor heights commercial", however in the "Dossier 01" the building with the number 5 is described like a housing building for renting. Which is the correct use of that building?

A - housing with the bank office in the ground floor, B - housing

146.In reference of Zagreb Master Plan and what we have saw in the Irena Matkovic video conference, must we have specific determination for the design in Badel Block, or just that the new buildings have maximum high GF+9?

Zagreb Master plan does not present any limitation of building height and building coverage for Badel Block site. They will be provided in detailed development plan that needs to be designed. The results of the competition will help City of Zagreb to conduct the public debate, to make the final decision of the site programme and capacity, and to design the detailed development plan.

147. What would be the exact meaning and then, the difference between commercial local use and retail commercial use?

Retail includes restaurants, market spaces etc. Still, Restaurants and Coffee shops should be separated from Retail in the Table of Areas.

- 148.Could we know which buildings have bought the city of Zagreb? The southern part of the block with industrial buildings that should be kept or removed, according to the Brief.
- 149.Could we have a .dwg (AutoCAD) folder of the Lower Town zone, which is remarked in the plane 03? all available material is on line
- 150.I'd like to know what's the " power of attorney for the purpose of OIB takeover". What is this document? And what is it's purpose? OIB is a National Identification Number. Winning non-Croatian based competitors are required by Croatian

151.1. What are the materials commonly used in Croatia for construction?

financial law to have an OIB issued in order for the payment to be fulfilled.

2. What is meant by commercial areas? Are the services are included? A city hotel should be included in this description?

3. We can provide more specific demographics of the area in question, such as age group, gender, level of acquisition or social classes.

4. Have some burning zoning is the area that we can provide.

5. The data you provide us in the area show 3 buildings or portions thereof to be retained, the distillery, the facade Gorica and the other is north of the area did not give accurate pictures of the latter. Could you give us more details of this building?

6. Data can give us the rules that apply to Croatia in a matter of architectural design in public buildings, residential and commercial.

7. What is the maximum and minimum height recommended?

8. Does the proposal may include a learning centre and medical services (medical emergencies) (primary, secondary, kindergarten)?

1 - Concrete construction is typical.

2- The city hotel, office spaces etc.

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

- 3 Middle class with highest percentage of highly educated population in the City. Aging of population.
- 4 The question is unclear
- 5 All available material is on line.
- 6 Current Croatian legislation and practice is following EU standards.

7 - Zagreb Master plan does not present any limitation of building height and building coverage for Badel Block site. They will be provided in detailed development plan that needs to be designed. The results of the competition will help City of Zagreb to conduct the public debate, to make the final decision of the site programme and capacity, and to design the detailed development plan.

8- Learning (informal) - yes. Public medical services - no (there is an infirmary close by).

152.1. can you deliver more pictures from the area; especially the back-sides around the flat/high-rise and the neighbouring (conserved)wall.(protected industrial heritage)

2. can you deliver scanned drawing of the walls/buildings that have to be conserved. (protected industrial heritage)

3. at what height starts the residential apartments in the residential block (21 metres height)

4. at what height starts the residential apartments in the high-rise building? those the commercial functions in the high-rise go to the same height as the adjacent commercial block.

5. is the demanded gross area included the distillery?

6. do I understand it correctly that I don't have to give to the project and put this name on all drawings I send in? 1&2 - All available material is on line.

3&4 - Existing buildings in the block? Residential apartments are located above ground floor level.

5 - Yes.

6 - No personal information is to be put in the files, either in content or in naming of the files. The files should be named according to the General Terms chapter 8.

153.Regarding the registration of author and other participants: is it possible to participate as an individual and not part of an office, especially if the members are working in different offices? Yes.

154.Buildings that are to remain: Is it possible to make changes to elevations? for example - change colour, change windows...etc? The colour will be determined in the later stages of the design process (after conservation research). It is recommended to give an architectural interpretation of the building protection requests.

- 155. The building number 2 for rehabilitation, is necessary to draw the interior layout floors? Basic division of space corresponding with the proposed use.
- 156.On the building number 3, the facade of the Gorica Factory, it is poss.íble to break and open the spans, but preserving the facade? Yes.
- 157. Surrounding Roads:

Is it possible to create new/different pedestrian crossings/links which would assist proposed solutions for Badel? New pedestrian links may be proposed.

158. Yeast Production Factory Building:

What is the condition and content of this building? You require that the elevation is to be retained - can the existing building be re-used without demolition? The building is in poor condition but the stability of the structure is assumed. Currently without use.

159.we don't have any pictures of the item 4: the stylized portico on the facade of the northern storehouse. Also, I would like to know if the open car park between the marticeva and derencinova be found in the lot. 1 - all the available material is on line

2 - Open car parking is part of the competition area.

160. Entry requirements:

Plans of all levels - what is the degree of detail expected? for example is it sufficient to show brutto and netto arrangements Facades - do you expect all that is external [of the blok] or all internal? Detail according to General terms (scale 1:500) - colour zoning with communications and basic division (flats, offices, shops). Facades necessary for understanding of the project.

161.1. Does the total above ground area of 65000m2 include floor areas of protected buildings on the site?

2. Could you provide the drawings of two retaining walls on site (business and process section in front of the process building & amp; spirits refinery and distillery building)?

3. Is it possible to retain only the shell of the distillery building and remove everything except the construction elements from the interior?

4. What are the preservation policies on the front and side canopy of the distillery building?

5. In your drawings its indicated that the lower graffiti wall next to the front facade of Gorica has to be preserved (positioned left of the intersection of Subiceva and Marticeva streets). This wall seams not to be a part of the original building. Is it possible to remove it?

1 Yes. 2 - All available material is on line. 3 - Yes. 4 - It is not necessary to maintain the canopies. 5 - Yes.

162.DWG Download:

We are experiencing VERY big problems with the download material; The geodetic plan is ok - just! The 3D model both terrain and building is not working - can you assist with this? Can you check the scale of the issued dwg drawings? The geodetic survey has been scaled by mistake, and it is corrected.

- 163. Where could we get information in English about regulations for buildings in Zagreb? For ex. minimum distance between buildings, minimum standards for houses (room surfaces and heights) etc. Croatian legislation and practice is following EU standards.
- 164.Is it possible to have floor plans and sections of the Gorica building? All the available material is on line.
- 165.1.About building to conservation of existing buildings, only a piece Is it the entire buildings or just facade of Gorica industry on Šubićeva we have to conserve.

2.About expectation and capacitates of new construction you said 20- 30% housing but you considered the scope of competition or competition area to calculate? 30% of housing 30% of commercial spaces 10% for the rest does not mean 100%. Why ?

3.Competition entry format obliged us to considered all floors in 1:500. It represent entire space on sheet(707x1000mm). Could it be possible to change scale of drawing plan or don't have to

figure all the floors.

4. Could it be possible to upload us better pictures of factory building distillery ?

5.is it possible to change deadline to submit rendering given the number of files provide?

1 - Only the façade- 2 - The percentages per space purpose in the Competition Program Brief are only provisional, and they could differ depending on a concept offered by each author. 3 - The scale of 1:500 is mandatory. Competitors should show all characteristic floors in the proposal. 4 - All the available material is on line. 5 - No.

- 166.Is the competition site open for visitors? And, if not, is it possible to arrange visit on request? Site & visit seminar was organized look for video materials on line.
- 167.1-can you make available plants and prospects of industrial buildings that will be maintained, except the distillery that we have enough information?
 - 2-it"s possible to project underground level?

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

3- it's possible to create an aerial connection between the different areas?

- 1 All the available material is on line. 2 Yes. 3 Yes.
- 168.1 Regarding the design of the urban spaces, is it possible to think on some solution that involve and redesign the nearby areas, such as the Subica Street, the market and the square? or it is compulsory to keep the design in the competition boundary?

2 - Regarding the material to be submitted, is there any maximum number of pages for the A3 Book? And is there any maximum number of picture (point 8.1.3) to be submitted?

1 - Nearby areas are considered as "finished", but it possible to suggest improvements.

2 - No there is no maximum pages for the A3 book, but there is a maximum of 9000 characters, including spaces. Only one picture is to be submitted (8.1.3.)

- 169.1. Since the Badel factory is to be maintained, the Gorica factory should have at least its facade kept, and the facade entrance of the old factory complex also has to be maintained, can you make available all the technical drawings (plans, sections, facades) in a digital format (.dwg or other)? In order to preserve all the elements of the old factories we would need all the drawings. All available material is on line.
- 170.In the "Competition Brief, chapter 3. Competition Requirements, subchapter 3A. Respecting limitations imposed by existing buildings", you wrote:

"Spirits refinery and distillery, later part of the Gorica Factory – corner of Martićeva and Šubićeva streets, [...] possibility of partial removal provided preservation and rehabilitation is done based on the original drawings respecting characteristic classicist design of a part of the northern façade of the storehouse, and SE facade of the Gorica Factory building [...] "

What do you mean by partial removal? Which part of the northern façade is of significance? What elements are expected to be preserved of the original building? Is it only the facades or also the structure? And what original drawings, since there was none provided.

Only the facade of Gorica factory has to be maintained. All available material is on line.

- 171. Are there any photos of the interior of the Gorica Factory? Is it possible to keep the original building structure? Does the building as a whole has any industrial value or is it only the facades? The interior is filmed - look for video material online. It is possible to keep the original structure and give architectural interpretation of the interior.
- 172.Should the Badel factory interior structure be kept or is it possible to adapt it to new uses? It is possible to keep the original structure and give architectural interpretation of the interior according to proposed new use.
- 173.Is it possible to provide photographic documentation of the Badel Site street views and the interior of the block (in a jpeg format, for example) to be used as graphic presentation elements, in addition to the mandatory perspectives? All available material is on line
- 174. Why is it important to maintain the wall (3m facade) of Gorica Factory (the one on Marticeva Street), as is marked on sheet 7 Badel Block Site border with basic requirements? Does it have to do with preserving the global image of the facade, to generate a distance between new building and the old facade, or is there any other cultural significance to it? By the images provided it looks just a wall, with no windows or door openings, and seems like a later addition to the original building.

That part of the building is not protected as heritage, so it could be removed.

175. Is there a maximum number of sheets for the A3 booklet? No, there is a maximum of 9000 characters, including spaces The Badel Site urban-architectural conceptual design COMPETITION

Investor and Promoter:	The City of Zagreb, Trg Stjepana Radića 1, Zagreb
Organizer and Manager:	The Zagreb Society of Architects (DAZ)

176.1. In document "7.Badel Block - Site border with basic requirements 1:2 000 (*pdf)" the blue one appears 4 elements (protected industrial heritage), however we only had access to the drawn elements of one of them. Can we have relative graphical elements to the other elements to rehabilitating?

2. In the document "7.Badel Block - Site border with basic requirements 1:2 000 (*pdf)" it appears blue in the building "Gorica" a piece that seems a wall. This piece of wall is for rehabilitating?

3. In document "9. Archive documentation (*jpg)" it is not possible to see the dimensions, can you send a version where it is possible? Still in the same document does not exist elevation main of the building "Barrels factory", if to exist we will be able to have access it?

4. In document 10 We have the South in a different direction of that we can find in "Google earth" which one is correct?

1. All available material is on line.

2. That part of the building is not protected as heritage, so it could be removed.

3. All available material is on line.

4. The direction of north in other documents is correct. The direction header in the geodesic survey had not been translated (north in Croatian is "sjever", thus it reads "S")

177.1. Is there a way to slightly intervene the market and/or park across? Maybe by bridges/air or underground?

2. Why is it just 3 underground levels? Is there a structural reason that we might be able to find a solution to go deeper? Is there a way to go around/bend that rule?

3. Just to verify, there is no height restrictions? It can be 1 floor or as many as wanted?

4. Is there a restriction in terms of construction area percentage or do we need to leave gardens and unconstructed areas (other than the historical buildings)?

1 - No. 2 - The depth is recommended considering the financial impact. 3 - Yes. 4 - Finding the optimal shares of built/unbuilt in one of the competition goals.

178.Is it possible to transform the existing private vehicle approach into a public vehicle/pedestrian approach to access Badel block site?

Pedestrian approach from Vlaška street through existing approaches may be considered. It is not possible to use existing private approaches for new development in the Badel block area.

179. What can be develop between the line defined &scope of competition and competition area boundary? Is it possible to create a connection (ex:tunel) between underground parking garage in Kvaternikov trg and the Badel block site?

Can the purpose exceed the limits of Badel block site? (ex: building don't touch on the ground) Since there is an existing building with more than 9 floors on the site, can we purpose another one with the same height?

Is it possible to have more information (drawings and pictures) about the protected buildings/facades? 1 Pedestrian approaches, open space areas. 2 - No. 3 - Yes. 4 - All available material is on line.

180.1 – Is that possible to extend the intervention area beyond the limited boundary of the proposal program?

2 – Does the organization of this contest have ground level photos of the market at the corner of Martićeva and Šubićeva streets which can provide us?

3 - Can we have access to the citywide master plan or preliminary studies rules?

1 - The limit of intervention is Badel block competition area. The intervention has to correspond with surroundings. 2 - No. 3 - Zagreb Master plan is completely open and rules-free about Badel Block area. The results of the competition will help City of Zagreb to conduct the public debate, to make the final decision of the site programme and capacity, and to design the detailed development plan.

- 181.1. The required B1 key sheet is separate/independent from The seven B1 sheets for The presentation?
 - 2. There are no required scales for The key sheet?
 - 3. Are there any explicit conservation guidelines to follow, or it's all interpretation on the part of the design?
 - 4. Is the m2 calculation for parking to be considered part of the desired 65,000m2 GFA?
 - 5. Will all judging be done based on The full-scale B1 prints?
 - 6. Each contestant needs to make montages using all three aerial photos provided?
 - 1 The B1 key sheet will be used for presentation purposes, thus it can be different from B1 sheets under 8.1.1.

- 2 There are no required scales for the Key sheet
- 3 According to the brief.
- 4 No if it is underground parking.
- 5 The judging will be primarily based on B1 sheets under 8.1.1, viewed on screen. Of course other material will also be reviewed
- 6 Yes. See General Terms of the competition.
- 182.I would like to know why is the south-western corner of the GORICA building facade marked as "protected industrial heritage" even though it is just a low wall and not a part of the building and its style. That part of the building is not protected as heritage, so it could be removed.
- 183.Would it be possible to get a pdf with the slides from the video presentations? Also maybe some historical images of the site like the one presented by Goran Arčabić in his final slide? Unfortunately not.
- 184. Will we be supplied with a proper CAD survey of the Badel distillery? No.
- 185.Is a team eligible, if only one holds MArch degree and other members are graduate architecture students? Yes.